


THIS IS EXHIBIT "C" TO
THE AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
SWORN AUGUST 14, 2012



A Commissioner, etc.

Tai Kam Cheung
Deacons
Solicitor, Hong Kong SAR

RESTRUCTURING SUPPORT AGREEMENT

This Restructuring Support Agreement dated as of March 30, 2012 (the “**Agreement Date**”) among: (a) Sino-Forest Corporation (the “**Company**”), (b) each of the subsidiaries of the Company as listed in Schedule A (the “**Direct Subsidiaries**”), and (c) each of the other signatories hereto, to support agreements in the form hereof or to Joinder Agreements attached hereto as Schedule C (each a “**Consenting Noteholder**” and collectively the “**Consenting Noteholders**”), with each Consenting Noteholder being a holder of, and/or investment advisor or manager with investment discretion with respect to holdings in, one or more series of Notes, addresses the principal aspects of the restructuring transaction agreed to by the Company and the Consenting Noteholders as described in Section 1 hereof. The Transaction is to be effected pursuant to a plan of compromise or arrangement under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (Canada), R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the “**CCAA**”), and, if determined necessary or advisable by the Company in conjunction with the CCAA Plan, and with the consent of the Advisors, the *Canada Business Corporations Act*, R.S.C., 1985, c. C-44 (the “**CBCA**”), in full and final settlement of, among other Claims, all Noteholder Claims (whether directly or pursuant to any guarantee of the Notes provided by any subsidiary of the Company, and any security provided in respect thereof). Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this Agreement have the meanings ascribed thereto in Schedule B. The Consenting Noteholders, the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the “**Parties**” and each (including each Consenting Noteholder, individually) is a “**Party**”. This agreement and all schedules to this agreement are collectively referred to herein as the “**Agreement**”.

1. Transaction

The principal Transaction Terms (which are subject to the other terms and conditions of this Agreement) are as follows:

Restructuring Transaction:

- (a) Pursuant to the Plan, and subject to Section 1(i) hereof, the Company will implement the Restructuring Transaction, pursuant to which:
 - (i) A new company (“**Newco**”), authorized to issue an unlimited number of common shares and having no restrictions on the number of its shareholders, will be incorporated as a private company in the BVI or the Cayman Islands (or any other jurisdiction acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, and satisfactory to the Company, acting reasonably) and otherwise organized in a manner acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, and satisfactory to the Company, acting reasonably;
 - (ii) Except as otherwise provided for herein, pursuant to the Plan, the Company shall convey, assign and transfer all of its right, title and interest in and to all of the Company’s properties, assets and rights of every kind and description (including, without limitation, all restricted and unrestricted cash, contracts, real property, receivables or other debt owed

- to the Company, Intellectual Property, the Company name and all related marks, all of its shares in its subsidiaries (including, without limitation, all of the shares of the Direct Subsidiaries) and all intercompany debt owed to the Company by any of its Subsidiaries), other than the Excluded Assets, to Newco, free and clear of all Claims, options and interests;
- (iii) Pursuant to the Plan, each Noteholder shall receive the following on the Implementation Date of the Restructuring Transaction in full and complete satisfaction of its Noteholder Claims:
- (A) its Pro Rata share of 92.5% of the Newco Shares (subject to any dilution in respect of the New Management Plan); plus
 - (B) its Pro Rata share of the Secured Newco Note; plus
 - (C) its right to receive the consideration set forth in Section 1(h)(ii)(B) hereof (if any); plus
 - (D) if applicable to such Noteholder, the Early Consent Consideration set forth in Section 1(b) hereof; and
- (iv) On the Implementation Date, the following consideration shall be placed into trust with the Monitor, for the benefit of the Junior Constituents, to be paid to such Junior Constituents in accordance with their respective legal priorities, subject to payment in full of any prior ranking Junior Constituents:
- (A) the Contingent Value Rights; plus
 - (B) the consideration set forth in Section 1(h)(ii) hereof (if any).

Early Consent Consideration:

- (b) Each Noteholder (including the Initial Consenting Noteholders) that on or prior to the Consent Date executes (i) this Agreement, (ii) a support agreement in the form hereof or (iii) a Joinder Agreement in the form attached hereto as **Schedule C** (each a “**Consent Date Noteholder**”) and provides evidence satisfactory to the Monitor in accordance with Section 2(a) hereof of the Notes held by such Consent Date Noteholder as at the Consent Date shall receive on the Implementation Date, as additional consideration for its Notes, its Pro Rata share of 7.5% of the Newco Shares (the “**Early Consent Consideration**”).

Other Plan Matters:

- (c) Pursuant to the Plan and the Final Order in respect of the Plan, all Noteholder Claims and Claims of Other Affected Creditors (including Claims of Junior Constituents) with respect to the Company (including, thereby, all class action type claims (whether debt or equity) and related indemnification claims) shall be

forever extinguished as against the Company and its Subsidiaries, without any consideration other than as provided for herein.

- (d) Pursuant to the Plan and the Final Order in respect of the Plan, each current or former director or officer of the Company shall be released from any and all claims against them in their capacities as current or former directors or officers of the Company, except that such release shall not apply to or affect any claims that cannot be compromised under section 5.1(2) of the CCAA.
- (e) Pursuant to the Plan, the Other Affected Creditors shall receive: (A) in respect of a Restructuring Transaction, the treatment afforded to the Noteholders pursuant to Sections 1(a)(iii)(A)-1(a)(iii)(C) hereof, or such other treatment as is acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders and any Other Affected Creditor, provided that the aggregate amount of the Claims of the Other Affected Creditors shall not exceed \$250,000, without the consent of the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, acting reasonably, and (B) in respect of a Sale Transaction, the treatment set forth in Section 1(k) hereof.
- (f) The Plan may provide that Noteholders and Other Affected Creditors holding claims less than an amount to be agreed between the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, each acting reasonably, or who agree to reduce their claims for distribution purposes to such amount, will be entitled to receive a cash distribution in respect of such amount pursuant to the Plan in lieu of the other consideration such Persons are entitled to receive pursuant to the Plan.
- (g) The Unaffected Claims shall not be impacted by the Plan, provided that the aggregate amount of the Unaffected Claims shall not exceed an amount to be agreed upon between the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, each acting reasonably.
- (h) Pursuant to the Plan, the Litigation Trust will be established on the Implementation Date for the benefit of the Noteholders and the Junior Constituents, as follows:
 - (i) The Litigation Trust shall be funded with \$20 million in cash (“the **Funding Amount**”), which amount shall be funded by the Company into the Litigation Trust on the Implementation Date;
 - (ii) To the extent that any proceeds are realized by the Litigation Trust as a result of:
 - (A) claims by the Litigation Trust against, or settlements with, Muddy Waters, LLC or any of its affiliates or subsidiaries (collectively, “**Muddy Waters**”) or any Person acting jointly or in concert with Muddy Waters, then 100% of any and all of such proceeds shall be paid to the Monitor pursuant to Section 1(a)(iv) for the benefit of the Junior Constituents only; or

- (B) claims by the Litigation Trust against, or settlements with, any Person other than Muddy Waters or any Person acting jointly or in concert with Muddy Waters, then:
- (I) for the first \$25,000,000 of any such proceeds, 100% of such proceeds shall be paid to the Monitor pursuant to Section 1(a)(iv) for the benefit of the Junior Constituents only; and
 - (II) for any such proceeds beyond the initial \$25,000,000:
 - i. in the event that the enterprise value of Newco (as determined in accordance with generally accepted principles applied by Chartered Business Valuers or other manner agreed upon between the Company and the Advisors, acting reasonably) ("Newco EV") is, at the time that any proceeds are so available for distribution from the Litigation Trust, less than the Aggregate Principal Payment Amount plus Accrued Interest up to and including the CCAA Filing Date for all series of Notes, then 30% of any such proceeds shall in each such case be allocated Pro Rata among the Noteholders (up to a maximum of the difference between: (A) the Aggregate Principal Payment Amount plus Accrued Interest and (B) the Newco EV), and 70% of any such proceeds shall be paid to the Monitor pursuant to Section 1(a)(iv) for the benefit of the Junior Constituents; and
 - ii. in the event that Newco EV is, at the time that any proceeds are so available for distribution from the Litigation Trust, greater than the Aggregate Principal Payment Amount plus Accrued Interest up to and including the CCAA Filing Date for all series of Notes, then 100% of any such proceeds shall be paid to the Monitor pursuant to Section 1(a)(iv) for the benefit of the Junior Constituents only, and the Noteholders shall not be entitled to receive any distributions from the Litigation Trust.

Alternative Sale Transaction:

- (i) Pursuant to the Sale Process Procedures, the Company shall simultaneously pursue a sale process for all or substantially all of the assets of the Company

(other than the Excluded Assets), and shall consummate a sale of all or substantially all of its assets pursuant to such process, and in lieu of the Restructuring Transaction, provided that any such sale is on terms acceptable to the Company and (i) shall be implemented pursuant to a Plan under the CCAA, and if determined necessary or advisable by the Company, the CBCA, (ii) complies with the terms, conditions and deadlines of the Sale Process Procedures, the Sale Process Order, this Agreement and the Plan, (iii) provides for a cash payment equal to the Aggregate Principal Payment Amount (being, as defined, 85% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes outstanding as of the CCAA Filing Date, (iv) provides for a cash payment of all Accrued Interest on the Notes up to and including the CCAA Filing Date, and (v) provides for payment of the Expense Reimbursement; or (vi) is otherwise acceptable to the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders (any such sale on such terms, being a "Sale Transaction").

- (j) In the event of a Sale Transaction, each Noteholder shall receive the following on the Implementation Date in full and complete satisfaction of its Noteholder Claims:
- (i) a cash payment equal to all Accrued Interest due in respect of its Notes up to and including the CCAA Filing Date; plus
 - (ii) cash payment equal to its Pro Rata share of 82% of the principal amount of its Notes; plus
 - (iii) if applicable to such Noteholder, its Pro Rata share of the Early Consent Consideration (which in the case of a Sale Transaction shall be paid in the form of a cash payment to each Consent Date Noteholder in an amount equal to its Pro Rata share of 3% of the principal amount of its Notes). For greater certainty, the total amount payable under Sections 1(j)(ii) and 1(j)(iii) shall in no case exceed the Aggregate Principal Payment Amount.
- (k) In the event of a Sale Transaction, on the Implementation Date, in full and complete satisfaction of its Claims, each Other Affected Creditor shall receive the following:
- (i) a cash payment equal to its Pro Rata share of any and all net sale proceeds realized after payment of the amounts set forth in Section 1(j) hereof ("**Excess Net Proceeds**"), up to an amount not exceeding its proven Claim.
- (l) In the event of a Sale Transaction, on the Implementation Date, the following consideration shall be placed into trust with the Monitor, for the benefit of the Junior Constituents:
- (i) any remaining Excess Net Proceeds after payment of the amounts set forth in Section 1(k); plus

- (ii) the consideration set forth in Section 1(h)(ii) hereof (if any),
and/or such other consideration permitted by the Sale Process Procedures.

2. The Consenting Noteholder's Representations and Warranties

Each Consenting Noteholder hereby represents and warrants, severally and not jointly, to the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries (and acknowledges that each of the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries are relying upon such representations and warranties) that:

- (a) As of Agreement Date; it (i) either is the sole legal and beneficial owner of the principal amount of Notes disclosed to the Advisors as of such date or has the investment and voting discretion with respect to the principal amount of Notes disclosed to the Advisors as of such date (the amount of Notes disclosed to the Advisors by such Consenting Noteholder as of such date being the "**Relevant Notes**"; the accrued and unpaid interest and any other amount that such Consenting Noteholder is entitled to claim pursuant to the Relevant Notes is its "**Debt**"); (ii) has the power and authority to bind the beneficial owner(s) of such Notes to the terms of this Agreement; (iii) has authorized and instructed the Advisors to advise the Company, in writing, of the aggregate amount of each series of Notes held by the Consenting Noteholders collectively as of the date hereof, and shall cause the Advisors to promptly (and in any event, within five (5) Business Days) notify the Company or its advisors of any change (upon actual knowledge of such change) to the aggregate holdings of Notes held by the Consenting Noteholders, as well as update any writing delivered to the Company in respect thereof; and (iv) has authorized and instructed the Advisors to advise the Monitor, in writing, of the individual principal amount of each series of Notes held by it as of the date hereof, and shall cause the Advisors to promptly (and in any event, within five (5) Business Days) notify the Monitor or its advisors of any change (upon actual knowledge of such change) to the principal amount of Notes held by it, as well as update any writing delivered to the Monitor in respect thereof.
- (b) To the best of its knowledge after due inquiry, there is no proceeding, claim or investigation pending before any court, regulatory body, tribunal, agency, government or legislative body, or threatened against it or any of its properties that, individually or in the aggregate, would reasonably be expected to impair the Consenting Noteholder's ability to execute and deliver this Agreement and to comply with its terms.
- (c) The Debt held by the Consenting Noteholder is not subject to any liens, charges, encumbrances, obligations or other restrictions that would reasonably be expected to adversely affect its ability to perform its obligations under this Agreement.
- (d) Except as contemplated by this Agreement, the Consenting Noteholder has not deposited any of its Relevant Notes into a voting trust, or granted (or permitted

to be granted) any proxies or powers of attorney or attorney in fact, or entered into a voting agreement, understanding or arrangement, with respect to the voting of its Relevant Notes where such trust, grant, agreement, understanding or arrangement would in any manner restrict the ability of the Consenting Noteholder to comply with its obligations under this Agreement.

- (e) It (i) is a sophisticated party with sufficient knowledge and experience to properly evaluate the terms and conditions of this Agreement; (ii) has conducted its own analysis and made its own decision, in the exercise of its independent judgment, to enter into this Agreement; (iii) has obtained such independent advice in this regard as it deemed appropriate; and (iv) has not relied on the analysis or the decision of any Person other than its own members, employees, representatives or independent advisors (it being recognized that the Advisors are not the advisor to any individual holder of the Notes, including any Initial Consenting Noteholder or Consenting Noteholder, on an individual basis).
- (f) The execution, delivery and performance by the Consenting Noteholder of its obligations under this Agreement:
 - (i) are within its corporate, partnership, limited partnership or similar power, as applicable;
 - (ii) have been duly authorized, by all necessary corporate, partnership, limited partnership or similar action, as applicable, including all necessary consents of the holders of its equity or other participating interests where required; and
 - (iii) do not (A) contravene its certificate of incorporation, articles, by-laws, membership agreement, limited partnership agreement or other constituting documents, as applicable, (B) violate any judgment, order, notice, decree, statute, law, ordinance, rule or regulation applicable to it or any of its assets, or (C) conflict with or result in the breach of, or constitute a default under, or require a consent under, any contract material to the Consenting Noteholder.
- (g) This Agreement constitutes a valid and binding obligation of the Consenting Noteholder enforceable in accordance with its terms, except as enforcement may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or other similar laws affecting creditors' rights generally or by general principles of equity, whether asserted in a proceeding in equity or law.
- (h) It is an accredited investor within the meaning of the rules of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission under the *Securities Act of 1933*, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, as modified by The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.
- (i) It is an "accredited investor", as such term is defined in National Instrument 45-106 -- *Prospectus and Registration Exemptions* of the Canadian Securities

Administrators (“NI 45-106”) and it was not created or used solely to purchase or hold securities as an accredited investor as described in paragraph (m) of the definition of “accredited investor” in NI 45-106.

- (j) It is resident in the jurisdiction indicated on its signature page to this Agreement.

3. The Company’s and the Direct Subsidiaries’ Representations and Warranties

The Company and each of the Direct Subsidiaries hereby represent and warrant, severally and not jointly, to each Consenting Noteholder (and the Company and each of the Direct Subsidiaries acknowledge that each Consenting Noteholder is relying upon such representations and warranties) that:

- (a) To the best of its knowledge after due inquiry, except as disclosed in the Data Room, there is no proceeding, claim or investigation pending before any court, regulatory body, tribunal, agency, government or legislative body, or threatened against it or any of the Subsidiaries or properties that, individually or in the aggregate, would reasonably be expected to impair the ability of the Company or any of the Direct Subsidiaries to execute and deliver this Agreement and to comply with its terms, or which, if the Transaction was consummated, would result in a Material Adverse Effect.
- (b) The execution, delivery and performance by the Company and each of the Direct Subsidiaries of this Agreement:
- (i) are within its corporate, partnership, limited partnership or similar power, as applicable;
 - (ii) have been duly authorized by all necessary corporate, partnership, limited partnership or similar action, as applicable, including all necessary consents of the holders of its equity or other participating interests, where required; and
 - (iii) do not (A) contravene its or any of the Subsidiaries’ certificate of incorporation, articles of amalgamation, by-laws or limited partnership agreement or other constituting documents, as applicable, (B) violate any judgment, order, notice, decree, statute, law, ordinance, rule or regulation applicable to it or any of the Subsidiaries, properties or assets, or (C) result in the creation or imposition of any lien or encumbrance upon any of the property of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries.
- (c) This Agreement constitutes a valid and binding obligation of the Company and each of the Direct Subsidiaries enforceable in accordance with its terms, except as enforcement may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or other similar laws affecting creditors’ rights generally or by general principles of equity, whether asserted in a proceeding in equity or law.

- (d) To the knowledge of the Company, neither the Company nor any of its Subsidiaries has any material liability for borrowed money other than pursuant to those banking and other lending agreements that are disclosed in the Data Room.
- (e) Except as disclosed in the Information, the Company has filed with the applicable securities regulators all documents required to be filed by it under Applicable Securities Laws except to the extent that such a failure to file would not be Material.
- (f) Except as disclosed in the Information, no order halting or suspending trading in securities of the Company or prohibiting the sale of such securities has been issued to and is outstanding against the Company, and to the knowledge of the Company, and except as may be related to matters disclosed in the Information, no other investigations or proceedings for such purpose are pending or threatened.
- (g) the Company has delivered or otherwise made available to the Advisors complete copies of all employment agreements for the Executive Officers, all of which are in full force and effect, and there have been no extension, supplements or amendments thereto other than as disclosed in the Data Room.
- (h) The board of directors of the Company has: (i) reviewed the Transaction Terms; (ii) determined, in its business judgment, that the transactions contemplated by the Transaction Terms are in the best interests of the Company; (iii) resolved to recommend approval of this Agreement and the transactions and agreements contemplated hereby to the Noteholders and Other Affected Creditors; and (iv) approved this Agreement and the implementation of the Transaction Terms.
- (i) Other than pursuant to this Agreement and any Joinder thereto, there are no agreements between the Company and any Noteholder with respect to any restructuring or recapitalization matters.

4. Consenting Noteholders' Covenants and Consents

Each Consenting Noteholder covenants and agrees as follows:

- (a) Each Consenting Noteholder consents and agrees to the terms and conditions of, and the transactions contemplated by, this Agreement.
- (b) Each Consenting Noteholder agrees to:
 - (i) vote (or cause to be voted) all of its Debt in all votes and in each vote in favour of the approval, consent, ratification and adoption of the Plan and the Restructuring Transaction or Sale Transaction contemplated thereby, as the case may be (and any actions required in furtherance of the foregoing);

- (ii) support the approval of the Plan as promptly as practicable by the Court; and
 - (iii) instruct the Advisors to support the making of Initial Order and the Sale Process Order and any other matters relating thereto, and all other motions filed by the Company in furtherance of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement; provided in each case, that such orders and motions are in form and substance satisfactory to the Advisors and/or the Initial Consenting Noteholders.
- (c) Each Consenting Noteholder agrees not to sell, assign, pledge or hypothecate (except with respect to security generally applying to its investments which does not adversely affect such Consenting Noteholder's ability to perform its obligations under this Agreement) or otherwise transfer (a "Transfer"), between the Agreement Date and the Termination Date, any Relevant Notes (or any rights or interests in respect thereof, including, but not limited to, the right to vote) held by such Consenting Noteholder, except to a transferee, who (i) is already a Consenting Noteholder if the representations and warranties of such transferee Consenting Noteholder in Section 2 remain true and correct after such Transfer; or (ii) contemporaneously with any such Transfer, agrees to be fully bound as a signatory Consenting Noteholder hereunder in respect of the Notes that are the subject of the Transfer, by executing and delivering to the Company, with a copy to the Advisors, a Joinder Agreement, the form of which is attached hereto as Schedule C. For greater certainty, where the transferee is not already a Consenting Noteholder, such transferee shall be bound by the terms of this Agreement only in respect of the Relevant Notes that are the subject of the Transfer, and not in respect of any other Notes of the transferee. Each Consenting Noteholder hereby agrees to provide the Company and the Advisors with written notice and, in the case of a Transfer pursuant to subparagraph (ii) of this Section 4(c), a fully executed copy of the Joinder Agreement, within three (3) Business Days following any Transfer to a transferee described in (i) or (ii) of this Section 4(c). Any transfer that does not comply with this Section 4(c) shall be void *ab initio*. For greater certainty, where a Consenting Noteholder assigns all of its Relevant Notes pursuant to this Section 4(c), this Agreement shall continue to be binding upon such Consenting Noteholder with respect to any Notes it subsequently acquires.
- (d) Each Consenting Noteholder agrees, to the extent it effects a Transfer of any of its Relevant Notes in accordance with Section 4(c) hereof after 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the Record Date and is entitled to vote on the adoption and approval of the Transaction and the Plan, to vote all of the Relevant Notes that are the subject of the Transfer on behalf of the transferee in all votes and in each vote in favour of the approval, consent, ratification and adoption of the Transaction and the Plan (and any actions required in furtherance thereof).
- (e) Except as contemplated by this Agreement, each Consenting Noteholder agrees not to deposit any of its Relevant Notes into a voting trust, or grant (or permit to

be granted) any proxies or powers of attorney or attorney in fact, or enter into a voting agreement, understanding or arrangement, with respect to the voting of any of its Relevant Notes if such trust, grant, agreement, understanding or arrangement would in any manner restrict the ability of the Consenting Noteholder to comply with its obligations under this Agreement.

- (f) Each Consenting Noteholder agrees that it shall:
- (i) not accelerate or enforce or take any action or initiate any proceeding to accelerate or enforce the payment or repayment of any of its Debt (including for greater certainty any due and unpaid interest on its Relevant Notes), whether against the Company or any Subsidiary or any property of any of them;
 - (ii) execute any and all documents and perform any and all commercially reasonable acts required by this Agreement to satisfy its obligations hereunder including any consent, approval or waiver requested by the Company, acting reasonably;
 - (iii) forbear from exercising, or directing the Trustee to exercise, any default-related rights, remedies, powers or privileges, or from instituting any enforcement actions or collection actions with respect to any obligations under the Note Indentures, whether against the Company or any Subsidiary or any property of any of them and
 - (iv) (A) not object to, delay, impede or take any other action to interfere with the acceptance or implementation of the Transaction; (B) not propose, file, support or vote (or cause to vote) any of its Debt in favour of any alternative offer, restructuring, liquidation, workout or plan of compromise or arrangement or reorganization of or for the Company or any of its Subsidiaries that is inconsistent with the Plan or this Agreement; (C) vote (or cause to vote) any of its Debt against and oppose any proceeding under the CCAA or any other legislation in Canada or elsewhere, or any alternative offer, restructuring, liquidation, workout or plan of compromise or arrangement or reorganization of or for the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, in each case that is inconsistent with the Plan or this Agreement; or (D) not take, or omit to take, any action, directly or indirectly, that is materially inconsistent with, or is intended or is likely to interfere with the consummation of, the Transaction, except as and only to the extent required by applicable Law or by any stock exchange rules, by any other regulatory authority having jurisdiction over the Consenting Noteholder or by any court of competent jurisdiction.

The Consenting Noteholders acknowledge and agree that the Subsidiaries are direct beneficiaries of this Section 4(f) and may raise any defense (including, without limitation, any estoppel) or pursue any claim or remedy for any breach of this Section 4(f) or any action taken by any

Noteholder or Trustee in contravention of this Section 4(f).

5. Company's and the Direct Subsidiaries' Covenants and Consents

The Company and each of the Direct Subsidiaries covenants and agrees as follows:

- (a) The Company and each Direct Subsidiary consents and agrees to the terms and conditions of, and the transactions contemplated by, this Agreement.
- (b) Immediately upon this Agreement being executed by the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, the Company will (i) cause to be issued a press release or other public disclosure in form and in substance reasonably acceptable to the Advisors that discloses the material provisions of the Transaction Terms and all such other information as the Company is required to disclose under the terms of the Noteholder Confidentiality Agreements, subject to the terms of Section 9 hereof, and (ii) file a copy of this Agreement on SEDAR, which shall be redacted to remove any information disclosing the identity or holdings of any Noteholders.
- (c) The Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall pursue the completion of the Transaction in good faith by way of the Plan, in accordance with the Transaction Terms, and in respect of a Restructuring Transaction or a Sale Transaction as the case may be, and shall use commercially reasonable efforts (including recommending to Noteholders and any other Person entitled to vote on the Plan that they vote to approve the Plan and taking all reasonable actions necessary to obtain any regulatory approvals for the Transaction) to achieve the following timeline (which may be amended by the Company with the consent of the Initial Consenting Noteholders or the Advisors, each acting reasonably):
 - (i) the initiation of proceedings pursuant to the CCAA (the "**CCAA Proceedings**"), as evidenced by filing the application seeking the Initial Order and the Sale Process Order with the Court, by no later than March 30, 2012;
 - (ii) approval of the Initial Order by the Court by no later than March 30, 2012;
 - (iii) approval of the Sale Process Order by the Court by no later than April 5, 2012; and
 - (iv) If no Approved Bidders are selected pursuant to the Sale Process Procedure in accordance with the terms thereof:
 - (A) filing of the Meeting Order and Plan by no later July 16, 2012;
 - (B) meeting of the Noteholders by no later than August 27, 2012;
 - (C) sanction of the Plan by the Court by no later than August 31, 2012; and

- (D) implementation of the Plan by no later than the Outside Date.
- (d) The Company shall provide draft copies of all motions or applications and other documents that the Company intends to file with the Court in connection with the Initial Order, the Sale Process Order, the Meeting Order, the Final Order, the Restructuring Transaction, any Sale Transaction, the Plan, and the transactions contemplated by any of the foregoing, to the Advisors at least two (2) Business Days prior to the date when the Company intends to file such documents (except in exigent circumstances where the Company shall provide the documents within such time prior to the filing as is practicable), and such filings shall in each case, when filed, be in form and substance acceptable to the Advisors, acting reasonably.
- (e) Subject to any order of the Court, the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall (and shall cause each of the Subsidiaries, as required, to) (i) pursue, support and use commercially reasonable efforts to complete the Transaction in good faith, (ii) do all things that are reasonably necessary and appropriate in furtherance of, and to consummate and make effective, the Transaction, including, without limitation, using commercially reasonable efforts to satisfy the conditions precedent set forth in this Agreement, (iii) as soon as practicable following the date hereof, in cooperation with the Initial Consenting Noteholders and the Advisors, make all such filings and seek all such consents, approvals, permits and authorizations with any Governmental Entities or third parties whose consent is required in connection with the Transaction and use commercially reasonable efforts to obtain any and all required regulatory and/or third party approvals for or in connection with the Transaction and (iv) not take any action, directly or indirectly, that is materially inconsistent with, or is intended or is likely to interfere with the consummation of, the Transaction, except as required by applicable Law or by any stock exchange rules, or by any other Governmental Entity having jurisdiction over the Company or any of its Subsidiaries.
- (f) Except as provided for in the Transaction Terms or as otherwise agreed to in writing by the Initial Consenting Noteholders, the Company shall not make any payment or pay any consideration of any nature or kind whatsoever on account of any amounts owing under the Notes.
- (g) Except as contemplated by this Agreement, including pursuant to the Plan, the Company shall not (and shall cause each of the Subsidiaries not to) amend or modify any terms or conditions of the Note Indentures.
- (h) Following a reasonable advance written request (which can be made by way of e-mail and, in terms of reasonable notice, shall in no event require more than five (5) Business Days notice and no less than two (2) Business Days notice) by any of the Advisors or any Initial Consenting Noteholder to any officer, director or employee of the Company or the Subsidiaries, and Allen Chan, with a copy in each case to any of Houlhan Lokey, Bennett Jones or the Chief Executive

Officer, the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall (subject, with respect to any confidential information to be provided to an Initial Consenting Noteholder or any of its representatives and affiliates, to the Initial Consenting Noteholder having executed, and its representatives and affiliates being bound by, a confidentiality agreement acceptable to the Company and the Advisors, acting reasonably):

- (i) provide the Initial Consenting Noteholder (or its representatives and affiliates, as the case may be) or the Advisor, as the case may be, with access at reasonable times to the Company's and its Subsidiaries' premises, assets, accounts, books and records for use in connection with the Transaction; and
 - (ii) make Houlihan Lokey and any other advisor to the Company or the Subsidiaries, the officers, directors and employees of the Company and the Subsidiaries, and Allen Chan, available at reasonable times and places for any discussions with the Initial Consenting Noteholder (or its representatives and affiliates, as the case may be) or the Advisor, as the case may be.
- (i) The Company shall assist the Initial Consenting Noteholders in their search for and selection of directors for the board of directors of Newco to be formed in connection with the Restructuring Transaction, and for any new senior management of Newco, to be put in place on the Implementation Date, including by establishing a search committee appointed by the Initial Consenting Noteholders, hiring a search firm chosen by the Initial Consenting Noteholders and paying all costs and expenses in respect of the search and selection process, including all reasonable costs associated with the search firm and all reasonable and documented out-of-pocket fees and expenses incurred by any Initial Consenting Noteholder in connection with such search and selection process.
- (j) The Company shall, within thirty (30) days following the date of this Agreement, provide the Advisors with a detailed budget (including any financial retainers provided to its advisors) reflecting the Company's current best estimate of (i) the costs of completing the Transaction, including any material fees anticipated to be payable in connection with the Transaction (to professionals, employees, officers, directors, third parties or otherwise on the Implementation Date or otherwise) and (ii) the anticipated fees of the professional advisors to the Company (including, but not limited to, their legal advisors, auditors, and the Board of Directors' counsel and financial advisors) for all matters being addressed by such professionals, which shall include general descriptions of the work being or to be performed by each of these professionals (the "**Restructuring Budget**"). The Company shall update the Restructuring Budget on a monthly basis to reflect any changes in the Company's current best estimate of the costs of completing the Transaction, and to report on the actual amount of each such professional's fees for the preceding month.

- (k) The Company shall pay the reasonable and documented fees of the Advisors and Conyers, Dill & Pearman LLP pursuant to their respective engagement letters with the Company within ten (10) Business Days following the receipt of any invoice from any such party.
- (l) The Company shall keep the Advisors reasonably informed regarding any material discussions with any Person (other than the legal and financial advisors to the Company, the Initial Consenting Noteholders and their legal and financial advisors) with respect to the Transaction and shall provide the Advisors with an opportunity for a representative of the Advisors or the Initial Consenting Noteholders (subject to confidentiality restrictions) to participate in such material discussions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, with respect to a Sale Transaction, the Company may provide such information and opportunities as and to the extent set out in the Sale Process Procedures.
- (m) Except to the extent they are to be continued pursuant to and in compliance with the Sale Process Procedures, the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall, and shall cause its Representatives and the Subsidiaries to, immediately terminate any existing solicitations, discussions or negotiations with any Person (other than the Initial Consenting Noteholders and their legal and financial advisors) that has made, indicated any interest in or may reasonably be expected to propose, any other transaction. The Company and the Direct Subsidiaries agree not to (and shall cause each of the Subsidiaries not to) release any party from any standstill covenant to which it is a party, or amend, waive or modify in any way any such standstill covenant.
- (n) Other than through and in accordance with the Sale Process Procedures, the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall not (and shall cause each of the Subsidiaries not to), directly or indirectly through any Representative or any of the Subsidiaries: (i) solicit, initiate, knowingly facilitate or knowingly encourage (including by way of furnishing information or entering into any agreement) any inquiries or proposals regarding any transaction that is an alternative to the Transaction (an "**Other Transaction**"); (ii) participate in any substantive discussions or negotiations with any person (other than the Initial Consenting Noteholders and the Advisors) regarding any Other Transaction; (iii) accept, approve, endorse or recommend or propose publicly to accept, approve, endorse or recommend any Other Transaction; or (iv) enter into, or publicly propose to enter into, any agreement in respect of any Other Transaction; provided, however, that notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 5(n), the Company may, after consulting with the Advisors, consider an Other Transaction if:
- (i) the Company and each of the Direct Subsidiaries is in compliance, in all material respects, with all terms and conditions of this Agreement; and
- (ii) (A) such Other Transaction is based on a proposal received from an arm's length third party that none of the Company or any Subsidiary has,

directly or indirectly through any Representative, solicited, initiated, knowingly facilitated or knowingly encouraged; and

(B) such Other Transaction provides for either:

(I) the repayment in full in cash of the principal amount of the Notes, all Accrued Interest and the Expense Reimbursement on closing of the Other Transaction; or

(II) is determined by the Company and its advisors to be financially superior for the Noteholders and can be implemented through a plan of arrangement with the support of the Initial Consenting Noteholders

provided for greater certainty that nothing in this Section 5(n) shall prohibit or restrict in any way the Company's rights under the Sale Procedure Process to solicit, discuss and negotiate a potential Sale Transaction with any other Person, all in each case in accordance with the terms of the Sale Process Procedures.

- (o) Except in respect of an Other Transaction that is obtained through and in accordance with the Sale Process Procedures, (i) the Company shall promptly (and in any event within 24 hours following receipt by any of the Companies) notify the Advisors, at first orally and thereafter in writing, of any proposal in respect of any Other Transaction, in each case received after the Agreement Date, of which it or any of its Representatives are or become aware, or any amendments to such proposal in respect of any Other Transaction, any request for discussions or negotiations, or any request for non-public information relating to the Company or any of its Subsidiaries in connection with such Other Transaction or for access to the books or records of any the Company or any of its Subsidiaries by any Person that informs the Company or any of its Subsidiaries that it is considering making, or has made, a proposal with respect to any Other Transaction and any amendment thereto; and the Company shall promptly provide to the Advisors a description of the material terms and conditions of any such proposed Other Transaction or request; (ii) the Company the Direct Subsidiaries shall not, and shall cause its Representatives and the Subsidiaries not to, participate in any discussions with any Person that has delivered a proposal in respect of any Other Transaction, without providing reasonable notice to the Advisors and an opportunity for the Advisors or the Initial Consenting Noteholders to participate in any such discussions; and (iii) the Company shall keep the Advisors informed of any material change to the material terms of any such proposed Other Transaction.
- (p) The Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall not and shall cause the Subsidiaries not to materially increase compensation or severance entitlements or other benefits payable to directors, officers or employees, or pay any bonuses whatsoever, other than as required by law, or pursuant to the terms of existing

incentive plans or employment contracts, true and complete copies of which have been delivered or otherwise made available to the Advisors prior to the date hereof. Other than those outlined in the Data Room, there shall be no change of control payments paid by the Company or any of its Subsidiaries under any employment agreement, incentive plan or any other Material agreements as a result of the Transaction.

- (q) The Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall not and shall cause each of the Subsidiaries not to amalgamate, merge or consolidate with, or sell all or substantially all of its assets to, one or more other Persons, or enter into any other transaction of similar effect under the laws of any jurisdiction, or change the nature of its business or the corporate or capital structure, except as contemplated by this Agreement or with the consent of the Advisors.
- (r) The Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall not and shall cause each of the Subsidiaries not to (i) prepay, redeem prior to maturity, defease, repurchase or make other prepayments in respect of any indebtedness other than payments permitted or as required hereby, (ii) directly or indirectly, create, incur, issue, assume, guarantee or otherwise become directly or indirectly liable with respect to any indebtedness of any kind whatsoever (except for indebtedness that is incurred in the Ordinary Course which is in compliance with the covenants set out in the Note Indentures), (iii) create, incur, assume or otherwise cause or suffer to exist or become effective any lien, charge, mortgage, hypothec or security interest of any kind whatsoever on, over or against any of its assets or property (except for any lien, charge, mortgage, hypothec or security interest that is incurred in the Ordinary Course and that is not Material); (iv) issue, grant, sell, pledge or otherwise encumber or agree to issue, grant, sell, pledge or otherwise encumber any securities of the Company, the Direct Subsidiaries or any of the other Subsidiaries, or securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for, or otherwise evidencing a right to acquire, securities of the Company, the Direct Subsidiaries or any of the other Subsidiaries, except in the Ordinary Course which is in compliance with the covenants set out in the Note Indentures; or (v) enter into any new secured or unsecured lending or credit facilities of any kind, without the consent of the Advisors except to replace existing lending or credit facilities and provided that the aggregate amount of such facilities does not exceed the aggregate amount of the Company's lending and credit facilities as at the date hereof; provided, however, that nothing in this Section 5(r) shall preclude any Subsidiary organized under the laws of the PRC from obtaining additional lending or credit facilities if doing so is determined to be in the Ordinary Course of such Subsidiary and, provided further, that the Advisors are informed of, and consent to, any such lending or credit facilities.
- (s) Other than as contemplated and permitted by this Agreement, the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall not and shall cause each of the Subsidiaries not to, outside of the Ordinary Course, sell, transfer, lease, license or otherwise dispose of all or any part of its property, assets or undertaking (including, without limitation, by way of any loan transaction) with a value of over US\$10,000,000

at any one time or in any series of transactions aggregating over US\$30,000,000 (whether voluntarily or involuntarily) during the term of this Agreement, except on terms acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders or the Advisors, acting reasonably.

- (t) The Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall and shall cause each of the Subsidiaries to (i) operate its business in the Ordinary Course and in a manner that is intended to preserve or enhance the value of such Person, to the extent possible having regard to such Person's financial condition, and (ii) shall not enter into any Material agreement outside the Ordinary Course, except as contemplated by this Agreement and the Sale Process Procedures and except with respect to any other transactions or potential transactions disclosed to the Advisors prior to the execution of this Agreement or with the prior written consent of the Initial Consenting Noteholders or the Advisors, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.
- (u) The Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall use reasonable commercial efforts, and shall cause the Subsidiaries to use reasonable commercial efforts, to maintain appropriate insurance coverage in amounts and on terms that are customary in the industry of the Company and its Subsidiaries, provided that such insurance is available on reasonable commercial terms.
- (v) Except as may be provided for as part of the Transaction Terms, the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall not, and shall cause the Subsidiaries not to, directly or indirectly, declare, make or pay any dividend, charge, fee or other distribution, whether by way of cash or other consideration, to or with respect to any of its issued and outstanding shares (or any rights issued in respect thereof), provided that (x) the foregoing shall not limit the ability of any Restricted Subsidiary to pay dividends or make other distributions on any Capital Stock of such Restricted Subsidiary owned by the Company or any other Restricted Subsidiary to the extent that such limitation would violate provisions of the Note Indentures, and (y) the Company and its Subsidiaries shall be entitled to engage in intercompany transactions that are in the Ordinary Course or that are necessary and appropriate to preserve the value of the business or to carry out the repatriation of onshore cash referenced in subsection 5(x) below.
- (w) The Company shall, from and after the date hereof, cause its subsidiaries to maintain a minimum aggregate cash balance (outside of Canada) of the aggregate of: (i) US\$125,000,000 (ii) the amount by which cash received (net of associated expenses) from the sale of Thai redwood timber exceeds US\$46,000,000 less (iii) the amount by which cash received (net of associated expenses) from the sale of Thai redwood timber is less than US\$46,000,000.
- (x) Subject to the other terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Company and its management shall identify, implement and monitor both short-term and long-term liquidity generating initiatives and all reasonable steps to monetize assets for the repayment of the indebtedness of the Company and its Subsidiaries. In

this regard, and subject to the need of the Company and its Subsidiaries to prioritize efforts relating to the orderly management of its PRC tax affairs and the reorganization of the ownership structure of its BVI purchased plantations, and the other terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Company and its management shall take all reasonable steps (including but not limited to seeking all necessary SAFE and other regulatory approvals) to repatriate to the Company or its offshore Subsidiaries in a timely manner all onshore cash in excess of the projected onshore operating requirements of the Company and its Subsidiaries.

- (y) The Company shall produce a rolling 90-day cash flow forecast and shall discuss the receipts and disbursements for same with the Advisors, and shall consult with the Advisors regarding the matters referenced in subsections (w), (x) and (z) on no less than a bi-weekly basis.
- (z) The Company shall keep the Advisors reasonably informed regarding any material discussions with any Person (other than legal and financial advisors to the Company) with respect to any material transactions concerning the Company and its Subsidiaries and shall provide the Advisors with an opportunity for a representative of the Advisors or of the Initial Consenting Noteholders (subject to any confidentially restrictions) to participate in such material discussions.
- (aa) The Company shall keep the Advisors reasonably informed regarding any material discussions with the Ontario Securities Commission or the Royal Canadian Mounted Police concerning the Company or the Subsidiaries, or any director or officer thereof.
- (bb) The Company shall forthwith expand its engagement of FTI Consulting (Hong Kong) Ltd. ("FTI HK") and shall instruct FTI HK to: (i) attend at the premises of its Subsidiaries in Hong Kong and the PRC (including its Sino-Wood and Sino-Panel divisions) to monitor and report on operations, cash management functions (including the collection and disbursement of cash in such operations); and (ii) provide such information and reports as may be requested by the Company, the Monitor or any of the Advisors, acting reasonably (provided that all such information shall be subject to the confidentiality agreements and undertakings executed by the parties and any such information provided by FTI HK to the Advisors or the Monitor shall be made available to the Company).
- (cc) In the event that, after having received information and/or reports from FTI HK pursuant to Section 5(bb), the Initial Consenting Noteholders are not satisfied with the operations and management of the Company's Subsidiaries, the Initial Consenting Noteholders shall have the right to notify the Company that, in their view, additional operational, management or other expertise is required in respect of the Subsidiaries (or any of them), and to require the appointment within thirty (30) days of one or more Persons having such expertise, the identity of which shall be acceptable to the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders.

- (dd) Any new additions to the board of directors of the Company shall be acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders.
- (ee) The Company shall cause its BVI Subsidiaries to carry out commercially reasonable and prudent procedures with respect to the screening and evaluating of new timber contracts (including, without limitation, with respect to the identity and creditworthiness of the contractual counterparties, and also verification of legal chain of title, plantation rights certificates, and valuation, as the case may be) through its BVI /AI structure (the “**BVI Structure**”) (as distinct from its Wholly Foreign-Owned Entity Structure), which procedures shall be periodically reviewed and discussed with the Advisors (the “**BVI Timber Diligence Procedures**”).
- (ff) The Company shall cause its BVI Subsidiaries not to invest funds held by its AIs in the BVI Structure in new timber contracts for the BVI entities except in accordance with the BVI Timber Diligence Procedures, or in a manner otherwise acceptable to the Advisors.
- (gg) The Company and its Subsidiaries shall not directly or indirectly enter into any contract for the sale or purchase of timber (including with any AI or supplier) through the BVI Structure with a value of more than US\$5,000,000 at any one time or for any series of transactions aggregating over US\$10,000,000 without the consent of the advisors.
- (hh) The Company and its Subsidiaries shall make commercially reasonable efforts to collect all accounts receivable (including all accounts receivable payable by any AI) in the BVI Structure; and shall keep the Advisors informed of their efforts and status regarding same.

6. Conditions Precedent to Noteholder’s Support Obligations

- (a) Subject to Section 6(b), the obligation of the Consenting Noteholder to vote in favour of the Plan pursuant to Section 4(b)(i) shall be subject to the reasonable satisfaction of the following conditions prior to the Voting Deadline, each of which, if not satisfied prior to the Voting Deadline, can only be waived by the Initial Consenting Noteholders:
 - (i) the Initial Order, the Sale Process Order, the Meeting Order, the Plan and the proposed Final Order in respect of the Plan, and all other material filings by or on behalf of the Companies, or Orders entered by the Court, in the CCAA Proceedings to date, shall have been filed, and the Orders shall have been entered, in form and substance acceptable to the Advisors, acting reasonably;
 - (ii) the terms and conditions of the Plan shall be consistent with this Agreement or otherwise acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, acting reasonably (including, without limitation, all terms and conditions of the Litigation Trust and the Contingent Value Rights);

- (iii) the Initial Consenting Noteholders shall be satisfied with the results of due diligence concerning the Company, its Subsidiaries and their businesses;
- (iv) the Company and each of the Direct Subsidiaries shall have complied in all material respects with each covenant in this Agreement that is to be performed on or before the date that is three (3) Business Days prior to the Voting Deadline, including without limitation, by having complied with the timeline set forth in Section 5(c) hereof (as the same may have been amended with the consent of the Initial Consenting Noteholders or the Advisors, acting reasonably), and the Company shall have provided the Advisors with a certificate signed by an officer of the Company certifying compliance with this Section 6(iv) as of the date that is three (3) Business Days prior to the Voting Deadline;
- (v) the Restructuring Budget shall be in form and substance acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, acting reasonably;
- (vi) there shall have been no appointment of any new senior executive officers of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries or members of the board of directors of the Company, or any chief restructuring officer of the Company, unless such appointment, including its terms, was on terms satisfactory to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, acting reasonably;
- (vii) the composition of the board of directors of Newco and the senior management and officers of Newco to be appointed on the Implementation Date shall be acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders;
- (viii) the terms of any New Management Plan shall be acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders;
- (ix) the representations and warranties of the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries set forth in this Agreement shall be true and correct in all respects without regard to any materiality or Material Adverse Effect qualifications contained in them as of the date that is three (3) Business Days prior to the Voting Deadline with the same force and effect as if made at and as of such date (except to the extent such representations and warranties are by their terms given as of a specified date, in which case such representations and warranties shall be true and correct in all respects as of such date), in each case except (A) as such representations and warranties may be affected by the occurrence of events or transactions contemplated by this Agreement, and (B) where the failure of such representations and warranties to be so true and correct, individually or in the aggregate, would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect, and the Company shall have provided the Advisors with a certificate signed by an officer of the Company certifying compliance with this Section 6(a)(ix) as of the date that is three (3) Business Days prior to the Voting Deadline;

- (x) there shall not exist or have occurred any Material Adverse Effect, and the Company shall have provided the Advisors with a certificate signed by an officer of the Company certifying compliance with this Section 6(x) as of the date that is three (3) Business Days prior to the Voting Deadline;
 - (xi) there shall not be in effect any preliminary or final decision, order or decree by a Governmental Entity, and no action shall have been announced, threatened or commenced by any Governmental Entity in consequence of or in connection with the Transaction that restrains or impedes, or prohibits (or if granted could reasonably be expected to restrain, impede or inhibit) the Transaction or any material part thereof or requires or purports to require a material variation of the Transaction, and the Company shall have provided the Advisors with a certificate signed by an officer of the Company certifying compliance with this Section 6(a)(xi) as of the date that is three (3) Business Days prior to the Voting Deadline; and
 - (xii) there shall have been no breach of the Noteholder Confidentiality Agreements by the Company or any of the Sino-Forest Representatives (as defined therein) in respect of that Consenting Noteholder.
- (b) Notwithstanding Section 6(a), if the Company has, in compliance with the Sale Process Procedures, entered into a definitive agreement with respect to a Sale Transaction prior to the Voting Deadline, the obligation of the Consenting Noteholder to vote in favour of the Plan in respect of such Sale Transaction pursuant to Section 4(b)(i) shall be subject to the reasonable satisfaction of only the conditions precedent set forth in Sections 6(a)(i), 6(a)(ii), 6(a)(iv), 6(a)(xi) and 6(a)(xii) prior to the Voting Deadline, which, if not satisfied prior to the Voting Deadline, can only be waived by the Initial Consenting Noteholders.

7. Conditions Precedent to Restructuring

- (a) Subject to Section 7(b), the Transaction shall be subject to the reasonable satisfaction of the following conditions prior to or at the time on which the Transaction is implemented (the “**Effective Time**”), each of which, if not satisfied on or prior to the Effective Date, can only be waived by the Initial Consenting Noteholders; provided, however that (A) the conditions in sub-clauses 7(a)(i) to 7(a)(lii), 7(a)(v) to 7(a)(viii), 7(a)(xi) and 7(a)(xvii) below shall also be for the benefit of the Company and (B) if not satisfied on or prior to the Effective Time, can only be waived by both the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders:
 - (l) (v) the Plan shall have been approved by the applicable stakeholders of the Company as and to the extent required by the Court or otherwise, any such requirement being acceptable to the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, each acting reasonably; (w) the Plan shall have been approved by the Court and the Final Order shall be in full force and effect

prior to August 31, 2012 in respect of a Restructuring Transaction, and prior to the Outside Date in respect of a Sale Transaction; (x) the Plan shall have been approved by the applicable stakeholders and the Court in a form consistent with this Agreement or otherwise acceptable to the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, each acting reasonably; (y) the Final Order shall have been entered by the Court in a form consistent with this Agreement or otherwise acceptable to the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, each acting reasonably; and (z) the Implementation Date shall have occurred no later than the Outside Date;

- (ii) all press releases, disclosure documents and definitive agreements in respect of the Transaction shall be in a form and substance satisfactory to the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, each acting reasonably;
- (iii) the new memorandum and articles of association, by-laws and other constating documents of Newco (including, without limitation, any shareholders agreement, shareholder rights plan, classes of shares (voting and non-voting)) or any affiliated or related entities to be formed in connection with the Transaction, as applicable, and all definitive legal documentation in connection with all of the foregoing shall be acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders and in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to the Company;
- (iv) the composition of the board of directors of Newco and the senior management and officers of Newco shall have been put in place on the Implementation Date and shall be acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders;
- (v) the terms of the New Management Plan, together with the terms of employment for the senior executive officers of Newco, shall have been put in place on the Implementation Date and shall be acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, and reasonably satisfactory to the Company;
- (vi) the terms of the Litigation Trust and the Contingent Value Rights shall be satisfactory to the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, each acting reasonably;
- (vii) all Material filings under applicable Laws that are required in connection with the Transaction shall have been made and any Material regulatory consents or approvals that are required in connection with the Transaction shall have been obtained (including, without limitation, any required consent(s) of the Ontario Securities Commission) and, in the case of waiting or suspensory periods, such waiting or suspensory periods shall have expired or been terminated;

- (viii) there shall not be in effect any preliminary or final decision, order or decree by a Governmental Entity, and no action shall have been announced, threatened or commenced by any Governmental Entity, in consequence of or in connection with the Transaction that restrains or impedes, or prohibits (or if granted could reasonably be expected to restrain, impede or inhibit) the Transaction or any material part thereof or requires or purports to require a material variation of the Transaction and the Company shall have provided the Consenting Noteholders with a certificate signed by an officer of the Company certifying compliance with this Section 7(a)(viii) as at the Effective Time;
- (ix) the representations and warranties of the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries set forth in this Agreement shall be true and correct in all respects without regard to any materiality or Material Adverse Effect qualifications contained in them as of the Effective Time with the same force and effect as if made at and as of such date (except to the extent such representations and warranties are by their terms given as of a specified date, in which case such representations and warranties shall be true and correct in all respects as of such date), in each case except (A) as such representations and warranties may be affected by the occurrence of events or transactions contemplated by this Agreement, and (B) where the failure of such representations and warranties to be so true and correct, individually or in the aggregate, would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect, and the Company shall have provided the Consenting Noteholders with a certificate signed by an officer of the Company certifying compliance with this Section 7(a)(ix) as at the Effective Time;
- (x) there shall not exist or have occurred any Material Adverse Effect, and the Company shall have provided the Consenting Noteholders with a certificate signed by an officer of the Company certifying compliance with this Section 7(a)(x) as at the Effective Time;
- (xi) all securities of the Company, Newco and any affiliated or related entities that are formed in connection with the Transaction, when issued and delivered, shall be duly authorized, validly issued and fully paid and non-assessable and the issuance thereof shall be exempt from all prospectus and registration requirements and resale restrictions of applicable Securities Legislation;
- (xii) the Noteholders shall have received the consideration described in the Transaction Terms on the Implementation Date;
- (xiii) in the case of a Restructuring Transaction all Existing Shares, Equity Interests, including all existing options, warrants, deferred share units and restricted share units held by current directors and officers or other third parties, and all Equity Claims shall have been cancelled or extinguished or

otherwise dealt with to the satisfaction of the Initial Consenting Noteholders, acting reasonably to ensure that no rights in respect thereof attach to the assets and property conveyed to Newco pursuant to the Restructuring Transaction;

- (xiv) the Initial Consenting Noteholders, acting reasonably, shall be satisfied with the use of proceeds and payments relating to all aspects of the Transaction, including, without limitation, any change of control payments, consent fees, transaction fees or third party fees, in the aggregate of \$500,000 or more, payable by the Company or any Subsidiary to any Person (other than a Governmental Entity) in respect of or in connection with the Transaction, including without limitation, pursuant to any employment agreement or incentive plan of the Company or any Subsidiary;
 - (xv) the Company shall have paid the Expense Reimbursement in full on the Implementation Date, and Newco shall have no liability for any fees or expenses due to the Company's legal, financial or advisors either as at or following the Implementation Date;
 - (xvi) the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries shall have complied in all material respects with each covenant in this Agreement that is to be performed on or before the Effective Time, and the Company shall have provided the Consenting Noteholders with a certificate signed by an officer of the Company certifying compliance with this Section 7(a)(xvi) as at the Effective Time; and
 - (xvii) any Sale Transaction shall be on terms and conditions consistent with this Agreement or otherwise acceptable to the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, each acting reasonably.
- (b) Notwithstanding Section 7(a), if the Company has, in compliance with the Sale Process Procedures, entered into a definitive agreement with respect to a Sale Transaction, such Sale Transaction shall be subject to the reasonable satisfaction of only the conditions in Sections 7(a)(i), 7(a)(ii), 7(a)(vii), 7(a)(viii), 7(a)(xii), 7(a)(xv), 7(a)(xvi) and 7(a)(xvii), prior to or at the Effective Time, each of which, if not satisfied on or prior to the Effective Date, can only be waived by the Initial Consenting Noteholders; provided, however that (A) the condition in Sections 7(a)(i), 7(a)(vii), 7(a)(viii) and 7(a)(xvii) shall also be for the benefit of the Company and (B) if not satisfied on or prior to the Effective Time, can only be waived by both the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders.

8. Conditions Precedent to Company's Obligations

The obligations of the Company under this Agreement shall be subject to the reasonable satisfaction of the following conditions, each of which, if not satisfied, can only be waived by the Company:

- (a) the Consenting Noteholders shall have complied in all material respects with each of their covenants in this Agreement that is to be performed on or before the Implementation Date; and
- (b) the representations and warranties of the Consenting Noteholders set forth in this Agreement shall be true and correct in all material respects without regard to any materiality qualifications contained in them as of the Implementation Date with the same force and effect as if made at and as of such time, except that representations and warranties that are given as of a specified date shall be true and correct in all material respects as of such date.

9. Press Releases and Public Disclosure Concerning Transaction

- (a) No press release or other public disclosure concerning the transactions contemplated herein shall be made by the Company or any of its Representatives or Subsidiaries without the prior consent of the Advisors (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld) except as, and only to the extent that, the disclosure is required (as determined by the Company) by applicable Law or by any stock exchange rules on which its securities or those of any of its affiliates are traded, by any other regulatory authority having jurisdiction over the Company or any Direct Subsidiary, or by any court of competent jurisdiction; provided, however, that the Company shall provide the Advisors with a copy of such disclosure in advance of any release and an opportunity to consult with the Company as to the contents, and to provide comments thereon, and provided further that any such disclosure shall in all cases also comply with the terms and conditions set forth in Section 16 hereof and in any of the applicable Noteholder Confidentiality Agreements.
- (b) Notwithstanding the foregoing and subject to Section 16 hereof, no information with respect to the principal amount of Notes or the number of Common Shares held or managed by any individual Consenting Noteholder or the identity of any individual Consenting Noteholder shall be disclosed by the Company or any of its Representatives or Subsidiaries in any press release or other public disclosure concerning the transactions contemplated herein.
- (c) No press release or other public disclosure concerning the transactions contemplated herein shall be made by any Consenting Noteholder without the prior consent of the Company (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld) except as, and only to the extent that, the disclosure is required (as determined by the Consenting Noteholder) by applicable Law or by any stock exchange rules on which its securities or those of any of its affiliates are traded, by any other regulatory authority having jurisdiction over the Consenting Noteholder, or by any court of competent jurisdiction; provided, however, that the Consenting Noteholder shall provide the Company with a copy of such disclosure in advance of any release and an opportunity to consult with the Consenting Noteholder as to the contents, and to provide comments thereon, and provided further that any

such disclosure shall also comply with the terms of any applicable Noteholder Confidentiality Agreement.

- (d) To the extent that there is a conflict between the provisions of this Section 9 and a Noteholder Confidentiality Agreement, the provisions of the Noteholder Confidentiality Agreement shall govern.

10. Further Assurances

Each Party shall do all such things in its control, take all such actions as are commercially reasonable, deliver to the other Parties such further information and documents and execute and deliver to the other Parties such further instruments and agreements as another Party shall reasonably request to consummate or confirm the transactions provided for in this Agreement, to accomplish the purpose of this Agreement or to assure to the other Party the benefits of this Agreement.

11. Consenting Noteholders' Termination Events

This Agreement may be terminated by the delivery to the Company and the Advisors of a written notice in accordance with Section 17(q) hereof by Initial Consenting Noteholders holding at least 66 2/3% of the aggregate principal amount of Relevant Notes held by the Initial Consenting Noteholders collectively, in the exercise of their sole discretion, or in the case of Sections 11(j) and (k) by, but only in respect of, any Initial Consenting Noteholder individually, upon the occurrence and, if applicable, continuation uncured (where such event is curable) for three (3) Business Days after receipt of such notice of any of the following events:

- (a) failure by the Company to comply with any of the deadlines set forth in Section 5(c) hereof (including if the Implementation Date has not occurred by the Outside Date), as the same may have been amended with the consent of the Initial Consenting Noteholders or the Advisors;
- (b) failure by the Company or any of the Direct Subsidiaries to comply in all material respects with, or default by the Company or any of the Direct Subsidiaries in the performance or observance of, any material term, condition, covenant or agreement set forth in this Agreement, which, if capable of being cured, is not cured within five (5) Business Days after the receipt of written notice of such failure or default;
- (c) failure by the Company or any of the Direct Subsidiaries to comply with or satisfy any condition precedent set forth in Section 6 or 7 of this Agreement;
- (d) if any representation, warranty or other statement of the Company or any of the Direct Subsidiaries made or deemed to be made in this Agreement shall prove untrue in any respect as of the date when made, except where the failure of such representations and warranties or other statements to be so true and correct, individually or in the aggregate, would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect;

- (e) the issuance of any preliminary or final decision, order or decree by a Governmental Entity, the making of an application to any Governmental Entity, or commencement of an action by any Governmental Entity, in consequence of or in connection with the Transaction, in each case which restrains, impedes or prohibits the Transaction or any material part thereof or requires or purports to require a material variation of the Transaction;
- (f) the CCAA Proceedings are dismissed, terminated, or stayed or the Company whether voluntarily or involuntarily, commences or undergoes a receivership, liquidation, bankruptcy, debt enforcement proceeding or a proceeding under the CCAA, the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act* (Canada) or *Winding-Up and Restructuring Act* (Canada), or under any foreign insolvency law, or any of the Subsidiaries become subject to voluntary or involuntary liquidation proceedings, unless any such event occurs with the prior written consent of the Initial Consenting Noteholders;
- (g) the appointment of a receiver, interim receiver, receiver and manager, trustee in bankruptcy, liquidator or administrator in respect of the Company, or any of its Subsidiaries, unless such event occurs with the prior written consent of the Initial Consenting Noteholders;
- (h) the amendment, modification or filing of a pleading by the Company, or any of its Subsidiaries, seeking to amend or modify this Agreement, any of the Transaction Terms, the Initial Order, the Sale Process Order, the Sale Process Procedures, the Plan, or any other document related to any of the foregoing or otherwise filed in the CCAA Proceedings, in a manner not acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, acting reasonably;
- (i) if there are any new additions to the board of directors of the Company that are not acceptable to the Initial Consenting Noteholders;
- (j) if the Company and the Initial Consenting Noteholders cannot agree on the Person(s) to be appointed by the Company or any of its Subsidiaries pursuant to Section 5(cc) hereof; or
- (k) if the Company fails to comply with its obligations under Section 5(h).

12. Companies' Termination Events

- (a) This Agreement may be terminated by the delivery to the Consenting Noteholders (with a copy to the Advisors) of a written notice in accordance with Section 17(q) by the Company, in the exercise of its sole discretion, upon the occurrence and continuation of any of the following events:
 - (i) the issuance of any preliminary or final decision, order or decree by a Governmental Entity, the making of an application to any Governmental Entity, or commencement of an action by any Governmental Entity, in consequence of or in connection with the Transaction, in each case which

restrains, impedes or prohibits the Transaction or any material part thereof or requires or purports to require a material variation of the Transaction; or

- (ii) if the Implementation Date has not occurred on or before the Outside Date;
- (b) This Agreement may be terminated as to a breaching Consenting Noteholder (the “**Breaching Noteholder**”) only, by delivery to such Breaching Noteholder of a written notice in accordance with Section 17(q) by the Company, in the exercise of its sole discretion and provided that the Company is not in default hereunder, upon the occurrence and continuation uncured (where such event is curable) for three Business Days after the receipt of such notice, of any of the following events:
- (i) failure by the Breaching Noteholder to comply in all material respects with, or default by the Breaching Noteholder in the performance or observance of, any material term, condition, covenant or agreement set forth in this Agreement which is not cured within five (5) Business Days after the receipt of written notice of such failure or default; or
 - (ii) if any representation, warranty or other statement of the Breaching Noteholder made or deemed to be made in this Agreement shall prove untrue in any material respect as of the date when made,

and the Breaching Noteholder shall thereupon no longer be a Consenting Noteholder,

13. Mutual Termination

This Agreement, and the obligations of all Parties hereunder, may be terminated by mutual agreement among (a) the Company, (b) the Direct Subsidiaries and (c) Initial Consenting Noteholders holding at least 66 2/3% of the aggregate principal amount of Relevant Notes held by the Initial Consenting Noteholders collectively.

14. Effect of Termination

- (a) Upon termination of this Agreement pursuant to Sections 11(a) to 11(i) Section 12(a) or Section 13 hereof, this Agreement shall be of no further force and effect and each Party hereto shall be automatically and simultaneously released from its commitments, undertakings, and agreements under or related to this Agreement, except for the rights, agreements, commitments and obligations under Sections 9(b), 14, 16 and 17, all of which shall survive the termination, and each Party shall have the rights and remedies that it would have had if not entered into this Agreement and shall, subject to the CCAA Proceedings and the terms of any Court orders made therein, be entitled to take all actions, whether with respect to the Transaction or otherwise, that it would have been entitled to take had it not entered into this Agreement.

- (b) Upon termination of this Agreement by the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries with respect to a Breaching Noteholder under Section 12(b), or by an Objecting Noteholder under Section 17(o), or by an Individual Initial Consenting Noteholder under Section 11(j) or 11(k) (an “**Individual Noteholder**”) this Agreement shall be of no further force or effect with respect to such Breaching Noteholder, Objecting Noteholder or Individual Noteholder, as applicable, and all rights, obligations, commitments, undertakings, and agreements under or related to this Agreement of or in respect of such Breaching Noteholder, Objecting Noteholder or Individual Noteholder, as applicable, shall be of no further force or effect, except for the rights and obligations under Sections 9(b), 14, 16 and 17, all of which shall survive such termination, and each of the Company, the Direct Subsidiaries and such Breaching Noteholder, Objecting Noteholder or Individual Noteholder, as applicable, shall have the rights and remedies that it would have had it not entered into this Agreement and shall, subject to the CCAA Proceedings and the terms of any Court orders made therein, be entitled to take all actions, whether with respect to the Transaction or otherwise, that it would have been entitled to take had it not entered into this Agreement.
- (c) Upon the occurrence of any termination of this Agreement, any and all consents, votes or support tendered prior to such termination by (i) the Consenting Noteholders in the case of termination pursuant to Section 11, Section 12(a) or Section 13 hereof, (ii) the Breaching Noteholder(s) in the case of a termination pursuant to Section 12(b), (iii) the Objecting Noteholder(s) in the case of termination pursuant to Section 17(o), or (iv) the Individual Noteholder in the case of termination pursuant to Section 11(j) or 11(k) shall be deemed, for all purposes, to be null and void from the first instance and shall not be considered or otherwise used in any manner by the Parties in connection with the Transaction, this Agreement, the CCAA Proceedings or otherwise.

15. Termination Upon the Implementation Date

This Agreement shall terminate automatically without any further required action or notice on the Implementation Date (Immediately following the Effective Time). The Company shall pay the Expense Reimbursement on the Implementation Date (prior to the Effective Time). For greater certainty, the representations, warranties and covenants herein shall not survive and shall be of no further force or effect from and after the Implementation Date, provided that the rights, agreements, commitments and obligations under Sections 9(b), 16 and 17 shall survive the Implementation Date.

16. Confidentiality

The Company and each Direct Subsidiary agree, on its own behalf and on behalf of its Representatives and Subsidiaries, to maintain the confidentiality of the identity and, to the extent known, specific holdings of each Consenting Noteholder; provided, however, that such information may be disclosed: (a) to the Company's directors, trustees, executives, officers, auditors, and employees and financial and legal advisors or other agents (collectively referred to

herein as the “**Representatives**” and individually as a “**Representative**”) and provided further that each such Representative is informed of, and agrees to abide by, this confidentiality provision; and (b) to Persons in response to, and to the extent required by, (i) any subpoena, or other legal process, including, without limitation, by the Court or applicable rules, regulations or procedures of the Court, (ii) any Governmental Entity, or (iii) applicable Law; provided that, if the Company or its Representatives are required to disclose the identity or the specific holdings of a Consenting Noteholder in the manner set out in the preceding sentence, the Company shall provide such Consenting Noteholder with prompt written notice of any such requirement so that such Consenting Noteholder may (at the Consenting Noteholder’s expense) seek a protective order or other appropriate remedy or waiver of compliance with the provisions of this Agreement; and provided further, however, that each Consenting Noteholder agrees, (c) to the existence and factual details of this Agreement (other than the identity and, to the extent known, specific holdings of, any Consenting Noteholder) being set out in any public disclosure, including, without limitation, press releases and court materials, produced by the Company in connection with the Transaction and in accordance with this Agreement and the terms of any applicable Noteholder Confidentiality Agreement; and (d) to this Agreement being filed and/or available for inspection by the public to the extent required by law, and in any case in accordance with this Agreement and the terms of any Noteholder Confidentiality Agreement.

17. **Miscellaneous**

- (a) Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, this Agreement applies only to each Consenting Noteholder’s Debt and to each Consenting Noteholder solely with respect to its legal and/or beneficial ownership of, or its investment and voting discretion over its Debt (and not, for greater certainty, to any other securities, loans or obligations that may be held, acquired or sold by such Consenting Noteholder or any client of such Consenting Noteholder whose funds or accounts are managed by such Consenting Noteholder) and, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, shall not apply to:
 - (i) any securities, loans or other obligations (including the Notes) that may be held, acquired or sold by, or any activities, services or businesses conducted or provided by, any group or business unit within or affiliate of a Consenting Noteholder (A) that has not been involved in and is not acting at the direction of or with knowledge of the affairs of the Company and/or its Subsidiaries provided by any Person involved in the Transaction discussions or (B) is on the other side of an information firewall with respect to the officers, partners and employees of such Consenting Noteholder who have been working on the Transaction and is not acting at the direction of or with knowledge of the affairs of the Company and/or its Subsidiaries provided by any officers, partners and employees of such Consenting Noteholder who have been working on the Transaction;
 - (ii) any securities, loans or other obligations that may be beneficially owned by clients of a Consenting Noteholder, including accounts or funds managed by the Consenting Noteholder, that are not Notes or Debt; or

- (iii) any securities, loans or other obligations (including Notes) that may be beneficially owned by clients of a Consenting Noteholder that are not managed or administered by the Consenting Noteholder.
- (b) Subject to Section 4 hereof with respect to Consenting Noteholders' Relevant Notes and Debt and to the provisions of any applicable Noteholder Confidentiality Agreement, nothing in this Agreement is intended to preclude any of the Consenting Noteholders from engaging in any securities transactions.
- (c) This Agreement shall in no way be construed to preclude any Consenting Noteholder from acquiring additional Notes ("**Additional Notes**"). If a Consenting Noteholder acquires Additional Notes after the date hereof, the Consenting Noteholder shall be bound by the terms of this Agreement in respect of such Additional Notes, and such Additional Notes shall constitute Relevant Notes for purposes of this Agreement.
- (d) At any time, a Noteholder that is not a Consenting Noteholder may agree with the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries to become a Party to this Agreement by executing and delivering to the Company, with a copy to the Advisors, a Joinder Agreement substantially in the form of Schedule C.
- (e) The headings of the Sections of this Agreement have been inserted for convenience of reference only, are not to be considered a part hereof, and shall in no way modify or restrict any of the terms or provisions hereof.
- (f) Unless the context otherwise requires, words importing the singular shall include the plural and vice versa and words importing any gender shall include all genders.
- (g) Unless otherwise specifically indicated, all sums of money referred to in this Agreement are expressed in lawful money of the United States.
- (h) This Agreement, the Noteholder Confidentiality Agreements and any other agreements contemplated by or entered into pursuant to this Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings, both oral and written, among the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof.
- (i) The agreements, representations and obligations of the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries are, in all respects, several and not joint and several. The Company and the Direct Subsidiaries acknowledge and agree that any waiver or consent that the Consenting Noteholders may make on or after the date hereof has been made by the Consenting Noteholders in reliance upon, and in consideration for, the covenants, agreements, representations and warranties of the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries hereunder.
- (j) The agreements, representations and obligations of the Consenting Noteholders under this Agreement are, in all respects, several (in proportion to the percentage

of the aggregate principal amount of Notes represented by a Consenting Noteholder's Relevant Notes) and not joint and several. Each Consenting Noteholder acknowledges and agrees that any waiver or consent that the Company may make on or after the date hereof has been made by the Company in reliance upon, and in consideration for, the covenants, agreements, representations and warranties of the Consenting Noteholders hereunder.

- (k) Any Person signing this Agreement in a representative capacity (i) represents and warrants that he/she is authorized to sign this Agreement on behalf of the Party he/she represents and that his/her signature upon this Agreement will bind the represented Party to the terms hereof, and (ii) acknowledges that the other Parties hereto have relied upon such representation and warranty.
- (l) Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, for the purposes of this Agreement, any matter requiring the agreement, waiver, consent or approval under this Agreement of (i) the Consenting Noteholders shall require the agreement, waiver, consent or approval of Consenting Noteholders representing at least a majority of the aggregate principal amount of Relevant Notes held by the Consenting Noteholders, and for (ii) the Initial Consenting Noteholders shall require the agreement, waiver, consent or approval of Initial Consenting Noteholders representing at least 66 2/3% of the aggregate principal amount of Relevant Notes held by the Initial Consenting Noteholders. The Company shall be entitled to rely on written confirmation from the Advisors that the Consenting Noteholders or the Initial Consenting Noteholders, as applicable, representing at least the foregoing aggregate principal amount of Relevant Notes held by the Consenting Noteholders or the Initial Consenting Noteholders, as applicable, have agreed, waived, consented to or approved a particular matter.
- (m) Solely for the purpose of determining whether the holders of the requisite percentage of the aggregate principal amount of Notes have agreed, approved or consented to any amendment, waiver or consent to be given under this Agreement or under any documents related thereto, or have directed the taking of any action provided herein or in any of the documents related thereto to be taken upon the direction of the holders of a specified percentage of the aggregate principal amount of Notes, Notes directly or indirectly owned by the Company or any of its Subsidiaries shall be deemed not to be outstanding.
- (n) This Agreement may be modified, amended or supplemented as to any matter by an instrument in writing signed by the Company, the Direct Subsidiaries and Initial Consenting Noteholders (as determined in accordance with Section 17(I)).
- (o) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, if this Agreement is amended, modified or supplemented or any matter herein is approved, consented to or waived: (i) in a manner that materially adversely affects the consideration to be provided to the Noteholders as set forth in Section 1 hereof to be provided to Noteholders; (ii) or that limits an Individual Noteholder's ability to exercise the termination rights set forth in Sections 11(i) and 11(k) hereof; or (iii) such that

the Outside Date is extended beyond November 30, 2012, then any Consenting Noteholder that objects to any such amendment, modification, supplement, approval, consent or waiver may terminate its obligations under this Agreement upon five (5) Business Days' written notice to the other Parties hereto (each, an "Objecting Noteholder") and shall thereupon no longer be a Consenting Noteholder. For greater certainty, an Objecting Noteholder shall not be entitled to receive any consideration provided to Consent Date Noteholders hereunder.

- (p) Time is of the essence in the performance of the Parties' respective obligations. Any date, time or period referred to in this Agreement shall be of the essence, except to the extent to which the Parties agree in writing to vary any date, time or period, in which event the varied date, time or period shall be of the essence,
- (q) All notices and other communications which may be or are required to be given pursuant to any provision of this Agreement shall be given or made in writing and shall be deemed to be validly given if served personally or by facsimile transmission, in each case addressed to the particular Party:

- (i) If to the Company or any Direct Subsidiary:

Sino-Forest Corporation
 Room 3815-29 38/F, Sun Hung Kai Centre
 30 Harbour Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong

Attention: Mr. Judson Martin, Executive Vice-Chairman and Chief
 Executive Officer
 Fax: +852-2877-0062;

with a copy by email or fax (which shall not be deemed notice) to:

Bennett Jones LLP
 One First Canadian Place, Suite 3400
 Toronto, ON M5X 1A4

Attention: Kevin J. Zych and Raj S. Sahni
 Email: zychk@bennettjones.com and sahnir@bennettjones.com
 Fax: 416-863-1716

- (ii) If to the Consenting Noteholders, at the address set forth for each Consenting Noteholder beside its signature hereto;

with a copy by email or fax (which shall not be deemed notice) to:

Goodmans LLP
 Bay Adelaide Centre
 333 Bay Street, Suite 3400
 Toronto, Ontario M5H 2S7

Attention: Robert Chadwick and Brendan O'Neill
 Email: rchadwick@goodmans.ca and boneill@goodmans.ca
 Facsimile: 416-979-1234

and with a copy by email or fax (which shall not be deemed notice) to:

Hogan Lovells LLP
 11th Floor, One Pacific Place, 88 Queensway
 Hong Kong China

Attention: Neil McDonald
 Email: neil.mcdonald@hoganlovells.com
 Facsimile: 852-2219-0222

or at such other address of which any Party may, from time to time, advise the other Parties by notice in writing given in accordance with the foregoing. The date of receipt of any such notice shall be deemed to be the date of delivery or transmission thereof.

- (r) If any term or other provision of this Agreement is invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced by any rule of law or public policy, all other conditions and provisions of this Agreement shall nevertheless remain in full force and effect. Upon such determination that any term or other provision is invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced, the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to modify this Agreement so as to effect the original intent of the Parties as closely as possible in a mutually acceptable manner in order that the terms of this Agreement remain as originally contemplated to the fullest extent possible.
- (s) This Agreement shall be binding upon and enure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and each of their respective successors, assigns, heirs and personal representatives, provided that no Party may assign, delegate or otherwise transfer any of its rights, interests or obligations under this Agreement without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, except that each Consenting Noteholder is permitted to assign, delegate or otherwise transfer any of its rights, interests or obligations under this Agreement as set forth in Section 4(c).
- (t) This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the federal laws of Canada applicable therein, without regard to principles of conflicts of law. Each Party submits to the jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario in any action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Agreement.

- (u) The Parties waive any right to trial by jury in any proceeding arising out of or relating to this Agreement or any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, present or future, and whether sounding in contract, tort or otherwise. Any Party may file a copy of this provision with any court as written evidence of the knowing, voluntary and bargained for agreement between the Parties irrevocably to waive trial by jury, and that any proceeding whatsoever between them relating to this Agreement or any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall instead be tried by a judge or judges sitting without a jury.
- (v) No director, officer or employee of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries or any of their legal, financial or other advisors shall have any personal liability to any of the Consenting Noteholders under this Agreement. No director, officer or employee of any of the Consenting Noteholders or any of the Advisors shall have any personal liability to the Company or any of its Subsidiaries under this Agreement.
- (w) It is understood and agreed by the Parties that money damages would be an insufficient remedy for any breach of this Agreement by any Party and each non-breaching Party shall be entitled to specific performance and injunctive or other equitable relief as a remedy of any such breach including, without limitation, an order of the Court or other court of competent jurisdiction requiring any Party to comply promptly with any of its obligations hereunder.
- (x) All rights, powers, and remedies provided under this Agreement or otherwise in respect hereof at law or in equity shall be cumulative and not alternative, and the exercise of any right, power, or remedy thereof by any Party shall not preclude the simultaneous or later exercise of any other such right, power, or remedy by such Party.
- (y) No condition in this Agreement shall be enforceable by a Party if any failure to satisfy such condition results from an action, error or omissions by or within the control of such Party.
- (z) Where any representation or warranty of the Company and the Direct Subsidiaries contained in this Agreement is expressly qualified by reference to the knowledge of the Company, it refers to the actual knowledge, after due inquiry, of the Executive Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of the Company, and does not include the knowledge or awareness of any other individual or any constructive, implied or imputed knowledge.
- (aa) Unless expressly stated herein, this Agreement shall be solely for the benefit of the Parties, and no other person or entity shall be a third-party beneficiary hereof.

- (bb) This Agreement may be signed in counterparts, each of which, when taken together, shall be deemed an original. Execution of this Agreement is effective if a signature is delivered by facsimile transmission or electronic (e.g., pdf) transmission.

[Remainder of this page intentionally left blank; next page is signature page]

THIS IS EXHIBIT "D" TO
THE AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
SWORN AUGUST 14, 2012



A Commissioner, etc.

Tai Kam Cheung
Deacons
Solicitor, Hong Kong SAR

Court File No.

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
(Sworn March 30, 2012)

I, W. Judson Martin, of the City of Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region, People's Republic of China, **MAKE OATH AND SAY:**

1. I am the Vice-Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sino-Forest Corporation ("SFC"). I therefore have personal knowledge of the matters set out below, except where otherwise stated. Where I do not possess personal knowledge, I have stated the source of my information and I believe such information to be true.

2. This affidavit is sworn in support of an application by SFC for an initial order (the "Initial Order") pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "CCAA"), a sale process order (the "Sale Process Order") and other requested relief. In preparing this affidavit, I have consulted with other members of SFC's senior management team and, where necessary, members of the senior management teams of certain of SFC's subsidiaries.

3. All references to dollar amounts contained in this affidavit are to United States Dollars unless otherwise stated.

I. OVERVIEW

4. SFC is a Canadian corporation and is the direct or indirect parent of approximately 140 subsidiaries, the majority of which are incorporated in the People's Republic of China (the "PRC"). The terms "Sino-Forest Companies" and "Sino-Forest" refer to the global enterprise as a whole (but, for greater certainty, do not include the Greenheart Group, defined below).

5. Sino-Forest is a major integrated forest plantation operator and forest products company. Its principal businesses include the ownership and management of plantation forests, the sale of standing timber and wood logs, and the complementary manufacturing of downstream engineered-wood products. The majority of Sino-Forest's plantations are located in the southern and eastern regions of the PRC, primarily in inland regions suitable for large-scale replanting.

6. Sino-Forest's business operations are mainly in the PRC with corporate offices in Hong Kong and Ontario, Canada.

7. On June 2, 2011, Muddy Waters, LLC ("Muddy Waters"), which held a short position on SFC's shares, published a report (the "MW Report") alleging that Sino-Forest, among other things, was a "near total fraud" and a "Ponzi scheme." SFC's board of directors (the "Board") appointed an independent committee (the "IC") to investigate the Muddy Waters allegations.

8. While the IC has been able to address certain of the allegations made by Muddy Waters, the MW Report has had a ripple effect in causing substantial damage to SFC, its business, and future prospects for viability. As part of the fallout from the MW Report, (i) SFC now finds

itself embroiled in multiple class action proceedings across Canada and in the U.S., (ii) SFC is the subject of Ontario Securities Commission ("OSC"), Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission ("HKSFC"), and Royal Canadian Mounted Police ("RCMP") investigations, and (iii) SFC's Audit Committee recommended, and the Board agreed, that SFC should defer the release of SFC's third quarter 2011 financial statements (the "Q3 Results") until certain issues could be resolved to the satisfaction of the Board and SFC's external auditor

9. Significantly, SFC's inability to file its Q3 Results resulted in a default under its note indentures, which could have resulted in the acceleration and enforcement of approximately \$1.8 billion in notes issued by SFC and guaranteed by many of its subsidiaries.

10. Following extensive discussions with an ad hoc committee of noteholders (the "Ad Hoc Noteholders"), holders of a majority in principal amount of SFC's senior notes agreed to waive the default arising from SFC's failure to release the Q3 Results on a timely basis, on certain terms and conditions that were set forth in waiver agreements between certain of the noteholders and SFC, which were made publicly available on January 12, 2012 and are attached as Exhibit "A".

11. While the waiver agreements prevented the indenture trustees under the relevant note indentures from accelerating and enforcing the note indebtedness as a result of SFC's failure to file its Q3 Results, those waiver agreements will expire on the earlier of April 30, 2012 and any earlier termination of the waiver agreements in accordance with their terms. In addition, SFC's pending failure to file its audited financial statements for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 (the "2011 Results") by March 30, 2012 will again put the indenture trustees in a position

to accelerate and enforce the bond indebtedness, creating additional uncertainty around Sino-Forest's business.

12. SFC has made considerable efforts to address issues identified by SFC's Audit Committee and the IC and by its external auditor, Ernst & Young LLP, as requiring resolution in order for SFC to be in a position to obtain an audit opinion in relation to its 2011 financial statements.

13. However, notwithstanding SFC's best efforts, many of these issues cannot be resolved to the satisfaction of SFC's auditor or cannot be resolved within a timeframe that would protect and preserve the value of the business, and that would allow SFC to comply with its obligations under its note indentures. Therefore, absent a resolution with the noteholders, the indenture trustees would be in a position to enforce their legal rights as early as April 30, 2012.

14. Following extensive arm's length negotiations between SFC and the Ad Hoc Noteholders, the parties agreed on the framework for a consensual resolution of SFC's defaults and the restructuring of its business, and entered into a support agreement (the "Support Agreement") on March 30, 2012, which was executed by holders of SFC's notes holding approximately 40% of the notes. The Support Agreement contemplates, and in fact provides an incentive for, additional noteholders becoming party to the Support Agreement by way of joinder agreements. Accordingly, I fully expect that noteholders holding more than 50% of each series of notes will ultimately sign up to the Support Agreement.

15. The Support Agreement provides that SFC will pursue a plan of arrangement or compromise (the "Plan") on the terms set out in the Support Agreement in order to implement the agreed-upon restructuring transaction as part of this CCAA proceeding which would, among other things, (i) see SFC's business operations conveyed to, and revitalized under, a new entity to

be owned primarily by the noteholders ("SF Newco"), (ii) provide stakeholders of SFC with claims ranking behind the noteholders (the "Junior Constituents") with certain participation rights in SF Newco, and (iii) create (and provide funding for) a framework for the prosecution of certain litigation claims for the benefit of certain of SFC's stakeholders. The agreement also provides that each noteholder that is a signatory thereto (the "Consenting Noteholders") will vote its notes in favour of the Plan at any meeting of creditors.

16. The Support Agreement further provides that SFC will undertake a sale process (the "Sale Process") in accordance with the sale process procedures (the "Sale Process Procedures") which have been developed in consultation with the proposed monitor, and have been accepted by the parties to the Support Agreement.

17. The Sale Process is intended to provide a "market test" by which third parties may propose to acquire Sino-Forest's business operations through a CCAA Plan (in a manner that would under certain scenarios potentially allow Junior Constituents to share in the proceeds of a sale even though the noteholders may not be paid in full) as an alternative to the SF Newco restructuring transaction between SFC and its noteholders, described above.

18. A redacted copy of the Support Agreement (redacted to preserve confidentiality of the parties only) is attached as Exhibit "B" and will be posted on SEDAR and the proposed monitor's website at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc>.

19. As described in greater detail below, SFC's business operations are primarily in the PRC and are held by SFC through intermediate holding companies incorporated (for the most part) in either the British Virgin Islands ("BVI") or Hong Kong. Most of these intermediate holding companies are guarantors of SFC's note indebtedness.

20. As further described below, as a result of the uncertainty created by the MW Report, Sino-Forest's business has been severely curtailed, and Sino-Forest's ability to grow its business has been severely reduced. Therefore, SFC now needs to be restructured in order to continue the development of the business and unlock the value of its asset base for the benefit of its stakeholders. Further, although the PRC government has been generally cooperative and encouraging of Sino-Forest to date, it has expressed increasing concern as to the future of Sino-Forest in the PRC. As discussed below, the ongoing support and relationship with the PRC government (on all levels) is crucial to Sino-Forest's operations.

21. Among other things, the Sino-Forest Companies are (i) having a difficult time maintaining existing and obtaining new credit in the PRC to help fund the PRC-based business operation and in Hong Kong for the imported log trading business, (ii) making very few purchases of new timber (and therefore not expanding their asset base), (iii) finding it difficult to collect their accounts receivables, and (iv) receiving increasing demands on their accounts payable. I believe that, if Sino-Forest's business is to be saved in a manner beneficial to SFC's stakeholders, it is imperative that SFC take steps to demonstrate that Sino-Forest's business is being separated from the uncertainty created by the MW Report.

22. Accordingly, and for the reasons set out herein, the commencement of a restructuring and the Sale Process is urgently required and should be pursued to preserve SFC's business as a going concern and thus the inherent value of the enterprise.

23. This application has been authorized by the Board.

II. PERSONAL BACKGROUND

24. I began my career with PricewaterhouseCoopers in 1979. In 1982 I joined Trizec Corporation Ltd. ("Trizec"), a Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") listed commercial real estate company then controlled by the Brascan Group. During my 13 years with the group of companies controlled by the Brascan Group, I held several senior positions, including Vice President, Finance and Treasurer of Trizec, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Brookfield Development Corporation, and President and CEO of Trilon Securities Corporation.

25. After leaving the Brascan Group, I joined MDC Corporation, where my positions included Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer, and a member of the company's board of directors.

26. In 1999, I was appointed Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Alliance Atlantis Communications Inc. ("Alliance Atlantis"), then Canada's leading entertainment and broadcasting company that was then listed on the TSX and on the NASDAQ. I ceased to be an executive and employee of Alliance Atlantis in 2005 due to health reasons and thereafter acted as a consultant to Alliance Atlantis until 2007.

27. I have been a director of SFC since 2006. I joined the Board in 2006 as an independent, external director. I was appointed Lead Director in 2007, a position I held until June 2010, when I became an employee of SFC responsible for its acquisition of Greenheart Group Limited (Bermuda) ("Greenheart") and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Greenheart Group"). At that time I became Executive Vice-Chairman of SFC and, following SFC's acquisition of a majority interest in Greenheart in August 2010, I became the CEO and an Executive Director of

Greenheart and in 2011 was appointed Chairman of Greenheart. On August 26, 2011, I was appointed as CEO of SFC. I have lived and worked out of Hong Kong since becoming an employee of SFC in 2010.

III. SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

A. Overview

28. SFC was formed under the *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario) upon the amalgamation of Mt. Kearsage Minerals Inc. and 1028412 Ontario Inc. pursuant to articles of amalgamation dated March 14, 1994. The articles of amalgamation were amended by articles of amendment filed on July 20, 1995 and May 20, 1999 to effect certain changes in the provisions attaching to SFC's class A subordinate-voting shares and SFC's class B multiple-voting shares.

29. On June 25, 2002, SFC filed articles of continuance to continue under the *Canada Business Corporations Act* (the "CBCA"). On June 22, 2004, SFC filed articles of amendment whereby its class A subordinate-voting shares were reclassified as common shares and its class B multiple-voting shares were eliminated. A copy of the articles of continuance referred to above is attached as Exhibit "C".

30. Subject to paragraph 31 below, copies of all SFC financial statements prepared during the year preceding the application for the Initial Order are attached as Exhibit "D". In considering these financial statements, the Court should be aware that SFC cautioned in a January 10, 2012 press release, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "E", that its historic financial statements (upon which portions of this affidavit are based) and related audit reports should not be relied upon. The circumstances giving rise to the press release are discussed below.

31. Attached as Exhibit "F" is a copy of the management-prepared unaudited financial statements for the third quarter of 2011. These statements have not been approved by SFC's Audit Committee or the Board and are subject to the limitations described in the January 10, 2012 press release. Moreover, they have not been subject to the same level of internal and external review and analysis as SFC's prior annual audited and quarterly financial statements. These financial unaudited statements have not previously been publicly disclosed.

32. Sino-Forest is a publicly listed major integrated forest plantation operator and forest products company, with assets predominantly in the PRC. Its principal businesses include the sale of standing timber and wood logs, the ownership and management of forest plantation trees, and the complementary manufacturing of downstream engineered-wood products. As at December 31, 2010, Sino-Forest reported approximately 788,700 hectares of forest plantations under management, located primarily in the southern and eastern regions of the PRC.

33. In addition, SFC holds an indirect majority interest in Greenheart, a Hong Kong listed investment holding company, which, together with its subsidiaries, as at March 31, 2011, owned certain rights and managed approximately 312,000 hectares of hardwood forest concessions in the Republic of Suriname ("Suriname") and 11,000 hectares of a radiata pine plantation on 13,000 hectares of freehold land in New Zealand.

34. While Greenheart is an indirect subsidiary of SFC, it has its own distinct operations and financing arrangements and is not party to or a guarantor of the notes issued by SFC. Greenheart Group and SFC operate out of separate office buildings in Hong Kong.

35. Greenheart Group was not implicated in the allegations made against Sino-Forest by Muddy Waters on June 2, 2011, discussed below. As such, the Greenheart Group and matters

relating thereto are not intended to be affected by or included in this proceeding. Greenheart Group has nevertheless been impacted by the allegations made against Sino-Forest. Among other things, Greenheart Group has previously relied on funding from SFC and could be negatively impacted if SFC's business ceases to operate as a going concern. This in turn could negatively impact the value of SFC's investment in Greenheart.

36. Since 1995, SFC has been a publicly listed company on the TSX with its shares traded under the symbol "TRE". SFC's registered office is in Mississauga, Ontario and its principal executive office is in Hong Kong. Two of SFC's senior financial officers reside in Ontario, as do three of its external directors.

37. SFC has issued four series of notes which have a combined principal amount outstanding of approximately \$1.8 billion. Two of the series of notes are supported by guarantees from 64 of SFC's subsidiaries (none of which are incorporated in the PRC), and the other two series of notes are supported by guarantees from 60 of those same subsidiaries and share pledges from 10 of those same subsidiaries.

38. Certain other Sino-Forest Companies have their own distinct banking facilities which are not intended to be affected by or included in this proceeding. In particular, none of the subsidiaries incorporated in the PRC are party to or guarantors of SFC's notes and are not intended to be affected by or included in this proceeding.

B. Corporate Structure

39. SFC is the sole shareholder of Sino-Panel Holdings Limited (incorporated in the BVI), Sino-Global Holdings Inc. (incorporated in the BVI), Sino-Panel Corporation (incorporated in Canada), Sino-Wood Partners Limited (incorporated in Hong Kong), Sino-Capital Global Inc.

(incorporated in the BVI), and Sino-Forest International (Barbados) Corporation (incorporated in Barbados). SFC also holds all of the preference shares of Sino-Forest Resources Inc. (incorporated in the BVI). Some of these subsidiaries have further direct and indirect subsidiaries. A copy of the Sino-Forest corporate organization chart is attached as Exhibit "G" (which includes certain major subsidiaries of Greenheart).

40. A total of 137 entities make up the Sino-Forest Companies: 67 PRC incorporated entities (with 12 branch companies), 58 BVI incorporated entities, 7 Hong Kong incorporated entities, 2 Canadian entities and 3 entities incorporated in other jurisdictions. A list of all subsidiaries with addresses is attached as Exhibit "H" (which does not include subsidiaries of Greenheart, but does contain Sino-Forest branch companies).

C. Capital Structure

1. Equity

41. The authorized share capital of SFC consists of an unlimited number of common shares and an unlimited number of preference shares issuable in series. Each holder of common shares is entitled to one vote at meetings of shareholders other than meetings of the holders of another class of shares.

42. Each holder of common shares is also entitled to receive dividends if, as and when declared by the Board. Holders of common shares are also entitled to participate in any distribution of net assets upon liquidation, dissolution or winding-up on an equal basis per share. There are no pre-emptive, redemption, retraction, purchase or conversion rights attaching to the common shares.

43. As at June 30, 2011, a total of 246,095,926 common shares were issued and outstanding. No preference shares have been issued.

2. Debt

44. SFC has issued four series of notes which remain outstanding. The four series of notes mature at various times between 2013 and 2017. The note indenture for each series of notes provides that it is governed by New York law. Each note indenture contains a "no suits by holders" clause. Other than the debt outstanding under the notes, SFC does not have any significant levels of normal course payables.

(a) 2017 Senior Notes

45. On October 21, 2010, SFC issued guaranteed senior notes in the principal amount of \$600 million. These notes mature on October 21, 2017, and interest is payable semi-annually, on April 21 and October 21, at a rate of 6.25% per annum. These notes are listed on the Singapore Stock Exchange and are supported by guarantees from 60 subsidiaries of SFC and share pledges from 10 of those same subsidiaries. A copy of the relevant indenture is attached as Exhibit "I".

(b) 2016 Convertible Notes

46. On December 17, 2009, SFC issued convertible guaranteed notes in the principal amount of \$460 million. These notes mature on December 15, 2016, and interest is payable semi-annually, on June 15 and December 15, at a rate of 4.25% per annum. These notes are supported by guarantees from 64 subsidiaries of SFC. A copy of the relevant indenture is attached as Exhibit "J".

(c) 2014 Senior Notes

47. On July 27, 2009, SFC issued guaranteed senior notes in the principal amount of \$399,187,000. These notes mature on July 28, 2014, and interest is payable semi-annually, on January 26 and July 26, at a rate of 10.25% per annum. These notes are listed on the Singapore Stock Exchange and are supported by guarantees from 60 subsidiaries of SFC and share pledges from 10 of those same subsidiaries. A copy of the relevant indenture is attached as Exhibit "K".

(d) 2013 Convertible Notes

48. On July 23, 2008, SFC issued convertible guaranteed notes in the principal amount of \$345 million. These notes mature on August 1, 2013, and interest is payable semi-annually, on February 1 and August 1, at a rate of 5% per annum. These notes are supported by guarantees from 64 subsidiaries of SFC. A copy of the relevant indenture is attached as Exhibit "L".

49. In addition to the four series of notes issued by SFC, many of SFC's subsidiaries (including the Greenheart Group and many of those incorporated in the PRC) have their own distinct banking facilities, including lending facilities, which are not intended to be affected by this proceeding.

D. The Business Model

1. Plantation / Timber Rights in the PRC

50. There are four types of rights associated with plantations in the PRC, namely (i) plantation land ownership, (ii) plantation land use rights, (iii) timber ownership, and (iv) timber use rights. All of these are separate rights and can be separately owned by different parties.

51. Generally, private enterprises cannot own plantation land in the PRC but may hold plantation land use rights for a specified duration (up to 70 years but typically 30 to 50 years), timber ownership and timber use rights. However, foreign enterprises cannot acquire land use rights and can instead only acquire timber ownership or timber use rights.

52. The various rights associated with plantations in the PRC and the limitations on which entities can hold which rights were the driving forces behind Sino-Forest's complex business models discussed below.

53. For its timber business in the PRC, Sino-Forest utilizes two models, one involving BVI entities ("BVIs"), and the other involving subsidiaries incorporated in the PRC as wholly foreign owned enterprises ("WFOEs").

2. The BVI Model

54. Until 2004, due to restrictions on foreign companies carrying on business in the PRC, and foreign ownership restrictions on land ownership and use rights, the BVI structure was the model primarily used by Sino-Forest for its forestry business in the PRC. Sino-Forest has established 58 BVI companies, 55 of which are guarantors of at least certain of SFC's notes. Not all of these BVIs are involved in the BVI model or standing timber business. Of the 58, there are 20 involved in the BVI standing timber business while the remaining BVIs are either holding companies or used in Sino-Forest's log trading business.

55. The Sino-Forest BVI entities involved in the standing timber business acquire standing timber from suppliers. The suppliers are usually aggregators who acquire the standing timber and, typically, land use rights from other suppliers or from original timber owners, such as villagers or collectives, or from smaller aggregators. As non-PRC companies, the BVIs could

not and did not acquire land use rights in the PRC, and instead only acquired the rights to timber in the PRC pursuant to the relevant standing timber purchase contracts.

56. Due to restrictions under PRC laws, foreign companies are not permitted to conduct business in the PRC without business licenses granted by competent governmental authorities. Therefore, the Sino-Forest BVI entities do not sell standing timber directly to customers. Instead, for historical and commercial reasons, they conduct the sale of standing timber through "authorized intermediaries" ("AIs", which are also called "entrusted sales agents" in the BVI model) pursuant to "entrusted sales agreements". The AIs serve as Sino-Forest's customers under the BVI model of its standing timber business.

57. Pursuant to the entrusted sales agreements entered into with the AIs, the AIs are obliged to deduct and remit all of the applicable taxes on behalf of Sino-Forest. Sino-Forest is not, however, in a position to know whether or not the AIs have in fact remitted applicable taxes on behalf of Sino-Forest.

58. As at June 30, 2011, Sino-Forest therefore accumulated and recognized a provision, based on a probability-weighted average of the amounts that the PRC tax authorities might seek to recover under various scenarios, of \$204,722,000 in its reported financial results to account for this potential tax liability. The method used to calculate this provision is explained at note 18 of SFC's 2011 second quarter financial statements, which were previously attached. A similar provision was included in SFC's 2010 Audited Financial Statements and was audited by SFC's external auditors.

59. BVIs are not allowed to have bank accounts in the PRC and money flowing in and out of the PRC is strictly controlled through foreign exchange controls. As a result, the Sino-Forest

BVI entities do not directly pay the suppliers or receive payments from the AIs. Instead, they are instructed to make set-off payments under which, pursuant to the instructions of Sino-Forest, AIs directly or indirectly make payments directly or indirectly to Sino-Forest's suppliers for amounts owed by Sino-Forest BVI entities to those suppliers. As a result, no cash actually flows directly through the BVIs. SFC then receives confirmations from the suppliers confirming that payments have been made.

60. The BVI structure is the central driver of asset value, revenue and income for Sino-Forest. As at December 31, 2010, it accounted for \$2.476 billion of book value (466,826 hectares of timber assets, representing approximately 59.2% of Sino-Forest's timber holdings by area and 89.2% of its timber holdings by book value), \$1.326 billion in revenue (representing approximately 70% of Sino-Forest's revenue), and approximately \$622 million of gross profit (representing approximately 92.6% of Sino-Forest's gross profits) for the year then ended.

61. The cashless nature of the BVI model means that Sino-Forest cannot obtain cash from its operations or monetize its assets without engaging in the complicated on-shoring process which is discussed further below. Furthermore, the set-off payment system necessitated by the BVI model impaired the IC's efforts to verify the flow of funds during its investigation.

3. The WFOE Model

62. Commencing in 2004, the PRC's Ministry of Commerce permitted foreign investors to invest in PRC-incorporated trading companies and to participate in most areas of the commodity distribution industry, including the purchase of standing timber and land use rights throughout the PRC. Prior to this time, WFOEs were prohibited from engaging in the commodity distribution industry.

63. Since 2004, almost all of Sino-Forest's new capital invested in timber assets has been employed through the WFOE model (as opposed to the BVI model).

64. Unlike BVIs, WFOEs can acquire land use rights or land leases as well as standing timber rights, and can have bank accounts in the PRC. Because of the WFOEs' direct presence in the PRC, they can also obtain financing from PRC banks to finance their operations. WFOEs can log the timber and sell both logs and standing timber to end customers, which means they do not need (and do not use) AIs. The WFOEs directly pay the suppliers for the standing timber and directly receive payment from end customers instead of utilizing the set-off arrangement used by Sino-Forest's BVI entities in the BVI model.

65. As at December 31, 2010, Sino-Forest's WFOEs held approximately 244,000 hectares of purchased plantations (representing approximately 30.9% of Sino-Forest's timber holdings by area) and 77,700 hectares of planted plantations (representing approximately 9.9% of Sino-Forest's timber holdings by area). Purchased plantations and planted plantations are discussed in further detail below. The WFOE standing timber assets accounted for approximately 10.8% of Sino-Forest's timber holdings by book value, and represented approximately \$298.6 million of book value, \$74 million in revenue, and \$10 million of income for the 2010 year before the allocation of corporate overhead.

66. None of Sino-Forest's WFOEs are guarantors of SFC's notes, nor have their shares been pledged by their BVI parents.

4. On-shoring Plan

67. Given the inherent problems with the BVI structure and the relative advantages of the WFOE structure, Sino-Forest has explored various methods of migrating or "on-shoring" its BVI

timber assets into WFOE structures. The successful transition of assets from a BVI structure to a WFOE structure has many merits including, significantly, providing a foreign parent an ability to have direct access to the cash generated from the sale of BVI timber assets.

68. The on-shoring process is expected to be a multi-year process due to (i) the volume of assets that need to be moved into the WFOE model, (ii) the large number of different locations in which Sino-Forest has timber assets in the PRC, (iii) the likely multiple rounds of negotiations required with the various stakeholders in each location, and (iv) SFC's limited resources.

E. Operations

69. Sino-Forest's operations are comprised of three core business segments. Wood fibre operations and log trading are the primary revenue contributors, while manufacturing and other operations enhance the value of the fibre operations by producing downstream products.

1. Wood Fibre Operations

70. Sino-Forest's wood fibre operations consists of acquiring, cultivating and selling standing timber or logs from purchased and planted plantations in nine provinces across the PRC.

71. Sino-Forest's upstream wood fibre operations generate the majority of its revenue, accounting for 96.4% of total revenue in the year ended December 31, 2010. Most of the standing timber and logs sold by Sino-Forest come from Sino-Forest's tree plantations, located primarily in the southern and eastern regions of the PRC.

72. Sino-Forest operates plantations for the wood fibre operations using two principal business models: purchased and planted, each of which is explained in greater detail below. The purchased plantation model operates through two legal structures: the BVI/AI legal structure

and, to a lesser but growing extent, the WFOE legal structure. The planted plantations model is operated exclusively through the WFOE legal structure, although the WFOEs themselves are typically held indirectly through a BVI holding structure. Many foreign investors, including well known multi-national companies, hold their investments in the PRC in special purpose vehicles established overseas in jurisdictions with a familiar and internationally accepted system of corporate governance. For example, over 75% of blue chip companies listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange (Hang Seng Index constituent stocks excluding the Finance Sub-Index) utilize BVI holding structures, including for their investments in the PRC.

(a) Purchased Plantation Model

73. The purchased plantation model under the BVI/AI legal structure involves the purchase of standing timber and sale of standing timber pursuant to standardized timber purchase agreements and "entrusted sale agreements". The standing timber purchased is generally on land owned by collectives or villages, not PRC state-owned land. When conducted through the BVI/AI legal structure, of which 20 BVIs hold all of the BVI timber assets, the timber purchases are arranged through suppliers.

74. The BVI structure does not involve the BVIs concurrently purchasing land use rights or leases with the purchase of standing timber, as the BVIs cannot legally acquire land use rights. However, the BVIs' supply contracts typically contain a right of first refusal for the BVIs to acquire, or nominate an affiliate to acquire, the plantation land use rights after the timber has been harvested. Despite such common contractual provisions, such right has rarely, if ever, been exercised.

75. The BVIs do not sell standing timber directly to customers. They sell under contract to the AI (customer) who usually resells the standing timber to its own customers. The BVIs' timber sales accounts receivables are settled by the AI making payments to suppliers (directly or indirectly to other parties on their behalf) on behalf of Sino-Forest. The AI does not pay the same supplier for the same trees it is selling to its customers. It pays a supplier for trees newly purchased by Sino-Forest from that supplier. These payments made by way of set-off enable the BVIs to acquire further standing timber from suppliers, which is matured and later sold. All BVI purchases are funded through the set-off mechanism using accounts receivable owed to Sino-Forest. This is a recognized legal structure in the PRC.

76. WFOEs are also engaged in the purchase and sale of standing timber. When conducted through a WFOE, purchases of standing timber are sometimes accompanied by concurrently obtaining plantation land use rights or leases (which are purchased plantations). WFOE standing timber transactions do not involve payments by way of set-off. They are conducted on a direct fund transfer basis.

77. In both the BVI and WFOE structure, the purchase price of the trees takes into account a variety of factors such as the trees' species, yield, age, size, quality and location. Other considerations include soil and weather conditions for replanting, log prices, and regional market location and demand. Sino-Forest does not typically need to conduct extensive plantation management work with respect to the trees growing on the purchased plantations, but does take measures to ensure that the trees are protected from pests, disease and theft.

78. SFC's approach is to purchase plantations in remote parts of the PRC that the PRC government has identified in its five year plans as being areas for future development. As a result, physical access to the plantations is often very challenging.

79. As at December 31, 2010, the purchased plantations under Sino-Forest management in the PRC consisted of approximately 711,000 hectares. These plantations consisted of a diverse mix of tree species, predominantly pine, Chinese fir and eucalyptus. Purchasing trees allows Sino-Forest to quickly expand its plantation portfolio geographically, as well as its inventory of harvestable fibre and leasable land.

(b) Planted Plantation Model

80. The planted plantation model is conducted by WFOEs, and involves obtaining plantation land use rights, sometimes with standing timber and sometimes as bare land suitable for planting. Sales from these planted plantations do not utilize the AI model but rather generally involve direct fund transfers to and from the WFOEs' suppliers and customers. As of December 31, 2010, SFC's planted plantations in the PRC operated through WFOEs comprised approximately 77,700 hectares.

81. Sino-Forest leases suitable land on a long-term basis, typically 30 to 50 years, and applies scientifically advanced seedling technology and silviculture techniques to improve tree growth. The mature trees are sold as standing timber or as harvested logs, and then Sino-Forest replants the land with seedlings.

82. Sino-Forest's operating model allows for the sale of fibre either as standing timber or harvested logs, depending on its customers' preferences and market demand.

83. Sino-Forest's planted plantations consist primarily of eucalyptus trees, a fast-growing high yielding species. According to the seventh five-year National Forest Inventory released by the State Forestry Administration (2004 to 2008), it is estimated that the PRC has 195 million hectares of forest resources, with approximately 120 million hectares of natural forest and 62 million hectares of plantation forest. The density of its total forest area was only 70 cubic metres per hectare in the PRC.

84. The PRC government encourages the development of the plantation industry in the PRC. In June 2003, the PRC State Council promulgated "The Notice on the Decision to Speed Up the Development of Plantation Industry". Subsequently, in August 2007, "The Key Elements of the Policies in Forestry Industry" was jointly promulgated by seven ministries including the State Forestry Administration, National Development and Reform Commission, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Commerce, State Administration of Taxation, China Banking Regulatory Commission and China Securities Regulatory Commission to develop the non-state owned plantation industry, and to encourage the participation of foreign investors in the plantation industry, either solely or jointly with others.

85. The planted plantation model is generally viewed more favourably by the PRC government because it demonstrates a long-term commitment to the forestry business. That long-term commitment is very important from the perspective of the PRC government in light of the fact that demand for wood fibre in the PRC is approximately double that of available supply.

2. Log Trading Operations

86. Sino-Forest's operations in the trading of wood logs includes the sourcing of wood logs and wood-based products from the PRC and globally, and selling them in the domestic PRC market.

87. These wood-based products consist primarily of large diameter logs, sawn timber, veneers and other wood-based products sourced from the PRC, Thailand, Suriname, Papua New Guinea, Brazil, Vietnam, Russia and New Zealand. In these transactions, Sino-Forest purchases wood-based products that correspond to the requirements of wood dealers, and sells directly to these dealers. Sino-Forest's customers in these transactions are primarily wood dealers in the PRC.

3. Manufacturing and Other Operations

88. Sino-Forest currently has manufacturing operations in six provinces in the PRC that produce various wood-based products. In addition, Sino-Forest has greenery and nursery operations based in Jiangsu Province, which were established to source, supply and manage landscaping products for property developers and other organizations.

89. In order to maximize and increase the value of Sino-Forest's forestry products, Sino-Forest has been investing in research and development ("R&D"). On January 12, 2010, Sino-Forest announced its acquisition of HOMIX LIMITED ("HOMIX") in order to enhance its R&D portfolio. HOMIX has an R&D laboratory and two engineered-wood production operations based in Guangdong and Jiangsu provinces, covering eastern and southern PRC wood product markets. HOMIX develops a number of new technologies suitable for domestic plantation logs including poplar and eucalyptus species. HOMIX specializes in curing, drying and dyeing methods for engineered-wood and has the know-how to produce recomposed wood products and laminated veneer lumber. Recomposed wood technology is considered to be environmentally friendly and versatile, as it uses fibre from forest plantations, recycled wood and/or wood residue.

90. The goal of Sino-Forest's R&D efforts has been to improve tree plantation yields and the quality of the trees grown on Sino-Forest's plantations. While performing R&D activities, Sino-Forest from time to time collaborates with, and receives assistance from, research and academic institutions in the PRC. Sino-Forest's R&D efforts are viewed very positively in the PRC as they also demonstrate a long-term commitment to the forestry business in the PRC and can help address the significant shortage of wood fibre in the PRC.

F. Sales

91. Substantially all of Sino-Forest's sales are generated in the PRC. In the year ended December 31, 2010, sales to customers in the PRC were \$1.8723 billion and sales to customers located in other countries were \$51.3 million. In the year ended December 31, 2010, sales to customers in the PRC of standing timber, logs and other wood-based products accounted for substantially all of Sino-Forest's revenue.

G. Suppliers

92. Logs and wood-based products supplied through Sino-Forest's trading activities are sourced primarily from suppliers outside the PRC. These products are also sourced for Sino-Forest trading activities from overseas, primarily from Thailand, Suriname, Papua New Guinea, Brazil, Vietnam, Russia and New Zealand. The credit terms granted by suppliers of these products generally range from one to three months on open account and by letters of credit. Standing timber is sourced primarily from local suppliers in the PRC.

93. As discussed above, the PRC based suppliers are usually aggregators who acquire standing timber and/or land use rights from other suppliers or from original timber owners such as villagers or collectives who have certified title to the land.

H. Employees

94. SFC currently has 3 employees. Collectively, the Sino-Forest Companies employ a total of approximately 3553 employees, with approximately 3460 located in the PRC and approximately 90 located in Hong Kong. The Greenheart Group employs an additional approximately 273 employees.

I. Assets & Liabilities

95. The unconsolidated book values of SFC's assets and liabilities as at June 30, 2011 are listed below.¹ However, given that, as described below, SFC is in default under the notes and the indenture trustees would be in a position to accelerate and enforce on the notes but for the waiver agreements (subject to sending the appropriate notices and the cure period expiring), I have categorized the full amount of the notes (including the non-current portion and the derivative financial instrument, as opposed to just the current portion) as a current liability below.

<u>Current Assets</u>		<u>Current Liabilities</u>	
Cash and cash equivalents ²	\$5,676,040	Notes (current portion)	\$87,670,000
Prepayments ³	\$1,173,553	Notes ⁴ (non-current)	\$1,541,744,429
Other Receivables ⁵	\$188,575	Notes Derivative Financial Instrument	\$31,858,210
Due from Intercompany ⁶	<u>\$109,813,620</u>	Trade Payable	\$2,202
		Others Payable	\$231,723
		Accrued Liabilities	\$39,687,268
		Due to Intercompany	<u>\$1,818,313</u>
Total Current Assets	\$116,851,788	Total Current Liabilities	\$1,703,012,145

¹ The chart only reflects the assets and liabilities of SFC, and therefore does not accord with the consolidated quarterly financial results for the second quarter ended June 30, 2011.

² Mainly represents cash on hand, cash at bank and short-term deposits with a maturity of three months or less.

³ Mainly represents prepaid legal and professional fees and insurance.

⁴ The Notes (current portion), Notes (non-current) and Notes Derivative Financial Instrument do not equate on this balance sheet to approximately \$1.8 billion (the face value of the notes) due to the accounting treatment of financing costs and the carrying value of the convertible notes.

⁵ Mainly represents HST receivables, staff advances and deposits.

⁶ Non-interest bearing with no fixed date of repayment.

<u>Non-Current Assets</u>		<u>Non-Current Liabilities</u>	
Property, Plant & Equipment ⁷	\$1,166	Intercompany Loans	<u>\$235,000,000</u>
Investment in Subsidiaries ⁸	\$1,589,153,984		
Intercompany Loans ⁹	<u>\$1,582,781,672</u>		
Total Non-Current Assets	\$3,171,936,822	Total Non-Current Liabilities	\$235,000,000
Total Assets	\$3,288,518,610	Total Liabilities	\$1,938,012,145

96. With respect to the assets, while they reflect an accurate implementation of the relevant accounting policies, I do not believe that the book values of the assets reflect the realizable value of those assets for a number of reasons, including the complexities associated with the business, the significant amount of intercompany loans owing to SFC, and the costs and potential PRC tax liabilities that may be payable if the assets were realized on. SFC is not able to simply monetize its assets in the short term in order to satisfy its obligations under the notes as a result of, among other things, the hard to quantify potential PRC tax liability previously discussed at paragraph 58 above and the stringent currency exchange controls in the PRC.

97. As discussed above, Sino-Forest is not in a position to know whether or not the AIs have in fact remitted applicable taxes on behalf of Sino-Forest. Although Sino-Forest recognized a provision as at June 30, 2011 of \$204,722,000 in its reported financial results to account for this potential tax liability, I am advised by SFC's counsel in the PRC, Ching Wo Ng at King & Wood Mallesons, that the amount of the tax liabilities under PRC law arising from the operation of the BVIs could be significantly higher if responsible tax authorities take different views than that of management in respect of a number of tax issues, including, without limitation, whether by their

⁷ Mainly represents office equipment.

⁸ Historical cost for interests in subsidiaries.

⁹ Interest bearing with defined terms of repayment date.

operation the BVIs have formed an establishment in the PRC, whether value added tax is payable, the likelihood and severity of a tax penalty, the applicable default interests on late payments, the numbers of years to "look back", whether certain tax preferential treatments apply to foreign companies such as BVI entities, and other relevant matters. The views on these issues may also differ from locality to locality.

98. In addition, as a result of the currency exchange controls in the PRC, all cash to be repatriated from the PRC is subject to approval from the State Administration of Foreign Exchange (the "SAFE"). I am advised by SFC's counsel in the PRC, Ching Wo Ng at King & Wood Mallesons, that for normal and regular foreign exchange transactions in the PRC which require the approval of SAFE, the applications for such approvals can normally be processed within the time limits prescribed by law. However, the transactions undertaken by the BVIs in respect of their forestry assets in the PRC are very dissimilar to those contemplated by the relevant rules and regulations of the PRC. Therefore, there is no assurance that any application to SAFE for repatriation of funds by the BVIs can be processed within the time limits prescribed by law, or within a reasonable time thereafter.

99. As a result of Sino-Forest, among other things, operating in a critical natural resource sector with insufficient supply in the PRC, investing in research and development initiatives in the PRC, and employing a significant number of people in the PRC, it has generally enjoyed positive working relationships with all levels of government in the PRC. However, I believe that if Sino-Forest were to cease operating under a business strategy that is consistent with and supportive of PRC government policy, including its policy on sustainable forestry, for example, investing in research and development or employing a significant number of people in the PRC, Sino-Forest would enjoy much less favourable treatment from PRC government officials, and

would likely have greater difficulties resolving the issues discussed above relating to tax liabilities and repatriation of cash. This is particularly true in respect of the BVI structure where, among other things, the ability to access cash is further impaired and Sino-Forest is not in a position to know whether or not the AIs have remitted applicable taxes on behalf of Sino-Forest.

J. Importance of Relationships to Doing Business in the PRC

100. From my time with SFC I have come to understand the importance of relationships to doing business in the PRC. This is particularly true in relation to those doing business in the forestry sector.

101. The PRC has extensive resource needs, including in the forestry sector. Historically, forestry resources in the PRC have been collectively owned at a local level. Forestry resources have largely been managed without the resources necessary to increase yields and allow for harvesting at a commercial level from a western forestry perspective.

102. Part of Sino-Forest's success has been attributable to its ability to acquire forestry resources from local sources of supply, at a good price, and to resell them at a good profit. In relation to Sino-Forest's planted plantation model, Sino-Forest also has benefited from the application of advanced silviculture techniques to those resources. Based on my interactions with PRC government officials, I understand that the PRC government recognizes that for the industry to mature, become efficient, and improve yields to reduce the fiber deficit, forest asset management has to be consolidated.

103. A good relationship with the various levels of PRC government is important to doing business successfully in the PRC. Historically, Sino-Forest's relationships with these governments have been important to Sino-Forest's success in the PRC. Loss of their support

could, correspondingly, have significant negative consequences for Sino-Forest, for its ability to continue to do business in the PRC, and its ability to continue to control its PRC-based assets for the benefit of its stakeholders.

104. Sino-Forest's most important relationships have been and continue to be through Allen Chan ("Chan"). From my observations and experience, Chan has established significant relationships in the PRC, and my understanding is that this is a direct result of his long-standing personal contribution to the development of the forestry sector both through Sino-Forest and in a personal capacity as an informal advisor to various relevant industry bodies.

105. Following the MW Report, Chan was requested to meet with officials in the PRC State Forestry Administration ("SFA") and other senior officials on multiple occasions in Beijing. I have been introduced to some officials and attended some of these meetings.

106. My observation from my personal involvement in these discussions and meetings is that Chan continues to be consulted and respected within the PRC government as an expert in the forestry industry. I therefore believe his continued participation will be extremely helpful in allowing SFC to unlock value in the PRC for the benefit of its stakeholders.

107. Notwithstanding the allegations in the MW Report (which have received widespread coverage in the PRC and in Hong Kong), Chan has continued to be honoured within the PRC. In November 2011, at the 2nd China Forestry Expo, Chan was presented an "Outstanding Achievement" award from the China National Forestry Industry Federation (the "CNFIF"). In recognition of his contribution to the forestry industry in the PRC, Chan was the first keynote speaker following the Minister of the SFA at the China Forestry Expo.

108. Chan was also appointed Vice President of the CNFIF in 2010. The CNFIF is an affiliate of the SFA and is chaired by the Minister of the SFA or the Director of the SFA. The SFA is the PRC government ministry responsible for its forests and forest management.

109. In 2007, Chan was appointed an Honourable Director of Renmin University (also known as the People's University of China), one of the most prestigious universities in the PRC with a distinct focus on humanities and social sciences, and highly regarded by top leaders in the PRC. In addition, Chan is a member of the Jiangxi Committee of the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference.

110. In February 2012, Chan was presented with the "2011 China Forestry Persons of the Year" award by the CNFIF.

111. Many of the PRC's commercially attractive forestry resources are in areas of sensitivity within the PRC, including areas that are sensitive from a military perspective. Private air travel is prohibited or strictly controlled in many of the areas in which Sino-Forest does business.

112. The strategic significance attaching to Sino-Forest's forestry assets in the PRC increases the importance to SFC of maintaining positive relationships with authorities in the PRC. If Sino-Forest is to monetize its PRC based assets for the benefit of stakeholders, I strongly believe that the outcome of this process must be acceptable to relevant authorities in the PRC.

113. In the course of its 18 years of operations, Sino-Forest has been viewed by the Minister of the SFA positively and as a model for privately owned enterprises carrying on business in the PRC and promoting PRC policies. For that reason, Sino-Forest has enjoyed a positive relationship with the PRC. Even since June of last year, the Minister of the SFA has remained

cooperative and encouraging of a solution for Sino-Forest. However, recently, the government has expressed increasing concern and interest as to what the solution is for Sino-Forest. As a result, not only do I believe that any solution needs to be acceptable to the authorities in the PRC, such solution needs to be presented in the very near future.

IV. THE MUDDY WATERS ALLEGATIONS: CHRONOLOGY AND RESPONSES

114. On June 2, 2011, Muddy Waters, which admitted to holding a short position on SFC's shares, published the MW Report alleging, among other things, that Sino-Forest is a "near total fraud" and a "Ponzi scheme."

115. While the allegations contained in the MW Report are diverse and far-reaching, the IC set out to address the issues raised in three core areas: (i) the verification of timber assets reported by Sino-Forest, (ii) the value of the timber assets held by Sino-Forest, and (iii) revenue recognition.

116. Among other things, the MW Report alleged that Sino-Forest does not hold the full amount of timber assets that it reports, that the timber assets actually held by Sino-Forest have been overstated, and that Sino-Forest overstated its revenue. In addition, the MW Report alleged that Sino-Forest has engaged in unreported related-party transactions. A copy of the MW Report is attached as Exhibit "M". Two subsequent reports by Muddy Waters relating to Sino-Forest are attached as Exhibit "N". These reports are attached to provide context to the Court and definitely not because I agree with their contents.

A. The IC, OSC, RCMP and HKSFC Investigations

117. On June 2, 2011, the same day that the MW Report was released, the Board appointed the IC, a Board committee consisting exclusively of independent directors, which in turn retained

independent legal and financial advisors in Canada, Hong Kong and the PRC, to investigate the allegations set out in the MW Report.

118. On June 8, 2011, the OSC publicly announced that it was investigating matters related to SFC. That investigation has been active and is ongoing.

119. Later in June 2011, the HKSFC commenced an investigation into Greenheart Group. As a company listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange and headquartered in Hong Kong, the HKSFC is Greenheart's primary securities regulator. I believe that the HKSFC's investigation was largely reactive to the allegations against Sino-Forest, SFC's control position in relation to Greenheart Group, and to the fact that the principal offices of Sino-Forest and Greenheart Group are located in Hong Kong. As indicated above, SFC had acquired a majority interest in Greenheart Group less than a year earlier, and had separate management and premises.

120. In addition to its investigation of Greenheart Group, the HKSFC has been assisting the OSC with its investigation. I am advised by Gary Solway of Bennett Jones LLP, counsel to SFC, that the HKSFC has a mutual-assistance treaty with the OSC. The OSC has conducted witness interviews in Hong Kong with the assistance of and out of the premises of the HKSFC.

121. Sino-Forest believes that it has attempted to cooperate with the OSC, HKSFC and RCMP investigations. Sino-Forest has made extensive production of documents, in particular to the OSC, including documents sourced from jurisdictions outside of the OSC's power to compel production.

122. Sino-Forest also has facilitated interviews by the OSC with Sino-Forest personnel. In circumstances where OSC staff sought to examine Sino-Forest personnel resident in the PRC

(where neither the OSC nor the HKSFC had the ability to compel their attendance at interviews), Sino-Forest arranged to bring individuals to Hong Kong to be examined.

123. Sino-Forest has responded to extensive inquiries, the most far-reaching coming from the OSC, and has provided periodic oral briefings to OSC staff. The IC reports were provided to OSC staff on an unredacted basis, as discussed below.

124. The scope of the IC's review was significant, reflecting the wide range of allegations contained in the MW Report. The IC and its advisors worked to compile and analyze the vast amount of data required for their comprehensive review of Sino-Forest's operations and business, the relationships between Sino-Forest and other entities, and Sino-Forest's ownership of assets.

125. At the beginning of the IC's investigation, the IC informed the Board that the review would likely take at least two to three months to complete. On August 10, 2011, the IC delivered its first interim report to the Board (the "First Interim Report"). A redacted copy of the First Interim Report is attached as Exhibit "O".

126. SFC has publicly disclosed on SEDAR and on its website redacted versions of the First Interim Report and the two subsequent reports of the IC. The three reports have been redacted to protect information that the Board believes is commercially sensitive, the disclosure of which could be harmful to Sino-Forest's business and operations, especially in the PRC. These redactions have not been made to conceal information from regulatory scrutiny. Each of the three reports has been produced without redactions to OSC staff pursuant to a compelled process designed to allow OSC staff to receive information relevant to its investigation, while at the same time protecting SFC's sensitive information.

127. The First Interim Report was the result of the IC and its advisors assembling and organizing significant data from Sino-Forest's records, and reviewing Sino-Forest's cash holdings, revenue and relationships. In the First Interim Report, while the IC did not determine that there was any validity to the allegations in the MW Report, its findings were limited as the investigation was still ongoing.

128. Also in its First Interim Report, the IC's accounting advisors confirmed Sino-Forest's cash balances in specific accounts as at June 13, 2011, for accounts located inside and outside of the PRC. A total of 293 accounts controlled by Sino-Forest in Hong Kong were confirmed, representing 100% of the expected cash position in Hong Kong. However, Sino-Forest had 267 accounts in the PRC, so the logistics and requirements of in-person/in-branch verification in the PRC led the IC advisors to confirm 28 accounts, representing approximately 81% of the expected cash position in the PRC. The IC was satisfied based on this verification that Sino-Forest's expected cash position in the PRC existed as at the date of confirmation.

129. The First Interim Report was delivered to the Board shortly before the Board was asked to authorize the release of SFC's 2011 quarterly financial results for the second quarter ended June 30, 2011 (the "Q2 Results"). The Q2 Results were released on August 15, 2011.

130. Almost immediately after the Q2 Results were released, the IC's advisors identified and brought to the attention of the IC just under 60 documents, some of which raised potential conduct issues and others of which raised questions as to whether Sino-Forest's relationships with some of its AIs and suppliers were conducted at arm's length.

131. The IC concluded that interviews concerning the documents should be conducted with relevant Sino-Forest personnel. The interviews were conducted from August 24 to 26, 2011 in Hong Kong.

132. As part of its efforts to cooperate with OSC staff, on August 24, 2011, before the documents were shown to relevant Sino-Forest personnel and those personnel were provided with an opportunity to comment, the IC's advisors provided copies of the documents to OSC staff. The IC's advisors and SFC's external counsel also provided oral briefings about the interviews to OSC staff from August 24 to 26, 2011, as the interviews were being conducted.

133. Seen in their proper context, and with the benefit of fuller explanations, I believe that the documents identified by the IC's advisors and provided to OSC staff at that time fall well short of the misconduct alleged in the MW Report.

134. However, as a result of the documents and interviews, Sino-Forest placed three employees on administrative leave, and a fourth senior employee was requested to act solely on my instructions. It was my decision in each case to take this action.

135. SFC's Board met on the morning of Friday August 26, 2011, Toronto time (which was Friday evening Hong Kong time) to hear reports about the interviews and about communications between SFC and OSC staff. The Board was told that Chan had agreed to resign as Chairman, CEO and as a director of SFC pending the completion of the review by the IC of the allegations in the MW Report. He was appointed Founding Chairman Emeritus and I was appointed as CEO.

136. On August 26, 2011, the OSC issued a cease trade order with respect to the securities of SFC and with respect to certain senior management personnel. A copy of the cease trade order dated August 26, 2011 (as corrected by the OSC later that day) is attached as Exhibit "P". The Board first learned of the cease trade order during the Board meeting that day, after Chan tendered his resignation.

137. With the consent of SFC, the cease trade order was extended by subsequent orders of the OSC, copies of which are attached as Exhibit "Q". The cease trade order continues in force to this date.

138. Based on my review of the IC's second interim report to the Board (the "Second Interim Report", which is discussed below) and discussions I have had with William Ardell, Board Chair and Chair of the IC, I understand that in late August 2011, counsel for the IC received an inquiry from the RCMP requesting cooperation from the IC in connection with an investigation into the allegations in the MW Report. Representatives of the IC met with and provided information to the RCMP from time to time. The RCMP also has made information requests from time to time. It has been SFC's intention to cooperate with the RCMP in connection with its investigation.

139. On November 13, 2011, the IC delivered its Second Interim Report to the Board, a redacted copy of which is attached as Exhibit "R".

140. Subject to the limitations described therein, the Second Interim Report confirmed registered title or contractual or other rights to Sino-Forest's stated timber assets, reconciled the book value of the BVI timber assets and Sino-Forest WFOE standing timber assets as set out in the 2010 financial statements to the purchase prices for such assets as set out in the BVI and

WFOE standing timber purchase contracts reviewed by the IC advisors, reconciled reported total revenue to sales contracts, and addressed certain allegations regarding related-party transactions.

141. Subject to the scope limitations described in the Second Interim Report, the IC confirmed 99.3% of Sino-Forest's timber area to its satisfaction and that Sino-Forest had registered title to 100% of its disclosed planted timber holdings by area, and contractual or other rights to approximately 81.3% of its disclosed purchased timber holdings by area. The IC reported that it or its advisors had reviewed originals or copies of purchase contracts for the acquisition by Sino-Forest of virtually all of its disclosed timber holdings as at December 31, 2010.

142. The IC indicated in its Second Interim Report that it viewed its work to be substantially complete and that it expected to deliver its final report prior to the end of 2011.

B. Failure to Release Q3 Results and Default Under the Notes

143. Subsequent to August 26, 2011, the IC's advisors identified additional documents that raised issues meriting comment and explanation from SFC's management. Also, SFC's external counsel, in responding to requests from the OSC, also identified documents of a similar nature. Further documents meriting comment and explanation were identified by SFC's external auditors and in interviews conducted by OSC staff.

144. As SFC reached the November 15, 2011 deadline to release its 2011 third quarter financial statements (the "Q3 Results"), the Audit Committee recommended and the Board agreed that SFC should defer the release of the Q3 Results until certain issues could be resolved to the satisfaction of the Board and SFC's auditor. The issues included (i) determining the nature and scope of the relationships between Sino-Forest and certain of its AIs and suppliers, as discussed in the Second Interim Report, and (ii) the satisfactory explanation and resolution of issues raised

by certain documents identified by the IC's advisors, SFC's counsel, SFC's external auditors, and/or by OSC staff.

145. On November 15, 2011, the date upon which SFC's Q3 Results were due, SFC issued a press release announcing that the IC had delivered its Second Interim Report to the Board. A copy of the November 15, 2011 press release is attached as Exhibit "S". The executive summary to the Second Interim Report is attached as a schedule to the press release.

146. The November 15, 2011 press release also stated that the Board had concluded that, as a result of ongoing work arising from the allegations raised in the MW Report, it was not in a position to authorize the release of the Q3 Results at that time. The release stated that SFC would try to release the Q3 Results within 30 days.

147. SFC's failure to file the Q3 Results and provide a copy of the Q3 Results to the trustee and to its noteholders under its senior and convertible note indentures on or before November 15, 2011 constituted a default under those note indentures. Pursuant to the indentures, an event of default would have occurred if SFC failed to cure that breach within 30 days in the case of the senior notes, and 60 days in the case of the convertible notes, after having received written notice of such default from the relevant indenture trustee or the holders of 25% or more in aggregate principal amount of a given series of notes.

148. While SFC worked diligently to try to resolve the outstanding issues, it became clear that SFC was not going to be able to release the Q3 Results within that timeframe. On December 12, 2011, SFC issued a press release announcing that it would not be able to release the Q3 Results within the 30-day period originally indicated.

149. Moreover, in the press release, SFC announced that, in the circumstances, there was no assurance that it would be able to release the Q3 Results, or, if able, as to when such release would occur. In the December 12, 2011 press release, SFC also announced that the Board had determined not to make the \$9.775 million interest payment on SFC's 2016 convertible notes that was due on December 15, 2011. A copy of the December 12, 2011 press release is attached as Exhibit "T".

150. As disclosed in the December 12, 2011 press release, the circumstances that caused SFC to be unable to release the Q3 Results also could impact SFC's historic financial statements and SFC's ability to obtain an audit for its 2011 fiscal year.

151. SFC's failure to make the \$9.775 million interest payment on the 2016 convertible notes when due on December 15, 2011 constituted a default under that indenture. Under the terms of that indenture, SFC had 30 days to cure its default and make the required interest payment in order to prevent an event of default from occurring, which could have resulted in the acceleration and enforcement of the approximately \$1.8 billion in notes which have been issued by SFC and guaranteed by many of its subsidiaries outside of the PRC.

152. On December 18, 2011, SFC announced that it had received written notices of default dated December 16, 2011, in respect of its senior notes due 2014 and its senior notes due 2017. The notices, which were sent by the trustees under the senior note indentures, referenced SFC's previously-disclosed failure to release the Q3 Results on a timely basis. SFC reiterated in the December 18, 2011 press release that it did not expect to be able to file the Q3 Results and cure the default within the 30 day cure period. A copy of the December 18, 2011 press release is attached as Exhibit "U".

153. In response to the receipt of the notices of default, among other considerations, on December 16, 2011, the Board established a Special Restructuring Committee of the Board (the "Restructuring Committee") comprised exclusively of directors independent of management of SFC, for the purpose of supervising, analyzing and managing strategic options available to SFC. The members of the Restructuring Committee are William Ardell, Chair of the Board, who is also Chair of the Restructuring Committee and Garry West. James Hyde, Chair of the Audit Committee and an independent director, while not a member of the Restructuring Committee, has attended meetings of the Restructuring Committee and participated fully in its deliberations.

154. Following discussions with its external auditors, on January 10, 2012, SFC issued a press release cautioning that its historic financial statements and related audit reports should not be relied upon. The January 10, 2012 press release is previously attached.

C. The Waiver Agreements

155. On January 12, 2012, SFC announced that following extensive discussions with the Ad Hoc Noteholders, holders of a majority in principal amount of SFC's senior notes due 2014 and its senior notes due 2017 agreed to waive the default arising from SFC's failure to release the Q3 Results on a timely basis. A copy of the January 12, 2012 press release, together with the waiver agreements, is attached as Exhibit "V".

156. Pursuant to the waiver agreements, SFC agreed to, among other things, make the \$9.775 million interest payment on its 2016 convertible notes that was due on December 15, 2011, curing that default. That payment was made in accordance with the waiver agreements.

157. While the waiver agreements prevented the indenture trustees under the relevant note indentures from accelerating and enforcing the note indebtedness as a result of SFC's failure to

file its Q3 Results, those waiver agreements expire on the earlier of April 30, 2012 and any earlier termination of the waiver agreements in accordance with their terms. In addition, should SFC fail to file its 2011 Results by March 30, 2012 (and upon the necessary notices being sent and cure periods expiring), the indenture trustees would again be in a position to accelerate and enforce.

D. The IC's Final Report and Verification of SFC's Assets

158. On January 31, 2012, SFC publicly released a redacted version of the final report of the IC (the "Final Report"). A copy of the redacted Final Report is attached as Exhibit "W".

159. Following the delivery of the Final Report, and in accordance with the waiver agreements, the Board adopted a resolution instructing the IC to cease its investigative, review and oversight activities. Any issues within the authority of the IC that remained outstanding were referred to SFC's Audit Committee or Restructuring Committee.

160. In its January 31, 2012 press release, attached as Exhibit "X", announcing the release of the Final Report, SFC also disclosed the results of a "proof of concept" exercise undertaken to determine if the standing timber referenced in particular purchase contracts could be located and quantified by an independent forestry expert engaged to undertake the exercise. The exercise was undertaken to address the issue raised in the Second Interim Report regarding the absence of maps in the possession of SFC's BVI subsidiaries to show the precise location of the timber subject to plantation purchase contracts.

161. As disclosed in the January 31, 2012 press release, the proof of concept exercise was confined to two compartments. The selection criteria limited the sample to purchased timber assets located in Yunnan province. The candidate assets were acquired prior to the allegations in

the MW Report. They were listed as being held by BVIs and not by WFOEs. At the IC's request, the consultants selected a shortlist of ten possible compartments covering multiple forestry bureaus and meeting the criteria above, avoiding any prospect that the sampling involved personnel from Sino-Forest. Multiple county forestry bureaus were represented in the shortlist, and the IC made the final selection of compartments to ensure more than one county forestry bureau was represented.

162. As described in the Final Report and the accompanying press release, maps for the two compartments were obtained from the relevant forestry bureaus in the PRC by the contracted survey companies and made available to the consultants. Using the techniques described in the Final Report, compartment boundaries were superimposed on recent high resolution satellite imagery which allowed for the measurement of each compartment's forest cover. The consultants compared the net stocked area of forest cover that they assessed for each compartment with that stated in the Sino-Forest purchase contracts and forest survey reports. The consultants found that the net stocked area of forest cover in each compartment was up to six percent greater than that stated in the relevant purchase contracts and forest survey reports, with the current assessed area for each compartment exceeding the purchase contract area.

163. While the consultant report and press release cautioned against extrapolation of these findings over Sino-Forest's broader forestry assets, I took considerable comfort from these findings. In relation to two randomly-selected contracts held through the BVI structure, the property descriptions and expected forest cover in the contracts matched the boundaries and forest cover on the ground.

164. Subsequent to January 31, 2012, Sino-Forest has taken steps to see the proof of concept process applied over a statistically relevant sampling of Sino-Forest's forest assets. That work is ongoing.

E. Gating Issues to an Audit

165. SFC has worked diligently to address issues identified by SFC's Audit Committee, the IC and by its external auditor, Ernst & Young LLP, as requiring resolution in order for SFC to be in a position to obtain an audit opinion in relation to the 2011 Results. Many of the same issues also impact SFC's ability to release the Q3 Results.

166. As SFC has publicly disclosed in its press releases, the gating issues to the release of the Q3 Results and to obtaining an audit of the 2011 Results include (i) determining the nature and scope of the relationships between Sino-Forest and certain of its AIs and suppliers, and (ii) the satisfactory explanation and resolution of issues raised by certain documents identified by the IC's advisors, SFC's counsel, SFC's auditors, and/or by OSC staff.

167. The "relationship issues" described above are discussed extensively in the Second Interim Report and in the Final Report of the IC. Relationship issues were prominent in the approximately 60 documents provided to OSC staff on August 24, 2011, and relationships continue to be an issue that SFC has been unable to resolve.

168. As part of the IC's investigative process a significant amount of electronic data was extracted and reviewed by the IC and its advisors. The same data also has been reviewed by counsel for SFC and SFC's advisors. Over one million electronic records have been reviewed.

169. The search of electronic records and other inquiries have not produced evidence to support the allegations made in the MW Report that Sino-Forest is a near total fraud or Ponzi scheme. The searches and inquiries have produced some evidence of possible lesser improper conduct that SFC has been making efforts to investigate, address and quantify.

170. There is no single theme among the documents and issues that SFC has been taking steps to address. In some cases, the documents speak to efforts to deal with foreign currency exchange restrictions applicable to the PRC. The documents suggest that in some cases SFC personnel may have received personal benefits at Sino-Forest's expense and may have appropriated some of Sino-Forest's assets. They also show that, in a few cases, whistleblower complaints in some subsidiaries alleging misconduct by certain personnel in those subsidiaries appear not to have been adequately investigated and addressed.

171. The record-keeping of SFC's subsidiaries in the PRC appeared to be adequate prior to the recent heightened scrutiny being focused on companies with significant operations in the PRC. The nature of SFC's books and records, combined with the inability to compel disclosure and participation by third party PRC companies, primarily SFC's customers (AIs) and suppliers, and the unwillingness of these companies to become involved in an investigation, makes it difficult to definitively assess some of the explanations offered by Sino-Forest personnel.

172. In light of this heightened scrutiny, SFC's subsidiaries in the PRC do not have the scope of books and records that might be used to definitively address some issues raised by potentially problematic email communications. The nature of SFC's BVI structure, and the absence of contractual rights to examine the books and records of customers and suppliers, deprives SFC of

access to information that may be necessary to allow SFC to determine whether some of the documents and issues identified are material from a financial reporting perspective.

173. Notwithstanding SFC's best efforts, many of these issues may not be capable of resolution, and certainly not within a timeframe that would allow SFC to comply with its obligations under its note indentures and securities laws. Consequently, absent a resolution with the noteholders, the indenture trustees would be in a position to enforce their legal rights as early as April 30, 2012.

174. However extensive and challenging the work done to respond to the MW Report has been, the simple fact is that the uncertainty it has created has caused Sino-Forest's business to deteriorate. Repairing the damage to the business simply cannot wait any longer. Without decisive action in the immediate term, I fear that the ability to save the business for the benefit of SFC and its stakeholders will be irreparably lost.

175. As described in greater detail herein, even though the allegations set out in the MW Report and the OSC cease trade orders are unproven, the allegations have had a catastrophic negative impact on Sino-Forest's business activities and have created substantial uncertainty regarding the future of Sino-Forest's business in the minds of the Sino-Forest Companies' stakeholders in the PRC, including its lenders, customers, suppliers, employees, and governmental officials. The allegations made against SFC have resulted in a substantial erosion of Sino-Forest's business. The business in the PRC continues to deteriorate with every passing day and it has become clear to SFC that the Sino-Forest business needs to be separated from the cloud that continues to hang over SFC if there is any future for that business (and thus value for SFC's stakeholders) to be preserved.

V. IMPACT OF MUDDY WATERS ALLEGATIONS ON SINO-FOREST

A. Class Action Lawsuits

176. SFC and certain of its officers, directors and employees, along with SFC's current and former auditors, technical consultants and various underwriters involved in prior equity and debt offerings, have been named as defendants in eight class action lawsuits.

177. Five of these class action lawsuits, commenced by three separate groups of counsel, were filed in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice on June 8, 2011, June 20, 2011, July 20, 2011, September 26, 2011 and November 14, 2011. A carriage motion in relation to these actions was heard on December 20 and 21, 2011, and by Order dated January 6, 2012, Justice Perell appointed Koskie Minsky LLP and Siskinds LLP as class counsel. As a result, Koskie Minsky LLP and Siskinds LLP discontinued their earliest action, and their other two actions have been consolidated and will move forward as one proceeding. The other two Ontario actions, commenced by other counsel, have been stayed. Pursuant to Justice Perell's January 6, 2012 Order, Koskie Minsky LLP and Siskinds LLP have filed a fresh as amended Statement of Claim in the consolidated proceeding. A copy of this Statement of Claim is attached as Exhibit "Y".

178. The action purports to be brought on behalf of noteholders. The plaintiffs and plaintiff law firms have not complied with the prerequisites to bringing suit in the relevant note indentures, which each contain a "no suits by holders" clause.

179. Parallel class actions have been filed in Quebec and Saskatchewan. Copies of the originating documents in those actions are attached as Exhibit "Z".

180. Additionally, on January 27, 2012, a class action was commenced against SFC and other defendants in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, U.S.A. The complaint alleges that

the action is brought on behalf of persons who purchased SFC shares on the over-the-counter market and on behalf of non-Canadian purchasers of SFC debt securities. The quantum of damages sought is not specified in the complaint. A copy of the complaint in this action is attached as Exhibit "AA".

181. Additional law firms in both the United States and Canada have announced that they are investigating SFC and certain directors and officers thereof with respect to potential additional class action lawsuits.

B. Effects of MW Report and Related Events

182. The allegations set forth in the MW Report, despite being denied by SFC, have had catastrophic negative effects on the reputation and business of Sino-Forest. As a result, Sino-Forest's ability to conduct its operations in the normal course of business has been materially affected. For example: creditors are increasing legal demands with respect to accounts payable; at the same time, collections of accounts receivables is increasingly difficult due to a widespread belief that Sino-Forest will not survive; sales in the WFOE model have also slowed substantially in response to views on accounts receivable payments; cash flow issues have resulted in a cessation of any expansion or modernization; the inability to fund purchases of raw materials has caused a slowdown in production or, in many cases, a shutdown; certain timber assets have been frozen as Sino-Forest has been unable to keep current with payments; deposits put down on standing timber purchases by WFOEs, of approximately \$27 million, may be unrealizable due to an inability to generate cash to pay off outstanding payables under those contracts; offshore banking facilities have been repaid and frozen or cancelled, leading to substantial damage in Sino-Forest's trading business; relationships with local governments and plantation land owners have become strained; Sino-Forest is unable to complete various projects, contracts and

acquisitions; and the PRC government is expressing increased concern over SFC and is becoming less inclined to be supportive of Sino-Forest, making the ability to obtain legal documents for Sino-Forest's operations increasingly difficult.

1. Diversion of Operational Resources & Effects on Operations

183. The investigations being conducted by the OSC, the HKSFC and the RCMP, the examination by the IC (and now the Audit Committee and Restructuring Committee), and the class action lawsuits have required, and will continue to require, significant resources to be expended by the directors, officers and employees of Sino-Forest. As a result, the diversion of such resources has affected Sino-Forest's ability to conduct its operations in the normal course of business. Sino-Forest's timber and trading businesses have effectively been frozen and have ground to a halt.

184. Since the MW Report was released, in order to conserve cash, Sino-Forest has only completed cash purchases which were previously committed to and has not made any new commitments (i.e. in the WFOE structure), despite having been presented with some attractive buying opportunities. Sino-Forest has therefore not grown its asset base as it would have but for the MW Report.

185. Also, the Sino-Forest Companies have had an extremely difficult time collecting outstanding receivables as a result of the perceived uncertainty surrounding them in the PRC. The total amount of outstanding receivables in the WFOE structure was approximately \$130.5 million as at February 29, 2012, with more than 83.5% of those receivables being over 90 days. Sino-Forest's counsel in the PRC, KaiTong Law Firm, has sent legal demand letters to 12 BVI trading companies for accounts receivable totaling approximately \$126 million and five WFOE

companies totaling approximately RMB 224.5 million. Additional legal demand letters for smaller accounts are also in process, and other accounts receivable are being negotiated.

186. At the same time that the Sino-Forest Companies are having a difficult time collecting outstanding receivables, they are receiving increased demands on their payables. Certain of Sino-Forest's creditors in the PRC have taken aggressive collection tactics in the PRC, including filing court claims in an effort to be paid amounts owed to them by Sino-Forest. If the uncertainty related to SFC is allowed to continue to affect Sino-Forest's business operations, Sino-Forest expects increasing legal actions from other creditors.

187. Sino-Forest has not been able to secure or renew certain existing onshore banking facilities and has been unable to obtain offshore letters of credit to facilitate Sino-Forest's trading business. All offshore banking facilities have been repaid and frozen, or cancelled. Since June 2, 2011, all Hong Kong banks have asked for voluntary repayment of outstanding loans. Banking facilities with a total credit amount of \$67.9 million were terminated by four banks between June 10, 2011 and August 29, 2011. Facilities of \$152.3 million were frozen upon full repayment. In the PRC, facilities totaling RMB 159.6 million were asking for voluntary repayments. For the PRC banks providing facilities, Sino-Forest was requested to increase its cash deposits so as to demonstrate financial strength. This has led to substantial damage in Sino-Forest's operations, and affects Sino-Forest's ability to complete obligations under existing contracts, resulting in losses potentially in excess of \$100 million.

188. Various projects and contracts, such as nursery projects in certain provinces with a contract value of approximately RMB 1 billion, have been stopped or are unable to be fulfilled.

189. Due to the allegations in the MW Report, the PRC government is expressing increased concern over SFC and is becoming less inclined to be supportive of Sino-Forest, making the ability to obtain legal documents more difficult. For example, the PRC government has withheld cutting licenses resulting in lower harvesting volumes. Relationships with local government and local plantation suppliers have also become strained, resulting in many difficulties and obstacles in Sino-Forest's operations including an inability to complete certain acquisitions of plantations. For example, in the Anqing, Anhui area in the PRC, the local government no longer showed support to Sino-Forest and the plantation land owner refused to honour the plantation purchase contracts.

2. Fees and Expenses

190. SFC has and will continue to incur a substantial amount of fees and expenses in connection with the examination by the IC (and now the Audit Committee and Restructuring Committee), the investigations by the OSC and the RCMP, and the class action lawsuits. Further, pursuant to indemnification agreements between SFC and its directors and certain officers as well as with auditors, underwriters and other parties, SFC may be obligated to indemnify such individuals for additional legal and other expenses pursuant to such proceedings. The aggregate of such fees and expenses is substantial and has had an extremely negative effect on Sino-Forest's operating results.

3. Value of Common Shares and Credit Rating

191. Prior to the release of the MW Report on June 2, 2011, SFC's common shares had a 20-day volume weighted average price of CDN \$19.58 for a total market capitalization of approximately CDN \$4.8 billion. In the weeks that followed the release of the MW Report, the value of SFC's common shares plunged to a low of CDN \$1.29 for a total market capitalization of

approximately CDN \$300 million. As at August 25, 2011, the day prior to the OSC cease trading SFC's common shares, its shares were trading at CDN \$4.81 for a total market capitalization of approximately CDN \$1.2 billion.

192. The allegations set forth in the MW Report have resulted in a material decline in the market value of SFC's common shares and notes. On June 30, 2011, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services lowered its long-term corporate credit rating on SFC to 'B+' from 'BB', lowered the issue ratings on SFC's outstanding senior notes and convertible notes to 'B+', and lowered the Greater China scale credit ratings on SFC and its notes to 'cnBB' from 'cnBBB-'. On August 29, 2011, Standard & Poor downgraded to 'CCC-', then withdrew its ratings. Fitch Ratings withdrew its Foreign Currency Issuer Default Rating and senior debt rating of 'BB-' on July 14, 2011, after placing SFC on Negative Watch on June 20, 2011. On July 19, 2011, Moody's Investors Service downgraded the corporate family and senior unsecured debt ratings of SFC to 'B1' from 'Ba2'. On August 29, 2011, Moody's downgraded to 'Caa1' from 'B1', and on December 14, 2011, Moody's downgraded to 'Ca1' and withdrew its rating.

193. Sino-Forest's primary sources of funding have been short-term and long-term borrowings, equity offerings and cash generated by operating activities. However, as a result of the reputational damage that the MW Report inflicted on SFC, I believe that SFC has no ability to access the capital markets at the present time, including to refinance its notes.

VI. CLAIM AGAINST MUDDY WATERS

194. On March 29, 2012, SFC commenced a claim in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice against Muddy Waters, its principal, and persons who traded with prior knowledge of the MW Report. A copy of SFC's claim against Muddy Waters *et al* is attached as Exhibit "BB".

195. In this action, SFC seeks total damages in the sum of CDN \$4 billion in relation to harm caused to SFC as a result of the allegations made by Muddy Waters. If SFC is successfully restructured as contemplated, it is anticipated that the action will be funded by the litigation trust provided for in the Support Agreement described below, and the benefits of the action will be shared as contemplated by the Support Agreement.

VII. PROPOSED RESTRUCTURING TRANSACTIONS

196. Following extensive arm's length negotiations between SFC and the Ad Hoc Noteholders, the parties entered into the Support Agreement. The Support Agreement contains, among other things, the summary terms and conditions of a going concern restructuring of SFC (the "Restructuring Transaction"). A copy of the Support Agreement is previously attached.

197. The Support Agreement provides that SFC will file the Plan in order to implement the Restructuring Transaction as part of this CCAA proceeding, and that the Consenting Noteholders will vote their notes in favour of the Plan at any meeting of creditors, each subject to certain conditions.

198. From a commercial perspective, the Restructuring Transaction contemplated by the Support Agreement is intended to accomplish the following objectives:

- (a) the separation of Sino-Forest's business operations from the problems facing SFC outside of the PRC by transferring the intermediate holding companies which own "the business" and SFC's intercompany claims against its subsidiaries (which include the entire substantive operations of the Sino-Forest Companies) to the noteholders in compromise of their claims against SFC (if the Sale Process does not generate a superior transaction, as described below);

- (b) the Sale Process being undertaken to determine if any person or group of persons will purchase Sino-Forest's business operations pursuant to the Plan for an amount of consideration acceptable to SFC and the noteholders, with the potential for excess above such amount being directed to Junior Constituents. The Sale Process is intended to ensure that SFC is pursuing all avenues to maximize value for its stakeholders;
- (c) a structure (including funding) that will enable litigation claims to be pursued for the benefit of SFC's stakeholders in accordance with the Support Agreement against a number of potential defendants (including Muddy Waters, its principal, and any persons who benefited from the allegations made by Muddy Waters in a coordinated way); and
- (d) if the Sale Process does not result in a sale, the Junior Constituents recovering some "upside" in the form of a profit participation if Sino-Forest's business operations acquired by the noteholders are monetized within seven years from the date of the implementation of the Plan at a profit, as further described in the Support Agreement.

199. The decision to enter into the Support Agreement was given careful consideration by SFC and the Board and was not taken lightly. However, the inability to obtain an audit creates a default under the note indentures which simply cannot be cured within a reasonable timeframe, if at all.

200. More significantly, it has become clear that the problems facing SFC outside of the PRC are causing Sino-Forest's business operations in the PRC to deteriorate and that, unless decisive

steps are taken to restructure Sino-Forest, the PRC business operations will continue to deteriorate to the point that they will cease to be capable of being turned around, which will further diminish the value that can be realized for SFC and its stakeholders. While there remains substantial work ahead in the PRC to turn the business around and convince stakeholders in the PRC (including customers, suppliers, employees and PRC governmental officials of all levels) that the Sino-Forest business built up over the past 18 years is here to stay, I firmly believe that the transactions which SFC proposes to initiate pursuant to the CCAA will show a path out of the uncertainty which it has faced since last June.

201. The Support Agreement provides that SFC will make an application under the CCAA in order to implement the Plan. The Consenting Noteholders executed the Support Agreement on the basis that a restructuring of SFC as proposed would be undertaken pursuant to the CCAA.

202. But for the negotiation and execution of the Support Agreement, SFC would be unable to prevent the acceleration and enforcement of the rights of the noteholders as soon as April 30, 2012, in which case SFC would be unable to continue as a going concern, and is thus insolvent. Accordingly, and for the reasons set out herein, a restructuring is urgently required and should be pursued to preserve its enterprise value.

203. SFC has reached an agreement on a consensual restructuring transaction with the Ad Hoc Noteholders. SFC is seeking a stay of proceedings under the CCAA in order to allow it time to proceed to develop the Plan which, if approved by the creditors and this Honourable Court, would, among other things, allow for a going concern emergence of Sino-Forest's business.

VIII. THE SALE PROCESS

204. Under the Sale Process, SFC, through its financial advisor, Houlihan Lokey ("Houlihan"), and with the oversight of the monitor, will seek qualified purchasers (including existing shareholders and noteholders) of SFC's assets on a global basis and attempt to engage them in the Sale Process. The Sale Process Procedures, which were agreed to by the parties to the Support Agreement in consultation with the proposed monitor, provide that SFC will have up to 90 days to solicit letters of intent, and if qualified letters of intent are received, a further 90 days to solicit qualified bids. A copy of the Sale Process Procedures is attached as Schedule D to the Support Agreement.

205. I believe it is critically important that the Sale Process Order be granted at this time for a variety of reasons. First and most importantly, it is very important that SFC conclude a restructuring by the end of the third fiscal quarter. The business of the Sino-Forest Companies is seasonal, and the vast majority of transactions (both purchases and sales) typically occur in the third and fourth quarters. All stakeholders will therefore be prejudiced if SFC cannot complete a restructuring by the end of the third quarter, or soon thereafter, as the business will continue to be frozen through the critical fourth quarter.

206. With that target end date in mind, the process must begin immediately. I understand that in other insolvency filings in Canada, sale processes have been done on much shorter timetables than what SFC is proposing; however, I believe the proposed timetable is necessary and appropriate in light of the specific circumstances. In fact, given the critical timing of this process, I am aware that Houlihan has already been in contact with parties who may be interested parties in this Sale Process.

207. The assets being sold, especially given the allegations in the MW Report, are extremely complex and are being offered for sale without current audited financial statements. Potential buyers therefore need to be afforded sufficient time to do due diligence.

208. In addition, there are limited potential buyers for these assets. I believe that potential buyers will need to have, in addition to the significant capital to complete a transaction of this size, an in-depth and intimate knowledge of the PRC market. I do not expect that the ultimate buyer for these assets, if any, will be a typical buyer of distressed assets in an insolvency proceeding.

209. Accordingly, given that a transaction must be implemented as soon as possible, and given the complexity of the assets and the fact that there is a limited universe of potential buyers, I believe it is necessary that the Sale Process Order be granted at this time, and that the Sale Process provides the best potential for recovery for SFC's stakeholders.

210. I have no reason to believe that any creditors have a *bona fide* reason to object to the Sale Process.

IX. SFC MEETS CCAA STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

211. I am advised by Gary Solway of Bennett Jones LLP, counsel to SFC, that the CCAA applies in respect of a "debtor company" if the claims against the debtor company or affiliated debtor companies total more than CDN \$5 million. I am further advised by Gary Solway that a "debtor company" is a company incorporated under an Act of Parliament or the legislature of a province which has, among other things, become bankrupt or insolvent.

A. SFC is a "Company" Under the CCAA

212. SFC is a "company" to which the CCAA applies as it is a company continued under the CBCA. A copy of SFC's articles of continuance was previously attached.

B. SFC has Claims Against it in Excess of \$5 Million

213. As discussed above, SFC has debts against it far in excess of the CDN \$5 million statutory requirement.

C. SFC is Insolvent

214. I am advised by Gary Solway of Bennett Jones LLP, counsel to SFC, that under section 2 of the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act* (and a similar definition exists under sections 192(2) and 208 of the CBCA), an insolvent person is one whose liabilities to creditors exceeds CDN \$1,000 and (i) is for any reason unable to meet his obligations as they generally become due, (ii) has ceased paying his current obligations in the ordinary course of business as they generally become due, or (iii) the aggregate of whose property is not, at a fair valuation, sufficient, or, if disposed of at a fairly conducted sale under legal process, would not be sufficient to enable payment of all his obligations, due and accruing due.

215. As discussed herein, the holders of SFC's senior notes entered into waiver agreements wherein they agreed not to have the indenture trustees demand immediate payment of the principal amount of the senior notes. Such waiver agreements expire on the earlier of April 30, 2012 and any earlier termination of the waiver agreements in accordance with their terms. Moreover, in addition to the default dealt with pursuant to the waiver agreements in respect of the Q3 Results, SFC will be in further default on April 30, 2012 as a result of the fact that it will

fail to file its audited 2011 Results. As discussed in greater detail herein, SFC will be unable to cure such default in the immediate to near term (if ever).

216. But for the execution of the Support Agreement and the standstill provided for therein, the indenture trustees under the notes could be entitled to accelerate and enforce the rights of the noteholders as soon as April 30, 2012. Without the liquidity provided by the waiver agreements, SFC would be unable to meet its obligations as they come due or continue as a going concern and is thus insolvent.

X. RELIEF SOUGHT

A. Stay of Proceedings

217. SFC needs a stay of proceedings to pursue and implement the Restructuring Transaction in an attempt to complete a going concern restructuring of its businesses. In the interim, the class actions lawsuits, as well as any other potential actions, need to be stayed so that the Restructuring Committee can focus on formulating the Plan.

B. Appointment of Monitor

218. FTI Consulting Canada Inc. ("FTI") has consented to act as the monitor of SFC (the "Monitor") in the CCAA proceedings, and I believe that FTI is qualified and competent to so act.

219. FTI will be filing a pre-filing report with the Court as prospective monitor in conjunction with SFC's request for relief under the CCAA.

C. Payments During CCAA Proceeding

220. During the course of this CCAA proceeding, SFC intends to make payments for goods and services supplied post-filing as set out in the cash flow projections described below and as permitted by the draft Initial Order.

D. Administration Charge

221. It is contemplated that the Monitor, counsel to the Monitor, counsel to SFC, counsel to the Board, Houlihan, FTI Consulting (Hong Kong) Limited, counsel to the Ad Hoc Noteholders and the financial advisor to the Ad Hoc Noteholders would be granted a first priority Court-ordered charge on the assets, property and undertakings of SFC, other than SFC's assets which are subject to *Personal Property Security Act* registrations (the "SFC Property") in priority to all other charges (the "Administration Charge") up to the maximum amount of CDN \$15 million in respect of their respective fees and disbursements, incurred at standard rates and charges. SFC believes the Administration Charge is fair and reasonable in the circumstances.

222. The nature of the Sino-Forest Companies' business requires the expertise, knowledge and continuing participation of the proposed beneficiaries of the Administration Charge in order to complete a successful restructuring. I believe this Administration Charge is necessary to ensure their continued participation.

223. I do not believe that there is any unwarranted duplication of roles between the proposed beneficiaries of the Administration Charge.

E. Directors' Charge

224. A successful restructuring of SFC will only be possible with the continued participation of the Board. These personnel are essential to the viability of the continuing business of Sino-Forest. SFC's Board members have specialized expertise and relationships with Sino-Forest's suppliers, employees and other stakeholders, as well as knowledge gained throughout the IC process that cannot be replicated or replaced.

225. The directors of SFC have indicated that due to the potential for significant personal liability, they cannot continue their service in this restructuring unless the Initial Order grants a charge on the SFC Property in priority to all other charges except the Administration Charge, as security for SFC's indemnification obligations for the potential obligations and liabilities they may incur after the commencement of these proceedings. It is proposed that the directors of SFC be granted a directors' charge in the amount of CDN \$3.2 million (the "Directors' Charge") over the SFC Property. SFC believes the Directors' Charge is fair and reasonable in the circumstances.

226. SFC, for itself and its subsidiaries, currently has primary insurance coverage of \$10 million and five separate excess insurance policies collectively providing CDN \$45 million (the "2012 Insurance Policies"), for a total of CDN \$55 million of coverage in place to attempt to protect SFC and its directors and officers. The 2012 Insurance Policies were put in place and became effective after prior policies of insurance were not renewed following their expiry on December 31, 2011, by the insurers who had issued the policies (the "2011 Insurance Policies"). Although coverage is being provided to SFC and certain of its directors and officers under the 2011 Insurance Policies for claims that were advanced or threatened prior to the expiry of the 2011 Insurance Policies on December 31, 2011, those policies provide no coverage or protection to SFC or its officers and directors for new claims that are made after December 31, 2011 which are based on new events or allegations unrelated to the subject matter of the claims that have already been advanced or threatened.

227. As was the case with the 2011 Insurance Policies, the 2012 Insurance Policies provide for three types of coverage: (i) director and officer liability, (ii) corporate liability for indemnifiable loss, and (iii) corporate liability arising from securities claims. The 2012 Insurance Policies expire on December 31, 2012 and exclude coverage for directors' liabilities for wages. There are

also other exclusions and limitations of coverage which may leave SFC's directors and officers without coverage under the 2012 Insurance Policies. Depending on the circumstances of any particular claim, the insurers which have issued the 2012 Insurance Policies may deny coverage on the basis that the 2012 Insurance Policies exclude such other claims, that coverage limits have been exhausted by claims made against the 2012 Insurance Policies, or that the matters reported fall within the coverage provided by the 2011 Insurance Policies (which are already responding to a number of significant claims that have the potential to exhaust or exceed the applicable limits). Finally, there is no guarantee that SFC will be able to renew the 2012 Insurance Policies when they expire at the end of the year.

228. Contractual indemnities have been provided by SFC to its directors. SFC does not have sufficient funds to satisfy those indemnities should the directors of SFC incur obligations and liabilities in that regard after the commencement of these proceedings.

229. The Directors' Charge is necessary so that SFC may benefit from its directors' experience, knowledge and ability to guide SFC's restructuring efforts. It is critical to the restructuring efforts that SFC's directors remain with SFC in order to assist SFC in achieving the Restructuring Transaction to benefit SFC's stakeholders.

230. As such, it is proposed that the priorities of the Administration Charge and the Directors' Charge be as follows:

- (a) First – Administration Charge; and
- (b) Second – Directors' Charge.

231. Based on the books and records of SFC, and to the best of my knowledge, there are no secured creditors who are likely to be affected by the Administration Charge or the Directors' Charge.

F. Postponement of Annual Shareholders' Meeting

232. As previously mentioned, SFC is a public company under the CBCA. I am advised by Gary Solway of Bennett Jones LLP, counsel to SFC, that, as such, SFC is required, pursuant to paragraph 133(1)(b) of the CBCA, to call an annual meeting of its shareholders by no later than June 30, 2012, being six months after the end of its preceding financial year which ended on December 31, 2011. Accordingly, SFC is required to call its annual general meeting no later than June 30, 2012. SFC's annual general meeting has typically been held in the month of May.

233. However, the management of SFC and other Sino-Forest Companies are presently devoting their efforts to stabilizing the business with a view to implementing the Restructuring Transaction in accordance with the terms of the Support Agreement.

234. Preparing the proxy materials required for an annual meeting of shareholders (which must be prepared well in advance of any meeting so that they can be mailed to shareholders in advance of the meeting) and holding the annual meeting of shareholders would divert the attention of senior management of the Sino-Forest Companies away from implementing the Restructuring Transaction, would require significant financial resources, and could impede SFC's ability to achieve a restructuring under the CCAA.

235. In addition, pursuant to section 155 of the CBCA, SFC is required to place before the annual meeting financial statements of SFC for a period ended not more than six months prior to

the date of the annual meeting. SFC has been unable to complete its financial statements for the reasons already discussed.

236. I am advised by Gary Solway of Bennett Jones LLP, counsel to SFC, that, under subsection 106(6) of the CBCA, if directors are not elected at an annual meeting, the incumbent directors will continue to hold office until their successors are elected.

237. Certain financial and other information is and will continue to be available to the public through SFC's court filing which will be easily accessible on the proposed Monitor's website (<http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc>). Consequently, the failure to hold an annual general meeting within the time prescribed by the CBCA will not deprive shareholders of access to the financial information of SFC that is publicly available from SFC.

238. Under the circumstances, I believe it is impractical for SFC to call and hold an annual meeting of shareholders during this CCAA proceeding.

G. Foreign Proceedings

239. SFC is seeking in the Initial Order to have the Monitor authorized, as the foreign representative of SFC, to apply for recognition of these proceedings, as necessary, in any jurisdiction outside of Canada, including as "Foreign Main Proceedings" in the United States pursuant to Chapter 15 of the *U.S. Bankruptcy Code* (the "Chapter 15 Proceedings"). The initial effect of the Chapter 15 Proceedings would be to give effect to the Initial Order in the United States.

H. Financial Advisor Agreement

240. It became clear to SFC at the beginning of September 2011, that it would greatly benefit from the expertise of a financial advisor. Accordingly, SFC invited four reputable global financial advisory firms to make presentations for the role on or about September 14, 2011. Houlihan was selected as SFC's first choice as a result of, among others, its significant experience in debt restructurings, its strong presence and reputation in both the North American and Asian markets, and its strong standing with the global noteholders community, especially those event driven funds which customarily play a leadership role in these situations.

241. On or about September 26, 2011, Bennett Jones LLP, as counsel to SFC, entered into an agreement with Houlihan relating to Houlihan's provision of financial advisory and investment banking services to SFC. That agreement was amended and replaced by an agreement dated as of December 22, 2011 (the "Financial Advisor Agreement"). A copy of the Financial Advisor Agreement is attached as Exhibit "CC".

242. The Financial Advisor Agreement provides, among other things, that if SFC commences any proceedings under the CCAA or similar legislation or statute, SFC will promptly seek to have the Court approve (i) the Financial Advisor Agreement, and (ii) Houlihan's retention by SFC under the terms of the Financial Advisor Agreement, including the payment to be made to Houlihan thereunder. As such, the draft Initial Order provides for such approvals.

243. It is my belief that Houlihan's significant restructuring experience and expertise in the area of debt restructuring has greatly benefited SFC. The proposed Restructuring Transaction would not have been achievable without the advice and assistance of Houlihan. Houlihan was also instrumental in assisting SFC in obtaining the waiver agreements described herein.

244. Houlihan has spent approximately seven months working closely with senior management of SFC and its other advisors. Houlihan has greatly assisted SFC in its restructuring efforts to date and has gained a thorough and intimate understanding of the Sino-Forest business. If SFC was deprived of the benefit of Houlihan's continued advice and assistance and was required to retain a new financial advisor, it would likely take a significant period of time for such a financial advisor to acquire a similar working knowledge of the business and would make it extremely difficult, if not impossible, to implement the Restructuring Transaction in the currently contemplated time frame. Thus, I believe that the continued involvement of Houlihan is essential to the completion of the Restructuring Transaction.

245. It is also my belief that the quantum and nature of the remuneration provided for in the Financial Advisor Agreement is fair and reasonable. Specifically, the restructuring fees payable to Houlihan are only payable if a restructuring transaction is completed and the quantum of those fees is dependent on various factors intended to measure the success of the restructuring.

XI. 13 WEEK CASH FLOW FORECAST

246. As set out in the cash flow forecast attached as Exhibit "DD", SFC's principal uses of cash during the next 13 weeks will consist of the payment of ongoing day-to-day operational expenses, the costs associated with the ongoing investigation into the MW Report, the costs associated with responding to demands from the OSC, HKSFC and RCMP for information, and professional fees and disbursements in connection with these CCAA proceedings.

247. As at March 29, 2012, SFC had approximately \$67.8 million available cash on hand. SFC's cash flow forecast projects that, subject to obtaining the relief outlined herein, it will have sufficient cash to fund its projected operating costs for the next 13 weeks.

XII. CONCLUSION


248. I am confident that granting the Initial Order and Sale Process Order sought by SFC is in the best interests of SFC and its stakeholders. SFC requires the stay of proceedings to pursue and implement the Restructuring Transaction in an attempt to complete a going concern restructuring of its businesses. The Ad Hoc Noteholders support this application and SFC's pursuit of the Plan in this CCAA proceeding.

249. Without the stay of proceedings and the opportunity to effect the Restructuring Transaction (including the Sale Process), Sino-Forest faces a possible cessation of going concern operations, the liquidation of its assets, and the loss of employment for a significant number of employees worldwide. The granting of the requested stay of proceedings will assist an orderly restructuring of SFC.

SWORN BEFORE ME at the City of Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region, People's Republic of China, this 30th day of March, 2012



W. Judson Martin



LEE HONG KU KILDARIA

Solicitor, Hong Kong SAR

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE
MATTER OF A PLAN OR COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**
Court File No.

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**


Proceedings commenced in Toronto

**AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
(Sworn March 30, 2012)**

BENNETT JONES LLP
One First Canadian Place
Suite 3400, P.O. Box 130
Toronto, Ontario
M5X 1A4
Robert W. Staley (LSUC #27115J)
Kevin Zych (LSUC #33129T)
Derek J. Bell (LSUC #43420J)
Jonathan Bell (LSUC #55457P)
Tel: 416-863-1200
Fax: 416-863-1716

Lawyers for the Applicant

THIS IS EXHIBIT "E" TO
THE AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
SWORN AUGUST 14, 2012



A Commissioner etc.

Tai Kam Cheung
Deacons
Solicitor, Hong Kong SAR

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00-
CL

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST

HONOURABLE MR.)

FRIDAY, THE 30th

JUSTICE MORAWETZ)

DAY OF MARCH, 2012

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

SALE PROCESS ORDER

THIS APPLICATION, made by Sino-Forest Corporation (the "Applicant"), pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "CCA") was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the affidavit of W. Judson Martin sworn March 30, 2012 and the Exhibits thereto and the Pre-Filing Report of the Proposed Monitor, FTI Consulting Canada Inc. ("FTI"), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicant, the Applicant's board of directors, FTI, the Ad Hoc Noteholders, and no one else appearing for any other party,

DEFINED TERMS

1. THIS COURT ORDERS that unless otherwise defined in this Order, all capitalized terms used in this Order shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Initial Order granted in these proceedings on March 30, 2012.

SERVICE

2. THIS COURT ORDERS that the time for service of the Notice of Application and the Application Record is hereby abridged and validated so that this Application is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.

SALE PROCESS

3. THIS COURT ORDERS AND DIRECTS that sale process procedures substantially in the form attached hereto as Schedule "A", together with all schedules, appendices and exhibits thereto (collectively, the "Sale Process Procedures"), are hereby approved and the Applicant, the Monitor and the Financial Advisor are authorized and directed to perform each of their obligations thereunder and to do all things reasonably necessary to perform their obligations thereunder.

4. THIS COURT ORDERS that each of the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, and their respective affiliates, partners, directors, employees, agents and controlling persons shall have no liability with respect to any and all losses, claims, damages or liabilities, of any nature or kind, to any person in connection with or as a result of the Sale Process Procedures, except to the extent such losses, claims, damages or liabilities result from the gross negligence or wilful misconduct of the Monitor or the Financial Advisor, as applicable, in performing its obligations under the Sale Process Procedures (as determined by this Court).

GENERAL

5. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant and the Monitor may from time to time apply to this Court for advice and directions with respect to any matter relating to this Order and the Sale Process Procedures and their powers and duties in relation thereto.

6. THIS COURT ORDERS that each of the Applicant and the Monitor be at liberty and are hereby authorized and empowered to apply to any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body, wherever located, for the recognition of this Order and for assistance in carrying out the terms of this Order.

[Handwritten signature]

NB

7. THIS COURT ORDERS that any interested party (including the Applicant and the Monitor) may apply to this Court to vary or amend this Order on not less than seven (7) days notice to any party or parties likely to be affected by the order sought or upon such other notice, if any, as this Court may order.

NB

ENTERED AT / INSCRIT A TORONTO
ON / BOOK NO:
LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO.:

APR 2 - 2012

NB

SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

Sale Process Procedures

On March 30, 2012, Sino-Forest Corporation ("SFC") obtained an initial order (the "Initial Order") under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* ("CCAA") from the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) (the "Court").

On March 30, 2012, SFC also obtained a sale process order (the "Sale Process Order") under the CCAA from the Court approving the sale solicitation process (the "Sale Process") and the procedures to be followed with respect to the Sale Process set forth herein (the "Sale Process Procedures") to determine whether a Successful Bid (as defined herein) can be obtained.

Set forth below are the Sale Process Procedures to be followed with respect to the Sale Process to be undertaken to seek a Successful Bid, and if there is a Successful Bid, to complete the transactions contemplated by the Successful Bid.

All dollar amounts expressed herein, unless otherwise noted, are in United States currency. Unless otherwise indicated herein any event that occurs on a day that is not a Business Day shall be deemed to occur on the next Business Day. Capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed thereto in Schedule "A".

Solicitation Process

(1) The Sale Process Procedures set forth herein describe, among other things, (a) the Assets available for sale, (b) the manner in which prospective bidders may gain access to or continue to have access to due diligence materials concerning SFC, the Assets, and the SFC Business, (c) the manner in which bidders and bids become Qualified Bidders and Qualified Bids, respectively, (d) the receipt and negotiation of bids received, (e) the ultimate selection of a Successful Bidder, and (f) the approval thereof by the Court (collectively, the "Solicitation Process").

(2) SFC, in consultation with the Financial Advisor, and with oversight by the Monitor, shall conduct the Sale Process Procedures and the Solicitation Process as outlined herein. Certain stages of the Sale Process Procedures may be conducted by SFC simultaneously to the preparation, solicitation or confirmation of a CCAA Plan by SFC. In addition, the closing of any sale may involve additional intermediate steps or transactions to facilitate consummation of such sale, including additional Court filings. If there is disagreement or clarification required as to the interpretation or application of these Sale Process Procedures, the Court will have jurisdiction to hear such matter and provide advice and directions, upon application of the Monitor, SFC or the Initial Consenting Noteholders with a hearing on no less than three (3) Business Days notice.

CCAA Plan

(3) The sale of the Assets to the Successful Bidder, if any, will be completed pursuant to a plan of compromise and arrangement pursuant to the CCAA, such plan to be in form and substance acceptable to SFC and the Initial Consenting Noteholders (the “**CCAA Plan**”).

“As Is, Where Is”

(4) The sale of the Assets will be on an “as is, where is” basis and without surviving representations or warranties of any kind, nature, or description by the Financial Advisor, the Monitor, SFC or any of their respective agents, estates, advisors, professionals or otherwise, except to the extent set forth in a definitive purchase agreement with a Successful Bidder.

Free Of Any And All Claims And Interests

(5) The sale of the Assets to the Successful Bidder, if any, will result in all of the rights, title and interests of SFC in and to the Assets to be acquired being transferred free and clear of all pledges, liens, security interests, encumbrances, claims, charges, options, and interests thereon and there against (collectively, the “**Claims and Interests**”) pursuant to an approval and vesting order made by the Court. Contemporaneously with such approval and vesting order being made, all such Claims and Interests shall attach to the net proceeds of the sale of such property (without prejudice to any claims or causes of action regarding the priority, validity or enforceability thereof), except to the extent otherwise set forth in the relevant definitive purchase agreement with a Successful Bidder.

Publication Notice

(6) Within seven (7) days of the date the Sale Process Order is granted, (i) the Monitor shall cause a notice of the Sale Process to be published in The Globe and Mail and The Wall Street Journal, which notice shall be in substantially similar form as attached hereto as Schedule “B”; and (ii) SFC shall issue a press release regarding the Sale Process through Canada Newswire, designating dissemination in Canada and major financial centers in the United States.

(7) [Intentionally deleted]

Solicitation of Interest

(8) As soon as reasonably practicable after the granting of the Sale Process Order, SFC, in consultation with the Financial Advisor and the Monitor, will prepare (if not already prepared) an initial offering summary (the “**Teaser Letter**”) notifying prospective purchasers of the Assets (both strategic and financial parties (including existing shareholders and noteholders of SFC and parties proposed by the Noteholder Advisors)) of the existence of the Solicitation Process and inviting prospective purchasers to express their interest in making an offer for the Assets.

Participation Requirements

(9) Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, or otherwise determined by SFC (in consultation with the Monitor), in order to participate in the Solicitation Process, each interested person (a "**Potential Bidder**") must deliver to the Financial Advisor with a copy to the Monitor and the other parties listed on Schedule "C" at the addresses specified in Schedule "C" (by email), prior to the distribution of any confidential information by the Financial Advisor to a Potential Bidder, the following documents (the "**Participation Materials**");

- (a) an executed Confidentiality Agreement;
- (b) a specific indication of anticipated sources of capital for the Potential Bidder and, if requested by SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, preliminary evidence of the availability of such capital, or such other form of financial disclosure and credit support or enhancement that will allow SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, to make, in its reasonable business judgment, a determination as to the Potential Bidder's financial and other capabilities to consummate an acquisition of the Assets; and
- (c) a letter setting forth the identity of the Potential Bidder, the contact information for such Potential Bidder and, if requested by SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, full disclosure of the direct and indirect owners of the Potential Bidder and their principals.

(10) If it is determined by SFC, after consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, that a Potential Bidder (i) has *bona fide* interest in an acquisition of the Assets; (ii) has the financial capability to consummate such a transaction based on such Potential Bidder's financial information; and (iii) has provided all of the Participation Materials, such Potential Bidder will be deemed a "**Phase 1 Qualified Bidder**". The Financial Advisor will promptly notify the Potential Bidder of such determination, and will inform the Noteholder Advisors of any such determination with respect to a Potential Bidder.

(11) The determination as to whether a Potential Bidder is a Phase 1 Qualified Bidder will be made as promptly as practicable after a Potential Bidder delivers all of the Participation Materials.

(12) If there is no Phase 1 Qualified Bidder by the end of Phase 1, SFC shall, in consultation with the Monitor, the Financial Advisor and the Noteholder Advisors, (a) forthwith terminate the Sale Process; and (b) as soon as reasonably practicable take such steps (including bringing motions, holding meetings of creditors, etc.) as may be necessary to complete the Restructuring Transaction.

(13) If the Sale Process has been terminated as provided in section 12, the Financial Advisor shall notify each Potential Bidder that submitted Participation Materials that the Sale Process has been terminated.

Confidential Information Memorandum and Due Diligence for Phase 1 Qualified Bidders

(14) The Confidential Information Memorandum will be made available by the Financial Advisor to Phase 1 Qualified Bidders as soon as practicable after the determination that such party is a Phase 1 Qualified Bidder.

(15) During Phase 1, SFC shall afford each Phase 1 Qualified Bidder (including, for greater certainty, its potential lenders or financiers and its financial and legal advisors, provided however, that such persons have also signed a Confidentiality Agreement (or are representatives for whom the relevant Phase 1 Qualified Bidder is responsible under its Confidentiality Agreement)) access to such due diligence materials and information relating to the Assets and the SFC Business as SFC, in its reasonable business judgment, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, deems appropriate, and which may include discussions with the Financial Advisor and SFC's legal advisors. Unless otherwise determined by SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, Phase 1 Qualified Bidders will not be provided access to the Data Room.

(16) The Monitor, the Financial Advisor and SFC make no representation or warranty as to the information in the materials provided, except, in the case of SFC, to the extent contemplated under any definitive purchase agreement with a Successful Bidder. A copy of the Confidential Information Memorandum shall be provided to the Noteholder Advisors pursuant to their confidentiality agreements with SFC.

Phase 1

Seeking Letters of Intent by the Phase 1 Qualified Bidders

(17) For the period following the date of the Sale Process Order until the Phase 1 Bid Deadline (as defined below) ("**Phase 1**"), SFC and the Financial Advisor, under the supervision of the Monitor, will solicit non-binding letters of intent from Phase 1 Qualified Bidder to acquire the Assets from SFC pursuant to a CCAA Plan (each, a "**Letter of Intent**").

(18) A Phase 1 Qualified Bidder that desires to continue to participate in the Solicitation Process shall deliver written copies of a Letter of Intent to SFC through the Financial Advisor with a copy to the Monitor and the other parties listed on Schedule "C" at the addresses specified in Schedule "C" (by email) so as to be received by all such parties not later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on June 28, 2012 (the "**Phase 1 Bid Deadline**").

Qualified Letters of Intent

(19) A Letter of Intent will be considered a Qualified Letter of Intent only if it is submitted on or before the Phase 1 Bid Deadline by a Phase 1 Qualified Bidder and contains the following information (a "**Qualified Letter of Intent**");

- (a) a statement that the Phase 1 Qualified Bidder is offering to acquire the Assets from SFC pursuant to a CCAA Plan for consideration not less than the Qualified Consideration (a "**Sale Proposal**");

- (b) a specific indication of (i) the purchase price range expressed in United States dollars (including details of liabilities to be assumed by the Phase 1 Qualified Bidder and the projected net proceeds to be received by SFC on closing); (ii) the structure and financing of the transaction (including, but not limited to, the sources of financing for the purchase price, preliminary evidence of the availability of such financing and the steps necessary and associated timing to obtain the financing and consummate the proposed transaction and any related contingencies, as applicable); (iii) an outline of the Phase 1 Qualified Bidder's plans for the SFC Business for the first 12 months after completion of the transaction; (iv) the Phase 1 Qualified Bidder's expectations regarding the continued employment of the employees of the direct and indirect subsidiaries of SFC; (v) the general terms of any new agreements or arrangements to be entered into with any current or former employees of SFC and its direct and indirect subsidiaries; (vi) any anticipated corporate, shareholder, internal, regulatory or other approvals required to close the transaction and the anticipated time frame and any anticipated impediments for obtaining such approvals; (vii) a description of any additional due diligence required or desired to be conducted during Phase 2; (viii) any conditions to closing that the Phase 1 Qualified Bidder may wish to impose; and (ix) any other terms or conditions of the Sale Proposal which the Phase 1 Qualified Bidder believes are material to the transaction; and
- (c) such other information reasonably requested by SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor.

(20) SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, will assess each such Letter of Intent received by the Phase 1 Bid Deadline, if any, and determine whether it is a Qualified Letter of Intent. Such determination will be made as promptly as practicable but no later than seven (7) Business Days after the receipt of any such Letter of Intent. For the purpose of such consultations and assessments, SFC, the Financial Advisor and/or the Monitor may seek clarification from any Phase 1 Qualified Bidder with respect to the terms of such Letter of Intent.

(21) Notwithstanding section 19, in respect of any non-compliant Letter of Intent, SFC may, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, waive compliance with any one or more of the requirements specified herein and deem such non-compliant Letter of Intent to be a Qualified Letter of Intent; provided that, SFC shall not, without the consent of the Monitor and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, waive the requirement that the consideration offered by the Phase 1 Qualified Bidder must be not less than the Qualified Consideration. A Phase 1 Qualified Bidder shall only be deemed a "**Qualified Bidder**" if it submits a Qualified Letter of Intent.

(22) If SFC (a) has received one or more Qualified Letters of Intent prior to the Phase 1 Bid Deadline; and (b) in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, determines that there is a reasonable prospect of obtaining a Qualified Bid, the Sale Process will continue until the Phase 2 Bid Deadline in accordance with these Sale Process Procedures ("**Phase 2**").

(23) Subject to the terms of the Sale Process Order, SFC shall, in consultation with the Monitor, the Financial Advisor and the Noteholder Advisors, terminate the Sale Process at the end of Phase 1 if:

- (a) no Qualified Letter of Intent was received by SFC by the Phase 1 Bid Deadline;
- (b) SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, determines that there is no reasonable prospect that any Qualified Letter of Intent received will result in a Qualified Bid that is likely to be consummated; or
- (c) SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, determines that continuing with the Sale Process is not in the best interests of SFC.

(24) If the Sale Process is terminated by SFC in accordance with section 23, or pursuant to an order of the Court, SFC shall, as soon as reasonably practicable, take such steps (including bringing motions, holding meetings of creditors, etc.) as may be necessary to complete the Restructuring Transaction.

(25) If the Sale Process has been terminated as provided in section 23, the Financial Advisor shall notify each Phase 1 Qualified Bidder that submitted a Letter of Intent that the Sale Process has been terminated.

Phase 2

Seeking Qualified Bids by Qualified Bidders

(26) A Qualified Bidder wishing to continue to participate in the Solicitation Process must deliver written copies of a Qualified Bid to SFC through the Financial Advisor with a copy to the Monitor and the other parties listed on Schedule "C" at the addresses specified in Schedule "C" (by email) so as to be received by all such parties not later than 5:00 pm (Toronto time) on September 26, 2012 (the "**Phase 2 Bid Deadline**").

(27) During Phase 2, SFC shall afford each Qualified Bidder (including, for greater certainty, its potential lenders or financiers and its financial and legal advisors, provided, however, that such persons have also signed a Confidentiality Agreement (or are representatives for whom the relevant Qualified Bidder is responsible under its Confidentiality Agreement)) access to such due diligence materials and information relating to the Assets and the SFC Business as SFC, in its reasonable business judgment, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, deems appropriate, including, as appropriate, meetings with senior management of SFC, access to the Data Room and site tours.

(28) The Monitor, the Financial Advisor and SFC make no representation or warranty as to the information in the materials provided, except, in the case of SFC, to the extent contemplated under any definitive purchase agreement with a Successful Bidder.

Qualified Bids

(29) SFC shall make available to each Qualified Bidder a form of purchase agreement developed by SFC in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor (the "**Form of Purchase Agreement**") no later than 20 days after the Phase 1 Bid Deadline.

(30) A bid submitted by a Qualified Bidder will be considered a Qualified Bid only if it complies with all of the following (a “Qualified Bid”):

- (a) it includes a letter stating that the Qualified Bidder’s bid is irrevocable until the earlier of (x) the approval by the Court of the Successful Bid by the Successful Bidder and (y) the Outside Date, provided that if such Qualified Bidder is selected as the Successful Bidder, its offer shall remain irrevocable until the earlier of (i) the closing of the sale of the Assets to the Successful Bidder and (ii) the Outside Date;
- (b) it includes a duly authorized and executed purchase agreement substantially in the form of the Form of Purchase Agreement, including the purchase price, expressed in United States dollars, the net proceeds to be paid to SFC on closing, together with all exhibits and schedules thereto, and such ancillary agreements as may be required by the Qualified Bidder with all exhibits and schedules thereto as well as copies of such materials marked to show those amendments and modifications to the Form of Purchase Agreement and such ancillary agreements;
- (c) it provides for the acquisition of the Assets from SFC pursuant to a CCAA Plan for consideration not less than the Qualified Consideration;
- (d) it includes written evidence of a firm, irrevocable commitment for all required funding and/or financing to consummate the proposed transaction, including the sources and uses of capital, or other evidence satisfactory to SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor that will allow SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, to make a reasonable determination as to the Qualified Bidder’s financial and other capabilities to consummate the transaction contemplated by the bid;
- (e) it is not conditioned on (i) the outcome of unperformed due diligence by or on behalf of the Qualified Bidder and/or (ii) obtaining any financing or capital;
- (f) it outlines any anticipated regulatory and other approvals required to close the transaction and the anticipated time frame and any anticipated impediments for obtaining such approvals;
- (g) it provides a timeline to closing that is no later than the Outside Date, with critical milestones;
- (h) it fully discloses the identity of each entity that is bidding or that will be sponsoring, participating or beneficially interested in the bid, and the complete terms of any such sponsorship, participation or beneficial interest;
- (i) it includes an acknowledgement and representation that the Qualified Bidder (i) has relied solely upon its own independent review, investigation and/or inspection of the documents and/or the assets to be acquired and liabilities to be assumed in making its bid; (ii) did not rely upon any written or oral statements, representations, promises, warranties or guaranties whatsoever, whether express

or implied (by operation of law or otherwise), regarding the Assets to be acquired or liabilities to be assumed or the completeness of any information provided in connection therewith, except as expressly stated in the purchase agreement; (iii) is a sophisticated party capable of making its own assessments in respect of making its bid; and (iv) has had the benefit of independent legal advice in connection with its bid;

- (j) it includes evidence, in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, of authorization and approval from the Qualified Bidder's board of directors (or comparable governing body) with respect to the submission, execution, delivery and closing of the transaction contemplated by the bid;
- (k) it is accompanied by a deposit in the form of a wire transfer (to a bank account specified by the Monitor), or such other form acceptable to SFC and the Monitor, payable to the order of the Monitor, in trust, of US\$10 million (or any other currency acceptable to the Monitor) to be held and dealt with in accordance with these Sale Process Procedures (the "**Deposit**");
- (l) if the Qualified Bidder is an entity newly formed for the purpose of the transaction or otherwise has limited net assets and/or operating history, the bid shall contain an equity or debt commitment letter from the parent entity or sponsor, which is satisfactory to SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor;
- (m) it contains any other information reasonably requested by SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor; and
- (n) it is received by the Phase 2 Bid Deadline and otherwise in accordance with section 26; provided, however, that SFC reserves the right following the Phase 2 Bid Deadline to conduct negotiations with each Qualified Bidder with respect to the terms and provisions of a bid and any qualifications or modifications that SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, may seek in order for such bid to be classified as a Qualified Bid.

(31) Notwithstanding section 30, in respect of any non-compliant bid, SFC may, with the consent of the Monitor, waive compliance with any one or more of the requirements specified herein; provided, however, if such consent is not obtained, SFC may seek authority from the Court to waive compliance with any one or more of the requirements specified herein, provided that, in no circumstances shall the requirements in Sections (30)(a) (only with respect to the requirement that if such Qualified Bidder is selected as the Successful Bidder, its offer shall remain irrevocable until the earlier of (i) the closing of the sale of the Assets to the Successful Bidder and (ii) the Outside Date), (30)(c), (30)(d), (30)(g), (30)(k) and (30)(n) be waived, without the consent of the Monitor and the Initial Consenting Noteholders.

(32) SFC will, in consultation with the Monitor, the Financial Advisor and the Noteholder Advisors, review each bid received by the Phase 2 Bid Deadline, if any, as set forth herein, and

determine whether it is a Qualified Bid. Such determination will be made as promptly as practicable but no later than seven (7) Business Days after the receipt of any such bid.

No Qualified Bids

(33) If at any point during the Sale Process, SFC determines, in consultation with the Monitor, the Financial Advisor and the Noteholder Advisors, that a Qualified Bid will not be obtained by the Phase 2 Bid Deadline, SFC shall (a) forthwith terminate the Sale Process; and (b) as soon as reasonably practicable take such steps (including bringing motions, holding meetings of creditors, etc.) as may be necessary to complete the Restructuring Transaction.

(34) If the Sale Process has been terminated as provided in section 33, the Financial Advisor shall notify each Qualified Bidder that the Sale Process has been terminated.

Evaluation and Selection of Successful Bid

(35) Evaluation criteria with respect to a Qualified Bid may include, but are not limited to items such as: (a) the purchase price (including assumed liabilities and other obligations to be performed or assumed by the bidder) and the net cash proceeds provided by such bid; (b) the claims likely to be created by such bid in relation to other bids; (c) the counterparties to, and the parties beneficially interested in, the transaction; (d) the proposed revisions to the Form of Purchase Agreement and the terms of the transaction documents (any such revisions to be acceptable to SFC in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor); (e) other factors affecting the speed, certainty and value of the transaction (including any regulatory or other approvals required to close the transaction); (f) the bidder's plans for the SFC Business for the first 12 months after completion of the transaction; (g) the bidder's expectations regarding the continued employment of the employees of the direct and indirect subsidiaries of SFC; (h) the terms of any new agreements or arrangements to be entered into with any current or former employees of the SFC and its direct and indirect subsidiaries; and (i) the likelihood and timing of consummating the transaction.

(36) If one or more Qualified Bids is received, SFC will, after consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, identify the highest or otherwise most favourable Qualified Bid (the "**Selected Superior Offer**") by October 5, 2012. SFC shall then finalize a definitive agreement in respect of the Selected Superior Offer by October 17, 2012, conditional upon approval of the Court, a vote of affected creditors (if not already obtained) and on the Selected Superior Offer closing on or before the Outside Date.

(37) Once a definitive agreement has been finalized and settled in respect of the Selected Superior Offer and approved by order of the Court in accordance with the provisions hereof, the Selected Superior Offer shall be the "**Successful Bid**" hereunder and the Qualified Bidder who made the Selected Superior Offer shall be the "**Successful Bidder**" hereunder.

(38) All Qualified Bids (other than the Successful Bid) shall be deemed rejected by SFC on and as of the date of approval of the Successful Bid by order of the Court.

(39) Notwithstanding anything contained herein, SFC, in consultation with the Monitor, the Financial Advisor and the Noteholder Advisors, may terminate the Sale Process at any time and

may reject one or more Qualified Bids, if SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, determines that the Sale Process or any such Qualified Bid is not in the best interests of SFC.

(40) If the Sale Process is terminated by SFC in accordance with section 39, SFC shall as soon as reasonably practicable take such steps (including bringing motions, holding meetings of creditors, etc.) as may be necessary to complete the Restructuring Transaction.

(41) If the Sale Process has been terminated as provided in section 39, the Financial Advisor shall notify each Qualified Bidder that the Sale Process has been terminated.

Approval Motion

(42) The hearing to, among other things, (a) approve the Successful Bid; (b) authorize SFC's entering into of agreements with respect to the Successful Bid; and (c) authorize SFC's completing the transaction contemplated thereby including, without limitation, seeking an order directing that a meeting of creditors of SFC be held to consider the CCAA Plan to implement the Successful Bid (the "**Approval Motion**") will be held on a date to be scheduled by the Court upon application by SFC. Subject to SFC's covenants under the Support Agreement, the Approval Motion may be adjourned or rescheduled by SFC with the consent of the Monitor, without further notice by an announcement of the adjourned date at the Approval Motion. If the Successful Bid is not, or, in the reasonable determination of SFC, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, is not likely to be, consummated on or before Outside Date, then SFC shall, and any other party in interest may, seek direction from the Court in regard to the Sale Process, after notice and a hearing, subject to the respective rights of SFC and all parties in interest, including the Initial Consenting Noteholders, to be heard regarding such relief.

(43) If following approval of the Successful Bid by the Court, the Successful Bidder fails to consummate the transaction for any reason, SFC shall as soon as reasonably practicable after such failure take such steps (including bringing motions, holding meetings of creditors, etc.) as may be necessary to complete the Restructuring Transaction.

Deposits

(44) All Deposits shall be retained by the Monitor and invested in an interest bearing (if available) trust account. If there is a Successful Bid, the Deposit (plus any accrued interest) paid by the Successful Bidder whose bid is approved at the Approval Motion shall be non-refundable and applied to the purchase price to be paid by the Successful Bidder upon closing of the approved transaction. The Deposits (plus any accrued interest) of Qualified Bidders not selected as the Successful Bidder shall be returned to such bidders within five (5) Business Days of the date upon which the Successful Bid is approved by the Court. If there is no Successful Bid, all Deposits (plus any accrued interest) shall be returned to the bidders within five (5) Business Days of the date upon which the Sale Process is terminated in accordance with these Sale Process Procedures.

(45) If a Successful Bidder breaches its obligations to close the transaction subsequent to the approval by the Court of the Successful Bid, it shall forfeit the Deposit, provided however, that

the forfeit of such Deposit shall be in addition to, and not in lieu of, any other rights in law or equity that SFC has against such breaching entity.

Approvals

(46) For greater certainty, the approvals required pursuant to the terms hereof are in addition to, and not in substitution for, any other approvals required by the CCAA or any other statute or as otherwise required at law in order to implement the Successful Bid.

Amendments/Extensions of Time

(47) There shall be no amendments to this Sale Process, including, for greater certainty the process and procedures set out herein, without the prior written consent of the Monitor and the Initial Consenting Noteholders unless otherwise ordered by the Court upon application and appropriate notice, including to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, the Noteholder Advisors and each of the parties listed in Schedule "C". Dates or deadlines set forth herein may be amended or extended by SFC with the prior written consent of the Monitor and the Initial Consenting Noteholders, unless otherwise ordered by the Court upon application and appropriate notice, including to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, the Noteholder Advisors and each of the parties listed in Schedule "C". Notwithstanding the foregoing, SFC may, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, decrease the length of time of Phase 1, and increase or decrease the length of time of Phase 2; provided that in no case shall the number of days in Phases 1 and 2 exceed 180 days in the aggregate.

Consultation

(48) SFC will keep the Noteholder Advisors generally informed regarding the status of the Sale Process and, if determined advisable by SFC in its discretion, may, in consultation with the Monitor and the Financial Advisor, provide the Noteholder Advisors with an opportunity for the Noteholder Advisors to participate in material discussions with interested parties in relation to the Sale Process.

Initial Consenting Noteholder Consent

(49) For the purposes of these Sale Process Procedures, any matter requiring agreement, waiver, consent or approval of the consent of the Initial Consenting Noteholders shall require the agreement, waiver, consent or approval, as the case may be, of Initial Consenting Noteholders representing at least 66 2/3% of the aggregate principal amount of Notes held by the Initial Consenting Noteholders. SFC shall be entitled to rely on written confirmation from the Noteholder Advisors that the Initial Consenting Noteholders representing at least the foregoing percentage of the aggregate principal amount of Notes held by the Initial Consenting Noteholders have agreed, waived, consented to or approved a particular matter.

Further Orders

(50) At any time during the Sales Process, SFC or the Monitor may, following consultation with the Financial Advisor and the Noteholder Advisors, and upon notice to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, the Noteholder Advisors and each of the parties listed in Schedule "C",

apply to the Court for advice and directions with respect to the discharge of their respective powers and duties hereunder following a hearing. For greater certainty, nothing herein provides any Qualified Bidder with any rights other than as expressly set forth herein.

SCHEDULE "A"

DEFINED TERMS

In these Sale Process Procedures:

"Approval Motion" has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 42;

"Assets" means all of SFC's right, title and interest in and to its properties, assets and rights of every kind and description (including, without limitation, all restricted and unrestricted cash, contracts, real property, receivables or other debt owed to SFC, intellectual property, the SFC name and all related marks, all of its shares in its subsidiaries (including, without limitation, all of the shares of the Direct Subsidiaries) and all intercompany debt owed to SFC by any of its subsidiaries), other than the Excluded Assets;

"Business Day" means a day (other than a Saturday or Sunday) on which banks are generally open for business in Toronto, Ontario and Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China;

"CCAA" has the meaning ascribed thereto in the recitals to these Sale Process Procedures;

"CCAA Plan" has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 3;

"Claims and Interest" has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 5;

"Confidential Information Memorandum" means the memorandum relating to the SFC Business and the opportunity to acquire the Assets to be distributed to Phase 1 Qualified Bidders as part of the Sale Process;

"Confidentiality Agreement" means an executed confidentiality agreement in favor of SFC, in form and substance satisfactory to the Monitor, the Financial Advisor and SFC, which shall inure to the benefit of SFC and any purchaser of the Assets (including a purchaser pursuant to the Restructuring Transaction);

"Consenting Noteholders" has the meaning ascribed thereto in the Support Agreement;

"Court" has the meaning ascribed thereto in the recitals to these Sale Process Procedures;

"Data Room" means the virtual data room maintained by SFC through the facilities of Merrill Corporation.

"Deposit" has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 30(k);

"Direct Subsidiaries" means Sino-Panel Holdings Limited, Sino-Global Holdings Inc., Sino-Panel Corporation, Sino-Wood Partners, Sino-Capital Global Inc., Sino-Forest International (Barbados) Corporation and Sino-Forest Resources Inc. (BVI);

“Excluded Assets” means cash equal to \$20 million, the claims of SFC to be transferred to the Litigation Trust and any other assets and rights of SFC that are not transferred to the Successful Bidder pursuant to the Successful Bid as determined by SFC and the Successful Bidder and identified in the CCAA Plan;

“Financial Advisor” means Houlihan Lokey;

“Form of Purchase Agreement” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 29;

“Initial Consenting Noteholders” has the meaning ascribed thereto in the Support Agreement;

“Initial Order” has the meaning ascribed thereto in the recitals to these Sale Process Procedures;

“Letter of Intent” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 17;

“Litigation Trust” means the litigation trust to be established pursuant to the CCAA Plan pursuant to which all claims of SFC and its subsidiaries against any Person shall be transferred on the implementation date of the CCAA Plan.

“Meeting Order” means the order of the Court establishing the procedures for voting on the CCAA Plan, which shall be in form and substance satisfactory to SFC and the Noteholder Advisors, each acting reasonably, as such order may be amended at any time prior to the time the sale transaction that forms part of a Successful Bid is implemented with the consent of SFC and the Noteholder Advisors.

“Monitor” means FTI Consulting Canada Inc., in its capacity as monitor pursuant to the Initial Order and not in its personal or corporate capacity;

“NI 51-102” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section **Error! Reference source not found.**;

“Noteholder Advisors” means Goodmans LLP, Hogan Lovells LLP, Moelis & Company LLC and Moelis & Company Asia Limited, in their capacity as advisors to the Initial Consenting Noteholders;

“Notes” means the 5% Convertible Senior Notes due 2013 issued by SFC, the 10.25% Guaranteed Senior Notes due 2014 issued by SFC, the 4.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2016 issued by SFC and the 6.25% Guaranteed Senior Notes due 2017 issued by SFC;

“Outside Date” means November 30, 2012, as the same may be amended with the consent of the Initial Consenting Noteholders.

“Participation Materials” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 9;

“Person” means any individual, sole proprietorship, limited or unlimited liability corporation, partnership, unincorporated association, unincorporated syndicate, unincorporated organization, body corporate, joint venture, trust, pension fund, union, governmental entity, and a natural person including in such person’s capacity as trustee, heir, beneficiary, executor, administrator or other legal representative;

- “**Phase 1**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 17;
- “**Phase 1 Bid Deadline**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 18;
- “**Phase 1 Qualified Bidder**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 10;
- “**Phase 2**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 22;
- “**Phase 2 Bid Deadline**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 26;
- “**Potential Bidder**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 9;
- “**Qualified Bid**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 30;
- “**Qualified Bidder**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 21;
- “**Qualified Consideration**” means cash consideration payable to SFC (or such other form of consideration as may be acceptable to SFC and the Initial Consenting Noteholders) in an amount equal to 85% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes, plus all accrued and unpaid interest on Notes, at the regular rates provided therefor pursuant to the Note indentures, up to and including March 30, 2012;
- “**Qualified Letter of Intent**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 19;
- “**Restructuring Transaction**” means the restructuring transaction contemplated by the Support Agreement in the event a Successful Bid is not obtained and/or SFC does not consummate the sale transaction;
- “**Sale Process**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in the recitals to these Sale Process Procedures;
- “**Sale Process Order**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in the recitals to these Sale Process Procedures;
- “**Sale Process Procedures**” has the meaning ascribed thereto the recitals to these Sale Process Procedures;
- “**Sale Proposal**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 19(a);
- “**Selected Superior Offer**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 36;
- “**SFC**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in the recitals to these Sale Process Procedures;
- “**SFC Business**” means the business carried on by SFC and its direct and indirect subsidiaries;
- “**Solicitation Process**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 1;
- “**Successful Bid**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 37;
- “**Successful Bidder**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 37;

“Support Agreement” means the support agreement dated March 30, 2012, between SFC and the Initial Consenting Noteholders and the other Consenting Noteholders, as amended from time to time;

“Teaser Letter” has the meaning ascribed thereto in section 8; and

“Voting Deadline” means the deadline for voting on the CCAA Plan, as established by the Meeting Order.

SCHEDULE "B"

FORM OF NOTICE OF SALE PROCESS

TAKE NOTICE THAT pursuant to an order (the "Order") of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the "Court") issued on March 30, 2012 under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, Sino-Forest Corporation obtained Court approval to conduct a sale solicitation process (the "Sale Process").

Pursuant to the Sale Process, Sino-Forest Corporation's financial advisor, Houlihan Lokey, is soliciting proposals from prospective strategic and financial parties to acquire substantially all of the property, assets and business of Sino-Forest Corporation and its subsidiaries, other than certain excluded assets. Sino-Forest Corporation is a leading commercial forest plantation operator in China. Its principal businesses include the ownership and management of tree plantations, the sale of standing timber and wood logs, and the complementary manufacturing of downstream engineered-wood products.

Interested parties can obtain additional information by contacting Houlihan Lokey at:

Houlihan Lokey
Attention: David Putnam
Telephone: +852.3551.2300
Email: dputnam@hl.com

SCHEDULE "C"

NOTICE PARTIES

1.	<p>Sino-Forest Corporation Room 3815-29 38/F, Sun Hung Kai Centre 30 Harbour Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong</p> <p>Attention: Mr. Judson Martin, Chief Executive Officer Email: 1atson-martin@sinoforest.com</p>
2.	<p>Houlihan Lokey 2101 Two Exchange Square, 8 Connaught Place Central, Hong Kong</p> <p>Attention: David Putnam Email: dputnam@hl.com</p>
3.	<p>Bennett Jones LLP One First Canadian Place, Suite 3400, P.O. Box 130 Toronto, Ontario M5X 1A4</p> <p>Attention: Kevin J. Zych and Raj S. Sahni Email: zychk@bennettjones.com and sahnir@bennettjones.com</p>
4.	<p>FTI Consulting Canada Inc. TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West, Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8</p> <p>Attention: Greg Watson Email: greg.watson@fticonsulting.com</p>

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE
MATTER OF A PLAN OR COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No.

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceedings commenced in Toronto


SALES PROCESS ORDER

BENNETT JONES LLP
One First Canadian Place
Suite 3400, P.O. Box 130
Toronto, Ontario
M5X 1A4

Rob Staley (LSUC #27115J)
Kevin Zych (LSUC #33129T)
Derek Bell (LSUC #43420J)
Jonathan Bell (LSUC #55457P)
Tel: 416-863-1200
Fax: 416-863-1716

Lawyers for the Applicant

THIS IS EXHIBIT "F" TO
THE AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
SWORN AUGUST 14, 2012



A Commissioner, etc

Tai Kam Cheung
Deacons
Solicitor, Hong Kong SAR

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL



ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST

THE HONOURABLE MR.)

MONDAY, THE 14th)

JUSTICE MORAWETZ)

DAY OF MAY, 2012

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE AND
 ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

CLAIMS PROCEDURE ORDER

THIS MOTION, made by Sino-Forest Corporation (the "Applicant") for an order establishing a claims procedure for the identification and determination of certain claims was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the Applicant's Notice of Motion, the affidavit of W. Judson Martin sworn on May 2, 2012, the Second Report of FTI Consulting Canada Inc. (the "Monitor") dated April 30, 2012 (the "Monitor's Second Report") and the Supplemental Report to the Monitor's Second Report dated May 12, 2012 (the "Supplemental Report"), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicant, the Applicant's directors, the Monitor, the *ad hoc* committee of Noteholders (the "Ad Hoc Noteholders"), and those other parties present, no one appearing for the other parties served with the Applicant's Motion Record, although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service, filed:

SERVICE

1. THIS COURT ORDERS that the time for service of the Notice of Motion, the Motion Record, the Monitor's Second Report and the Supplemental Report is hereby abridged and

validated such that this Motion is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.

DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION

2. The following terms shall have the following meanings ascribed thereto:
- (a) "2013 and 2016 Trustee" means The Bank of New York Mellon, in its capacity as trustee for the 2013 Notes and the 2016 Notes;
 - (b) "2014 and 2017 Trustee" means Law Debenture Trust Company of New York, in its capacity as trustee for the 2014 Notes and the 2017 Notes;
 - (c) "2013 Note Indenture" means the indenture dated as of July 23, 2008, by and between the Applicant, the entities listed as subsidiary guarantors thereto, and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee, as amended, modified or supplemented;
 - (d) "2014 Note Indenture" means the indenture dated as of July 27, 2009 entered into by and between the Applicant, the entities listed as subsidiary guarantors thereto, and Law Debenture Trust Company of New York, as trustee, as amended, modified or supplemented;
 - (e) "2016 Note Indenture" means the indenture dated as of December 17, 2009, by and between the Applicant, the entities listed as subsidiary guarantors thereto, and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee, as amended, modified or supplemented;
 - (f) "2017 Note Indenture" means the indenture dated as of October 21, 2010, by and between the Applicant, the entities listed as subsidiary guarantors thereto, and Law Debenture Trust Company of New York, as trustee, as amended, modified or supplemented;
 - (g) "2013 Notes" means the US\$345,000,000 of 5.00% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2013 issued pursuant to the 2013 Note Indenture;

- (h) "2014 Notes" means the US\$399,517,000 of 10.25% Guaranteed Senior Notes Due 2014 issued pursuant to the 2014 Note Indenture;
- (i) "2016 Notes" means the US\$460,000,000 of 4.25% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2016 issued pursuant to the 2016 Note Indenture;
- (j) "2017 Notes" means the US\$600,000,000 of 6.25% Guaranteed Senior Notes Due 2017 issued pursuant to the 2017 Note Indenture;
- (k) "Administration Charge" has the meaning given to that term in paragraph 37 of the Initial Order;
- (l) "BIA" means the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, as amended;
- (m) "Business Day" means a day, other than a Saturday or a Sunday, on which banks are generally open for business in Toronto, Ontario;
- (n) "CCAA" means the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended;
- (o) "CCAA Proceedings" means the proceedings commenced by the Applicant in the Court under Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL;
- (p) "CCAA Service List" means the service list in the CCAA Proceedings posted on the Monitor's Website, as amended from time to time;
- (q) "Claim" means:
 - (i) any right or claim of any Person that may be asserted or made in whole or in part against the Applicant, whether or not asserted or made, in connection with any indebtedness, liability or obligation of any kind whatsoever, and any interest accrued thereon or costs payable in respect thereof, including by reason of the commission of a tort (intentional or unintentional), by reason of any breach of contract or other agreement

(oral or written), by reason of any breach of duty (including any legal, statutory, equitable or fiduciary duty) or by reason of any right of ownership of or title to property or assets or right to a trust or deemed trust (statutory, express, implied, resulting, constructive or otherwise), and whether or not any indebtedness, liability or obligation is reduced to judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured, unsecured, present or future, known or unknown, by guarantee, surety or otherwise, and whether or not any right or claim is executory or anticipatory in nature, including any right or ability of any Person (including Directors and Officers) to advance a claim for contribution or indemnity or otherwise with respect to any matter, action, cause or chose in action, whether existing at present or commenced in the future, which indebtedness, liability or obligation, and any interest accrued thereon or costs payable in respect thereof (A) is based in whole or in part on facts prior to the Filing Date, (B) relates to a time period prior to the Filing Date, or (C) is a right or claim of any kind that would be a claim provable in bankruptcy within the meaning of the BIA had the Applicant become bankrupt on the Filing Date, or an Equity Claim (each a "Prefiling Claim", and collectively, the "Prefiling Claims");

(ii) a Restructuring Claim; and

(iii) a Secured Claim;

provided, however, that "Claim" shall not include an Excluded Claim, a D&O Claim or a D&O Indemnity Claim;

(r) "Claimant" means any Person having a Claim, a D&O Claim or a D&O Indemnity Claim and includes the transferee or assignee of a Claim, a D&O Claim or a D&O Indemnity Claim transferred and recognized as a Claimant in accordance with paragraphs 46 and 47 hereof or a trustee, executor, liquidator, receiver, receiver and manager, or other Person acting on behalf of or through such Person;

- (s) "Claimants' Guide to Completing the D&O Proof of Claim" means the guide to completing the D&O Proof of Claim form, in substantially the form attached as Schedule "E-2" hereto;
- (t) "Claimants' Guide to Completing the Proof of Claim" means the guide to completing the Proof of Claim form, in substantially the form attached as Schedule "E" hereto;
- (u) "Claims Bar Date" means June 20, 2012;
- (v) "Class" means the National Class and the Quebec Class;
- (w) "Court" means the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List);
- (x) "Creditors' Meeting" means any meeting of creditors called for the purpose of considering and voting in respect of the Plan, if one is filed, to be scheduled pursuant to further order of the Court;
- (y) "D&O Claim" means, other than an Excluded Claim, (i) any right or claim of any Person that may be asserted or made in whole or in part against one or more Directors or Officers that relates to a Claim for which such Directors or Officers are by law liable to pay in their capacity as Directors or Officers, or (ii) any right or claim of any Person that may be asserted or made in whole or in part against one or more Directors or Officers, in that capacity, whether or not asserted or made, in connection with any indebtedness, liability or obligation of any kind whatsoever, and any interest accrued thereon or costs payable in respect thereof, including by reason of the commission of a tort (intentional or unintentional), by reason of any breach of contract or other agreement (oral or written), by reason of any breach of duty (including any legal, statutory, equitable or fiduciary duty) or by reason of any right of ownership of or title to property or assets or right to a trust or deemed trust (statutory, express, implied, resulting, constructive or otherwise), and whether or not any indebtedness, liability or obligation, and any interest accrued thereon or costs payable in respect thereof, is reduced to judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured,

disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured, unsecured, present or future, known or unknown, by guarantee, surety or otherwise, and whether or not any right or claim is executory or anticipatory in nature, including any right or ability of any Person to advance a claim for contribution or indemnity from any such Directors or Officers or otherwise with respect to any matter, action, cause or chose in action, whether existing at present or commenced in the future, which indebtedness, liability or obligation, and any interest accrued thereon or costs payable in respect thereof (A) is based in whole or in part on facts prior to the Filing Date, or (B) relates to a time period prior to the Filing Date;

- (z) "D&O Indemnity Claim" means any existing or future right of any Director or Officer against the Applicant which arose or arises as a result of any Person filing a D&O Proof of Claim in respect of such Director or Officer for which such Director or Officer is entitled to be indemnified by the Applicant;
- (aa) "D&O Indemnity Claims Bar Date" has the meaning set forth in paragraph 19 of this Order;
- (bb) "D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim" means the indemnity proof of claim in substantially the form attached as Schedule "F" hereto to be completed and filed by a Director or Officer setting forth its purported D&O Indemnity Claim;
- (cc) "D&O Proof of Claim" means the proof of claim in substantially the form attached as Schedule "D-2" hereto to be completed and filed by a Person setting forth its purported D&O Claim and which shall include all supporting documentation in respect of such purported D&O Claim;
- (dd) "Directors" means anyone who is or was, or may be deemed to be or have been, whether by statute, operation of law or otherwise, a director or *de facto* director of the Applicant;
- (ee) "Directors' Charge" has the meaning given to that term in paragraph 26 of the Initial Order;

- (ff) "Dispute Notice" means a written notice to the Monitor, in substantially the form attached as Schedule "B" hereto, delivered to the Monitor by a Person who has received a Notice of Revision or Disallowance, of its intention to dispute such Notice of Revision or Disallowance;
- (gg) "Employee Amounts" means all outstanding wages, salaries and employee benefits (including, employee medical, dental, disability, life insurance and similar benefit plans or arrangements, incentive plans, share compensation plans and employee assistance programs and employee or employer contributions in respect of pension and other benefits), vacation pay, commissions, bonuses and other incentive payments, termination and severance payments, and employee expenses and reimbursements, in each case incurred in the ordinary course of business and consistent with existing compensation policies and arrangements;
- (hh) "Equity Claim" has the meaning set forth in Section 2(1) of the CCAA;
- (ii) "Excluded Claim" means:
- (i) any Claims entitled to the benefit of the Administration Charge or the Directors' Charge, or any further charge as may be ordered by the Court;
 - (ii) any Claims of the Subsidiaries against the Applicant;
 - (iii) any Claims of employees of the Applicant as at the Filing Date in respect of Employee Amounts;
 - (iv) any Post-Filing Claims;
 - (v) any Claims of the Ontario Securities Commission; and
 - (vi) any D&O Claims in respect of (i) through (v) above;
- (jj) "Filing Date" means March 30, 2012;

- (kk) "Government Authority" means a federal, provincial, territorial, municipal or other government or government department, agency or authority (including a court of law) having jurisdiction over the Applicant;
- (ll) "Initial Order" means the Initial order of the Honourable Mr. Justice Morawetz made March 30, 2012 in the CCAA Proceedings, as amended, restated or varied from time to time;
- (mm) "Known Claimants" means:
- (i) any Persons which, based upon the books and records of the Applicant, was owed monies by the Applicant as of the Filing Date and which monies remain unpaid in whole or in part;
 - (ii) any Person who has commenced a legal proceeding in respect of a Claim or D&O Claim or given the Applicant written notice of an intention to commence a legal proceeding or a demand for payment in respect of a Claim or D&O Claim, provided that where a lawyer of record has been listed in connection with any such proceedings, the "Known Claimant" for the purposes of any notice required herein or to be given hereunder shall be, in addition to that Person, its lawyer of record; and
 - (iii) any Person who is a party to a lease, contract, or other agreement or obligation of the Applicant which was restructured, terminated, repudiated or disclaimed by the Applicant between the Filing Date and the date of this Order;
- (nn) "Monitor's Website" has the meaning set forth in paragraph 12(a) of this Order;
- (oo) "National Class" has the meaning given to it in the Fresh As Amended Statement of Claim in the Ontario Class Action;
- (pp) "Note Indenture Trustees" means, collectively, the 2013 and 2016 Trustee and the 2014 and 2017 Trustee;

- (qq) "Notes" means, collectively, the 2013 Notes, the 2014 Notes, the 2016 Notes, and the 2017 Notes;
- (rr) "Noteholder" means a registered or beneficial holder on or after the Filing Date of a Note in that capacity, and, for greater certainty, does not include former registered or beneficial holders of Notes;
- (ss) "Notice of Revision or Disallowance" means a notice, in substantially the form attached as Schedule "A" hereto, advising a Person that the Monitor has revised or disallowed all or part of such Person's purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim set out in such Person's Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim or D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim;
- (tt) "Notice to Claimants" means the notice to Claimants for publication in substantially the form attached as Schedule "C" hereto;
- (uu) "Officers" means anyone who is or was, or may be deemed to be or have been, whether by statute, operation of law or otherwise, an officer or *de facto* officer of the Applicant;
- (vv) "Ontario Class Action" means the action commenced against the Applicant and others in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, bearing (Toronto) Court File No. CV-11-431153-00CP;
- (ww) "Ontario Plaintiffs" means the Trustees of the Labourers' Pension Fund of Central and Eastern Canada and the other named Plaintiffs in the Ontario Class Action;
- (xx) "Person" is to be broadly interpreted and includes any individual, firm, corporation, limited or unlimited liability company, general or limited partnership, association, trust, unincorporated organization, joint venture, Government Authority or any agency, regulatory body, officer or instrumentality thereof or any other entity, wherever situate or domiciled, and whether or not having legal status, and whether acting on their own or in a representative capacity;

- (yy) "Plan" means any proposed plan of compromise or arrangement filed in respect of the Applicant pursuant to the CCAA as the same may be amended, supplemented or restated from time to time in accordance with its terms;
- (zz) "Post-Filing Claims" means any claims against the Applicant that arose from the provision of authorized goods and services provided or otherwise incurred on or after the Filing Date in the ordinary course of business, but specifically excluding any Restructuring Claim;
- (aaa) "Proof of Claim" means the proof of claim in substantially the form attached as Schedule "D" hereto to be completed and filed by a Person setting forth its purported Claim and which shall include all supporting documentation in respect of such purported Claim;
- (bbb) "Proof of Claim Document Package" means a document package that includes a copy of the Notice to Claimants, the Proof of Claim form, the D&O Proof of Claim form, the Claimants' Guide to Completing the Proof of Claim form, the Claimants' Guide to Completing the D&O Proof of Claim form, and such other materials as the Monitor, in consultation with the Applicant, may consider appropriate or desirable;
- (ccc) "Proven Claim" means the amount and Status of a Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim of a Claimant as determined in accordance with this Order;
- (ddd) "Quebec Class" has the meaning given to it in the statement of claim in the Quebec Class Action;
- (eee) "Quebec Class Action" means the action commenced against the Applicant and others in the Quebec Superior Court, bearing Court File No. 200-06-000132-111 ;
- (fff) "Quebec Plaintiffs" means Guining Liu and the other named plaintiffs in the Quebec Class Action;
- (ggg) "Restructuring Claim" means any right or claim of any Person that may be asserted or made in whole or in part against the Applicant, whether or not asserted

or made, in connection with any indebtedness, liability or obligation of any kind arising out of the restructuring, termination, repudiation or disclaimer of any lease, contract, or other agreement or obligation on or after the Filing Date and whether such restructuring, termination, repudiation or disclaimer took place or takes place before or after the date of this Order;

- (hhh) "Restructuring Claims Bar Date" means, in respect of a Restructuring Claim, the later of (i) the Claims Bar Date, and (ii) 30 days after a Person is deemed to receive a Proof of Claim Document Package pursuant to paragraph 12(e) hereof.
- (iii) "Secured Claim" means that portion of a Claim that is (i) secured by security validly charging or encumbering property or assets of the Applicant (including statutory and possessor liens that create security interests) up to the value of such collateral, and (ii) duly and properly perfected in accordance with the relevant legislation in the appropriate jurisdiction as of the Filing Date;
- (jjj) "Status" means, with respect to a Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, or a purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, whether such claim is secured or unsecured; and
- (kkk) "Subsidiaries" means all direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Applicant other than Greenheart Group Limited (Bermuda) and its direct and indirect subsidiaries, and "Subsidiary" means any one of the Subsidiaries.

3. THIS COURT ORDERS that all references as to time herein shall mean local time in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, and any reference to an event occurring on a Business Day shall mean prior to 5:00 p.m. on such Business Day unless otherwise indicated herein.

4. THIS COURT ORDERS that all references to the word "including" shall mean "including without limitation".

5. THIS COURT ORDERS that all references to the singular herein include the plural, the plural include the singular, and any gender includes the other gender.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

6. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, in consultation with the Applicant, is hereby authorized to use reasonable discretion as to the adequacy of compliance with respect to the manner in which forms delivered hereunder are completed and executed, and may, where it is satisfied that a Claim, a D&O Claim or a D&O Indemnity Claim has been adequately proven, waive strict compliance with the requirements of this Order as to completion and execution of such forms and to request any further documentation from a Person that the Monitor, in consultation with the Applicant, may require in order to enable it to determine the validity of a Claim, a D&O Claim or a D&O Indemnity Claim.

7. THIS COURT ORDERS that if any purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim arose in a currency other than Canadian dollars, then the Person making the purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim shall complete its Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim or D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim, as applicable, indicating the amount of the purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim in such currency, rather than in Canadian dollars or any other currency. The Monitor shall subsequently calculate the amount of such purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim in Canadian Dollars, using the Reuters closing rate on the Filing Date (as found at <http://www.reuters.com/finance/currencies>), without prejudice to a different exchange rate being proposed in any Plan.

8. THIS COURT ORDERS that a Person making a purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim shall complete its Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim or Indemnity Proof of Claim, as applicable, indicating the amount of the purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim without including any interest and penalties that would otherwise accrue after the Filing Date.

9. THIS COURT ORDERS that the form and substance of each of the Notice of Revision or Disallowance, Dispute Notice, Notice to Claimants, the Proof of Claim, the D&O Proof of Claim, the Claimants' Guide to Completing the Proof of Claim, the Claimants' Guide to Completing the D&O Proof of Claim, and D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim substantially in the forms attached as Schedules "A", "B", "C", "D", "D-2", "E", "E-2" and "F" respectively to this Order are hereby approved. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Monitor, in consultation with the

Applicant, may from time to time make minor non-substantive changes to such forms as the Monitor, in consultation with the Applicant, considers necessary or advisable.

MONITOR'S ROLE

10. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, in addition to its prescribed rights, duties, responsibilities and obligations under the CCAA and under the Initial Order, is hereby directed and empowered to take such other actions and fulfill such other roles as are authorized by this Order or incidental thereto.

11. THIS COURT ORDERS that (i) in carrying out the terms of this Order, the Monitor shall have all of the protections given to it by the CCAA, the Initial Order, and this Order, or as an officer of the Court, including the stay of proceedings in its favour, (ii) the Monitor shall incur no liability or obligation as a result of the carrying out of the provisions of this Order, (iii) the Monitor shall be entitled to rely on the books and records of the Applicant and any information provided by the Applicant, all without independent investigation, and (iv) the Monitor shall not be liable for any claims or damages resulting from any errors or omissions in such books, records or information.

NOTICE TO CLAIMANTS, DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

12. THIS COURT ORDERS that:

- (a) the Monitor shall no later than five (5) Business Days following the making of this Order, post a copy of the Proof of Claim Document Package on its website at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc> ("Monitor's Website");
- (b) the Monitor shall no later than five (5) Business Days following the making of this Order, send on behalf of the Applicant to the Note Indenture Trustees (or to counsel for the Note Indenture Trustees as appears on the CCAA Service List if applicable) a copy of the Proof of Claim Document Package;
- (c) the Monitor shall no later than five (5) Business Days following the making of this Order, send on behalf of the Applicant to each of the Known Claimants a copy of the Proof of Claim Document Package, provided however that the

Monitor is not required to send Proof of Claim Document Packages to Noteholders;

- (d) the Monitor shall no later than five (5) Business Days following the making of this Order, cause the Notice to Claimants to be published in (i) The Globe and Mail newspaper (National Edition) on one such day, and (ii) the Wall Street Journal (Global Edition) on one such day;
- (e) with respect to Restructuring Claims arising from the restructuring, termination, repudiation or disclaimer of any lease, contract, or other agreement or obligation, the Monitor shall send to the counterparty(ies) to such lease, contract, or other agreement or obligation a Proof of Claim Document Package no later than five (5) Business Days following the time the Monitor becomes aware of the restructuring, termination, repudiation or disclaimer of any such lease, contract, or other agreement or obligation;
- (f) the Monitor shall, provided such request is received by the Monitor prior to the Claims Bar Date, deliver as soon as reasonably possible following receipt of a request therefor a copy of the Proof of Claim Document Package to any Person requesting such material; and
- (g) the Monitor shall send to any Director or Officer named in a D&O Proof of Claim received by the Claims Bar Date a copy of such D&O Proof of Claim as soon as practicable along with an D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim form, with a copy to counsel for such Directors or Officers.

13. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall (i) inform the Monitor of all Known Claimants by providing the Monitor with a list of all Known Claimants and their last known addresses according to the books and records of the Applicant and (ii) provide the Monitor with a list of all Directors and Officers and their last known addresses according to the books and records of the Applicant.

14. THIS COURT ORDERS that, except as otherwise set out in this Order or other orders of the Court, neither the Monitor nor the Applicant is under any obligation to send notice to any

Person holding a Claim, a D&O Claim or a D&O Indemnity Claim, and without limitation, neither the Monitor nor the Applicant shall have any obligation to send notice to any Person having a security interest in a Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim (including the holder of a security interest created by way of a pledge or a security interest created by way of an assignment of a Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim), and all Persons (including Known Claimants) shall be bound by any notices published pursuant to paragraphs 12(a) and 12(d) of this Order regardless of whether or not they received actual notice, and any steps taken in respect of any Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim in accordance with this Order.

15. THIS COURT ORDERS that the delivery of a Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim, or D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim by the Monitor to a Person shall not constitute an admission by the Applicant or the Monitor of any liability of the Applicant or any Director or Officer to any Person.

CLAIMS BAR DATES

Claims and D&O Claims

16. THIS COURT ORDERS that (i) Proofs of Claim (but not in respect of any Restructuring Claims) and D&O Proofs of Claim shall be filed with the Monitor on or before the Claims Bar Date, and (ii) Proofs of Claim in respect of Restructuring Claims shall be filed with the Monitor on or before the Restructuring Claims Bar Date. For the avoidance of doubt, a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, must be filed in respect of every Claim or D&O Claim, regardless of whether or not a legal proceeding in respect of a Claim or D&O Claim was commenced prior to the Filing Date.

17. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Person that does not file a Proof of Claim as provided for herein such that the Proof of Claim is received by the Monitor on or before the Claims Bar Date or the Restructuring Claims Bar Date, as applicable, (a) shall be and is hereby forever barred from making or enforcing such Claim against the Applicant and all such Claims shall be forever extinguished; (b) shall be and is hereby forever barred from making or enforcing such Claim as against any other Person who could claim contribution or indemnity from the Applicant; (c) shall not be entitled to vote such Claim at the Creditors' Meeting in respect of the

Plan or to receive any distribution thereunder in respect of such Claim; and (d) shall not be entitled to any further notice in, and shall not be entitled to participate as a Claimant or creditor in, the CCAA Proceedings in respect of such Claim.

18. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Person that does not file a D&O Proof of Claim as provided for herein such that the D&O Proof of Claim is received by the Monitor on or before the Claims Bar Date (a) shall be and is hereby forever barred from making or enforcing such D&O Claim against any Directors or Officers, and all such D&O Claims shall be forever extinguished; (b) shall be and is hereby forever barred from making or enforcing such D&O Claim as against any other Person who could claim contribution or indemnity from any Directors or Officers; (c) shall not be entitled to vote such D&O Claim at the Creditors' Meeting or to receive any distribution in respect of such D&O Claim; and (d) shall not be entitled to any further notice in, and shall not be entitled to participate as a Claimant or creditor in, the CCAA Proceedings in respect of such D&O Claim.

D&O Indemnity Claims

19. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Director or Officer wishing to assert a D&O Indemnity Claim shall deliver a D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim to the Monitor so that it is received by no later than fifteen (15) Business Days after the date of receipt of the D&O Proof of Claim by such Director or Officer pursuant to paragraph 12(g) hereof (with respect to each D&O Indemnity Claim, the "D&O Indemnity Claims Bar Date").

20. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Director or Officer that does not file a D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim as provided for herein such that the D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim is received by the Monitor on or before the D&O Indemnity Claims Bar Date (a) shall be and is hereby forever barred from making or enforcing such D&O Indemnity Claim against the Applicant, and such D&O Indemnity Claim shall be forever extinguished; (b) shall be and is hereby forever barred from making or enforcing such D&O Indemnity Claim as against any other Person who could claim contribution or indemnity from the Applicant; and (c) shall not be entitled to vote such D&O Indemnity Claim at the Creditors' Meeting or to receive any distribution in respect of such D&O Indemnity Claim.

Excluded Claims

21. THIS COURT ORDERS that Persons with Excluded Claims shall not be required to file a Proof of Claim in this process in respect of such Excluded Claims, unless required to do so by further order of the Court.

PROOFS OF CLAIM

22. THIS COURT ORDERS that (i) each Person shall include any and all Claims it asserts against the Applicant in a single Proof of Claim, provided however that where a Person has taken assignment or transfer of a purported Claim after the Filing Date, that Person shall file a separate Proof of Claim for each such assigned or transferred purported Claim, and (ii) each Person that has or intends to assert a right or claim against one or more Subsidiaries which is based in whole or in part on facts, underlying transactions, causes of action or events relating to a purported Claim made against the Applicant shall so indicate on such Claimant's Proof of Claim.

23. THIS COURT ORDERS that each Person shall include any and all D&O Claims it asserts against one or more Directors or Officers in a single D&O Proof of Claim, provided however that where a Person has taken assignment or transfer of a purported D&O Claim after the Filing Date, that Person shall file a separate D&O Proof of Claim for each such assigned or transferred purported D&O Claim.

24. THIS COURT ORDERS that the 2013 and 2016 Trustee is authorized and directed to file one Proof of Claim on or before the Claims Bar Date in respect of each of the 2013 Notes and the 2016 Notes, indicating the amount owing on an aggregate basis as at the Filing Date under each of the 2013 Note Indenture and the 2016 Note Indenture.

25. THIS COURT ORDERS that the 2014 and 2017 Trustee is authorized and directed to file one Proof of Claim on or before the Claims Bar Date in respect of each of the 2014 Notes and the 2017 Notes, indicating the amount owing on an aggregate basis as at the Filing Date under each of the 2014 Note Indenture and the 2017 Note Indenture.

26. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Order, Noteholders are not required to file individual Proofs of Claim in respect of Claims relating solely to the debt evidenced by their

Notes. The Monitor may disregard any Proofs of Claim filed by any individual Noteholder claiming the debt evidenced by the Notes, and such Proofs of Claim shall be ineffective for all purposes. The process for determining each individual Noteholder's Claim for voting and distribution purposes with respect to the Plan and the process for voting on the Plan by Noteholders will be established by further order of the Court.

27. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Ontario Plaintiffs are, collectively, authorized to file, on or before the Claims Bar Date, one Proof of Claim and, if applicable, one D&O Proof of Claim, in respect of the substance of the matters set out in the Ontario Class Action, notwithstanding that leave to make a secondary market liability claim has not be granted and that the National Class has not yet been certified, and that members of the National Class may rely on the one Proof of Claim and/or one D&O Proof of Claim filed by the counsel for the Ontario Plaintiffs and are not required to file individual Proofs of Claim or D&O Proofs of Claim in respect of the Claims forming the subject matter of the Ontario Class Action.

28. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Quebec Plaintiffs are, collectively, authorized to file, on or before the Claims Bar Date, one Proof of Claim and, if applicable, one D&O Proof of Claim, in respect of the substance of the matters set out in the Quebec Class Action, notwithstanding that leave to make a secondary market liability claim has not be granted and that the Quebec Class has not yet been certified, and that members of the Quebec Class may rely on the one Proof of Claim and/or one D&O Proof of Claim filed by the counsel for the Quebec Plaintiffs and are not required to file individual Proofs of Claim or D&O Proofs of Claim in respect of the Claims forming the subject matter of the Quebec Class Action.

REVIEW OF PROOFS OF CLAIM

29. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Claimant filing a Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim or D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim shall clearly mark as "Confidential" any documents or portions thereof that that Person believes should be treated as confidential.

30. THIS COURT ORDERS that with respect to documents or portions thereof that are marked "Confidential", the following shall apply:

- (a) any information that is otherwise publicly available shall not be treated as “Confidential” regardless of whether it is marked as such;
- (b) subject to the following, such information will be accessible to and may be reviewed only by the Monitor, the Applicant, any Director or Officer named in the applicable D&O Proof of Claim or D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim and each of their respective counsel, or as otherwise ordered by the Court (“**Designated Persons**”) or consented to by the Claimant, acting reasonably; and
- (c) any Designated Person may provide Confidential Information to other interested stakeholders (who shall have provided non-disclosure undertakings or agreements) on not less than 3 Business Days’ notice to the Claimant. If such Claimant objects to such disclosure, the Claimant and the relevant Designated Person shall attempt to settle any objection, failing which, either party may seek direction from the Court.

31. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor (in consultation with the Applicant and the Directors and Officers named in the D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable), subject to the terms of this Order, shall review all Proofs of Claim and D&O Proofs of Claim filed, and at any time:

- (a) may request additional information from a purported Claimant;
- (b) may request that a purported Claimant file a revised Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable;
- (c) may, with the consent of the Applicant and any Person whose liability may be affected or further order of the Court, attempt to resolve and settle any issue arising in a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim or in respect of a purported Claim or D&O Claim, provided that if a Director or Officer disputes all or any portion of a purported D&O Claim, then the disputed portion of such purported D&O Claim may not be resolved or settled without such Director or Officer’s consent or further order of the Court;

- (d) may, with the consent of the Applicant and any Person whose liability may be affected or further order of the Court, accept (in whole or in part) the amount and/or Status of any Claim or D&O Claim, provided that if a Director or Officer disputes all or any portion of a purported D&O Claim against such Director or Officer, then the disputed portion of such purported D&O Claim may not be accepted without such Director or Officer's consent or further order of the Court; and
- (e) may by notice in writing revise or disallow (in whole or in part) the amount and/or Status of any purported Claim or D&O Claim.

32. THIS COURT ORDERS that where a Claim or D&O Claim has been accepted by the Monitor in accordance with this Order, such Claim or D&O Claim shall constitute such Claimant's Proven Claim. The acceptance of any Claim or D&O Claim or other determination of same in accordance with this Order, in full or in part, shall not constitute an admission of any fact, thing, liability, or quantum or status of any claim by any Person, save and except in the context of the CCAA Proceedings, and, for greater certainty, shall not constitute an admission of any fact, thing, liability, or quantum or status of any claim by any Person as against any Subsidiary.

33. THIS COURT ORDERS that where a purported Claim or D&O Claim is revised or disallowed (in whole or in part, and whether as to amount and/or Status), the Monitor shall deliver to the purported Claimant a Notice of Revision or Disallowance, attaching the form of Dispute Notice.

34. THIS COURT ORDERS that where a purported Claim or D&O Claim has been revised or disallowed (in whole or in part, and whether as to amount and/or as to Status), the revised or disallowed purported Claim or D&O Claim (or revised or disallowed portion thereof) shall not be a Proven Claim until determined otherwise in accordance with the procedures set out in paragraphs 42 to 45 hereof or as otherwise ordered by the Court.

REVIEW OF D&O INDEMNITY PROOFS OF CLAIM

35. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, subject to the terms of this Order, shall review all D&O Indemnity Proofs of Claim filed, and at any time:

- (a) may request additional information from a Director or Officer;
- (b) may request that a Director or Officer file a revised D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim;
- (c) may attempt to resolve and settle any issue arising in a D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim or in respect of a purported D&O Indemnity Claim;
- (d) may accept (in whole or in part) the amount and/or Status of any D&O Indemnity Claim; and
- (e) may by notice in writing revise or disallow (in whole or in part) the amount and/or Status of any purported D&O Indemnity Claim.


36. THIS COURT ORDERS that where a D&O Indemnity Claim has been accepted by the Monitor in accordance with this Order, such D&O Indemnity Claim shall constitute such Director or Officer's Proven Claim. The acceptance of any D&O Indemnity Claim or other determination of same in accordance with this Order, in full or in part, shall not constitute an admission of any fact, thing, liability, or quantum or Status of any claim by any Person, save and except in the context of the CCAA Proceedings, and, for greater certainty, shall not constitute an admission of any fact, thing, liability, or quantum or Status of any claim by any Person as against any Subsidiary.


37. THIS COURT ORDERS that where a purported D&O Indemnity Claim is revised or disallowed (in whole or in part, and whether as to amount and/or Status), the Monitor shall deliver to the Director or Officer a Notice of Revision or Disallowance, attaching the form of Dispute Notice.

38. THIS COURT ORDERS that where a purported D&O Indemnity Claim has been revised or disallowed (in whole or in part, and whether as to amount and/or as to Status), the revised or

disallowed purported D&O Indemnity Claim (or revised or disallowed portion thereof) shall not be a Proven Claim until determined otherwise in accordance with the procedures set out in paragraphs 42 to 45 hereof or as otherwise ordered by the Court.

39. THIS COURT ORDERS that, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Order, in respect of any Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim that exceeds \$1 million, the Monitor and the Applicant shall not accept, admit, settle, resolve, value (for any purpose), revise or reject such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim ~~without the consent of the Ad Hoc Noteholders or Order of the Court.~~


DISPUTE NOTICE

without


40. THIS COURT ORDERS that a purported Claimant who intends to dispute a Notice of Revision or Disallowance shall file a Dispute Notice with the Monitor as soon as reasonably possible but in any event such that such Dispute Notice shall be received by the Monitor on the day that is fourteen (14) days after such purported Claimant is deemed to have received the Notice of Revision or Disallowance in accordance with paragraph 50 of this Order. The filing of a Dispute Notice with the Monitor within the fourteen (14) day period specified in this paragraph shall constitute an application to have the amount or Status of such claim determined as set out in paragraphs 42 to 45 of this Order.

41. THIS COURT ORDERS that where a purported Claimant that receives a Notice of Revision or Disallowance fails to file a Dispute Notice with the Monitor within the time period provided therefor in this Order, the amount and Status of such purported Claimant's purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, as applicable, shall be deemed to be as set out in the Notice of Revision or Disallowance and such amount and Status, if any, shall constitute such purported Claimant's Proven Claim, and the balance of such purported Claimant's purported Claim, D&O Claim, or D&O Indemnity Claim, if any, shall be forever barred and extinguished.

RESOLUTION OF CLAIMS, D&O CLAIMS AND D&O INDEMNITY CLAIMS

42. THIS COURT ORDERS that as soon as practicable after the delivery of the Dispute Notice to the Monitor, the Monitor, in accordance with paragraph 31(c), shall attempt to resolve and settle the purported Claim or D&O Claim with the purported Claimant.

43. THIS COURT ORDERS that as soon as practicable after the delivery of the Dispute Notice in respect of a D&O Indemnity Claim to the Monitor, the Monitor, in accordance with paragraph 35(c), shall attempt to resolve and settle the purported D&O Indemnity Claim with the Director or Officer.

44. THIS COURT ORDERS that in the event that a dispute raised in a Dispute Notice is not settled within a time period or in a manner satisfactory to the Monitor, the Applicant and the applicable Claimant, the Monitor shall seek direction from the Court, on the correct process for resolution of the dispute. Without limitation, the foregoing includes any dispute arising as to whether a Claim is or is not an "equity claim" as defined in the CCAA.

45. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Claims and related D&O Claims and/or D&O Indemnity Claims shall be determined at the same time and in the same proceeding.

NOTICE OF TRANSFEREES

46. THIS COURT ORDERS that neither the Monitor nor the Applicant shall be obligated to send notice to or otherwise deal with a transferee or assignee of a Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim as the Claimant in respect thereof unless and until (i) actual written notice of transfer or assignment, together with satisfactory evidence of such transfer or assignment, shall have been received by the Monitor and the Applicant, and (ii) the Monitor shall have acknowledged in writing such transfer or assignment, and thereafter such transferee or assignee shall for all purposes hereof constitute the "Claimant" in respect of such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim. Any such transferee or assignee of a Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, and such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim shall be bound by all notices given or steps taken in respect of such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim in accordance with this Order prior to the written acknowledgement by the Monitor of such transfer or assignment.

47. THIS COURT ORDERS that if the holder of a Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim has transferred or assigned the whole of such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim to more than one Person or part of such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim to another Person or Persons, such transfer or assignment shall not create a separate Claim, D&O

Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim and such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim shall continue to constitute and be dealt with as a single Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim notwithstanding such transfer or assignment, and the Monitor and the Applicant shall in each such case not be bound to acknowledge or recognize any such transfer or assignment and shall be entitled to send notice to and to otherwise deal with such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim only as a whole and then only to and with the Person last holding such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim in whole as the Claimant in respect of such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim. Provided that a transfer or assignment of the Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim has taken place in accordance with paragraph 46 of this Order and the Monitor has acknowledged in writing such transfer or assignment, the Person last holding such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim in whole as the Claimant in respect of such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim may by notice in writing to the Monitor direct that subsequent dealings in respect of such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, but only as a whole, shall be with a specified Person and, in such event, such Claimant, transferee or assignee of the Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim shall be bound by any notices given or steps taken in respect of such Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim by or with respect to such Person in accordance with this Order.

48. THIS COURT ORDERS that the transferee or assignee of any Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim (i) shall take the Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim subject to the rights and obligations of the transferor/assignor of the Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, and subject to the rights of the Applicant or Director or Officer against any such transferor or assignor, including any rights of set-off which the Applicant, Director or Officers had against such transferor or assignor, and (ii) cannot use any transferred or assigned Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim to reduce any amount owing by the transferee or assignee to the Applicant, Director or Officer, whether by way of set off, application, merger, consolidation or otherwise.

DIRECTIONS

49. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, the Applicant and any Person (but only to the extent such Person may be affected with respect to the issue on which directions are sought) may, at any time, and with such notice as the Court may require, seek directions from the Court with respect to this Order and the claims process set out herein, including the forms attached as Schedules hereto.

SERVICE AND NOTICE

50. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor and the Applicant may, unless otherwise specified by this Order, serve and deliver the Proof of Claim Document Package, and any letters, notices or other documents to Claimants, purported Claimants, Directors or Officers, or other interested Persons, by forwarding true copies thereof by prepaid ordinary mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission to such Persons (with copies to their counsel as appears on the CCAA Service List if applicable) at the address as last shown on the records of the Applicant or set out in such Person's Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim or D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim. Any such service or notice by courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission shall be deemed to have been received: (i) if sent by ordinary mail, on the third Business Day after mailing within Ontario, the fifth Business Day after mailing within Canada (other than within Ontario), and the tenth Business Day after mailing internationally; (ii) if sent by courier or personal delivery, on the next Business Day following dispatch; and (iii) if delivered by electronic or digital transmission by 6:00 p.m. on a Business Day, on such Business Day, and if delivered after 6:00 p.m. or other than on a Business Day, on the following Business Day. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this paragraph 50, Notices of Revision or Disallowance shall be sent only by (i) facsimile to a number that has been provided in writing by the purported Claimant, Director or Officer, or (ii) courier.

51. THIS COURT ORDERS that any notice or other communication (including Proofs of Claim, D&O Proofs of Claims, D&O Indemnity Proofs of Claim and Notices of Dispute) to be given under this Order by any Person to the Monitor shall be in writing in substantially the form, if any, provided for in this Order and will be sufficiently given only if delivered by prepaid registered mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission addressed to:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.
Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West
Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Telephone: (416) 649-8094
E-mail: sfc@fticonsulting.com

Any such notice or other communication by a Person shall be deemed received only upon actual receipt thereof during normal business hours on a Business Day, or if delivered outside of a normal business hours, the next Business Day.

52. THIS COURT ORDERS that if during any period during which notices or other communications are being given pursuant to this Order a postal strike or postal work stoppage of general application should occur, such notices or other communications sent by ordinary mail and then not received shall not, absent further Order of the Court, be effective and notices and other communications given hereunder during the course of any such postal strike or work stoppage of general application shall only be effective if given by courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission in accordance with this Order.

53. THIS COURT ORDERS that in the event that this Order is later amended by further order of the Court, the Monitor shall post such further order on the Monitor's Website and such posting shall constitute adequate notice of such amended claims procedure.

MISCELLANEOUS

54. THIS COURT ORDERS that notwithstanding any other provision of this Order, the solicitation of Proofs of Claim, D&O Proofs of Claim and D&O Indemnity Proofs of Claim and the filing by a Person of any Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim or D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim shall not, for that reason only, grant any Person any standing in the CCAA Proceedings or rights under the Plan.

55. THIS COURT ORDERS that the rights of the Ontario Plaintiffs and the Quebec Plaintiffs granted pursuant to paragraphs 27 and 28 of this Order are limited to filing a single Proof of

quantification, 279

Claim and, if applicable, a single D&O Proof in respect of each of the National Class and the Quebec Class in these proceedings, and not for any other purpose. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the filing of any Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim by the Ontario Plaintiffs or the Quebec Plaintiffs pursuant to this Order:

- (a) is not an admission or recognition of their right to represent the Class for any other purpose, including with respect to settlement or voting in these proceedings, the Ontario Class Action or the Quebec Class Action; and
- (b) is without prejudice to the right of the Ontario Plaintiffs and the Quebec Plaintiffs or their counsel to seek an order granting them rights of representation in these proceedings, the Ontario Class Action or the Quebec Class Action.


56. THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing in this Order shall constitute or be deemed to constitute an allocation or assignment of Claims, D&O Claims, D&O Indemnity Claims, or Excluded Claims into particular affected or unaffected classes for the purpose of a Plan and, for greater certainty, the treatment of Claims, D&O Claims, D&O Indemnity Claims, Excluded Claims or any other claims are to be subject to a Plan and the class or classes of creditors for voting and distribution purposes shall be subject to the terms of any proposed Plan or further Order of the Court.

57. THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing in this Order shall prejudice the rights and remedies of any Directors or Officers or other persons under any existing Director and Officers or other insurance policy or prevent or bar any Person from seeking recourse against or payment from the Applicant's insurance and any Director's and/or Officer's liability insurance policy or policies that exist to protect or indemnify the Directors and/or Officers or other persons, whether such recourse or payment is sought directly by the Person asserting a Claim or a D&O Claim from the insurer or derivatively through the Director or Officer or Applicant; provided, however, that nothing in this Order shall create any rights in favour of such Person under any policies of insurance nor shall anything in this Order limit, remove, modify or alter any defence to such claim available to the insurer pursuant to the provisions of any insurance policy or at law.

58. THIS COURT HEREBY REQUESTS the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada, the United States, Barbados, the British Virgin Islands, Cayman Islands, Hong Kong, the People's Republic of China or in any other foreign jurisdiction, to give effect to this Order and to assist the Applicant, the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicant and to the Monitor, as an officer of the Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, to grant representative status to the Monitor in any foreign proceeding, or to assist the Applicant and the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.



MAY 14 2012

ENTERED AT / INSCRIT A TORONTO
ON / BOOK NO. :
LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO. :

SCHEDULE "A"

NOTICE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE

**For Persons that have asserted Claims against Sino-Forest Corporation,
D&O Claims against the Directors or Officers of Sino-Forest Corporation or D&O
Indemnity Claims against Sino-Forest Corporation**

Claim Reference Number: _____

TO: _____
(Name of purported claimant)

Defined terms not defined in this Notice of Revision or Disallowance have the meaning ascribed in the Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice dated May 8, 2012 (the "Claims Procedure Order"). **All dollar values contained herein are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted.**

Pursuant to 31 of the Claims Procedure Order, the Monitor hereby gives you notice that it has reviewed your Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim or D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim and has revised or disallowed all or part of your purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, as the case may be. Subject to further dispute by you in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, your Proven Claim will be as follows:

	Amount as submitted		Amount allowed by Monitor
	(original currency amount)	(in Canadian dollars)	(in Canadian dollars)
A. Prefiling Claim	\$	\$	\$
B. Restructuring Claim	\$	\$	\$
C. Secured Claim	\$	\$	\$
D. D&O Claim	\$	\$	\$
E. D&O Indemnity Claim	\$	\$	\$
F. Total Claim	\$	\$	\$

Reasons for Revision or Disallowance:

SERVICE OF DISPUTE NOTICES

If you intend to dispute this Notice of Revision or Disallowance, you must, no later than 5:00 p.m. (prevailing time in Toronto) on the day that is fourteen (14) days after this Notice of Revision or Disallowance is deemed to have been received by you (in accordance with paragraph 50 of the Claims Procedure Order), deliver a Dispute Notice to the Monitor by registered mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission to the address below. In accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, notices shall be deemed to be received upon actual receipt thereof by the Monitor during normal business hours on a Business Day, or if delivered outside of normal business hours, on the next Business Day. The form of Dispute Notice is enclosed and can also be accessed on the Monitor's website at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc>.

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.
Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West
Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Telephone: (416) 649-8094
E-mail: sfc@fticonsulting.com

SCHEDULE "B"

DISPUTE NOTICE

With respect to Sino-Forest Corporation

Claim Reference Number: _____

1. **Particulars of Claimant:**

Full Legal Name of claimant (include trade name, if different):

_____*(the "Claimant")*

Full Mailing Address of the Claimant:

Other Contract Information of the Claimant:

Telephone Number: _____

Email Address: _____

Facsimile Number: _____

Attention (Contact Person): _____

2.

Particulars of original Claimant from whom you acquired the Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim:

Have you acquired this purported Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim by assignment?

Yes: No:

If yes and if not already provided, attach documents evidencing assignment.

Full Legal Name of original Claimant(s): _____

3.

Dispute of Revision or Disallowance of Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, as the case may be:

For the purposes of the Claims Procedure Order only (and without prejudice to the terms of any plan of arrangement or compromise), claims in a foreign currency will be converted to Canadian dollars at the exchange rates set out in the Claims Procedure Order.

The Claimant hereby disagrees with the value of its Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, as the case may be, as set out in the Notice of Revision or Disallowance and asserts a Claim, D&O Claim or D&O Indemnity Claim, as the case may be, as follows:

	Amount allowed by Monitor: (Notice of Revision or Disallowance) (in Canadian dollars)	Amount claimed by Claimant: (in Canadian Dollars)
A. Prefiling Claim	\$	\$
B. Restructuring Claim	\$	\$
C. Secured Claim	\$	\$
D. D&O Claim	\$	\$
E. D&O Indemnity Claim	\$	\$
F. Total Claim	\$	\$

REASON(S) FOR THE DISPUTE:

SERVICE OF DISPUTE NOTICES

If you intend to dispute a Notice of Revision or Disallowance, you must, by no later than the date that is fourteen (14) days after the Notice of Revision or Disallowance is deemed to have been received by you (in accordance with paragraph 50 of the Claims Procedure Order), deliver to the Monitor this Dispute Notice by registered mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission to the address below. In accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, notices shall be deemed to be received upon actual receipt thereof by the Monitor during normal business hours on a Business Day, or if delivered outside of normal business hours, on the next Business Day.

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.
Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West
Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Telephone: (416) 649-8094
E-mail: sfc@fticonsulting.com

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2012.

Name of Claimant: _____

Witness

Per: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____
(please print)

SCHEDULE "C"

**NOTICE TO CLAIMANTS
AGAINST SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**
(hereinafter referred to as the "Applicant")

**RE: NOTICE OF CLAIMS PROCEDURE FOR THE APPLICANT PURSUANT TO
THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT* (the "CCA")**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this notice is being published pursuant to an Order of the Superior Court of Justice of Ontario made on May 8, 2012 (the "Claims Procedure Order"). Pursuant to the Claims Procedure Order, Proof of Claim Document Packages will be sent to claimants by mail, on or before May 15, 2012, if those claimants are known to the Applicant. Claimants may also obtain the Claims Procedure Order and a Proof of Claim Document Package from the website of the Monitor at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc>, or by contacting the Monitor by telephone (416-649-8094).

Proofs of Claim (including D&O Proofs of Claim) must be submitted to the Monitor for any claim against the Applicant, whether unliquidated, contingent or otherwise, or a claim against any current or former officer or director of the Applicant, in each case where the claim (i) arose prior to March 30, 2012, or (ii) arose on or after March 30, 2012 as a result of the restructuring, termination, repudiation or disclaimer of any lease, contract, or other agreement or obligation. Please consult the Proof of Claim Document Package for more details.

Completed Proofs of Claim must be received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on the applicable claims bar date, as set out in the Claims Procedure Order. It is your responsibility to ensure that the Monitor receives your Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim by the applicable claims bar date.

Certain Claimants are exempted from the requirement to file a Proof of Claim. Among those claimants who do not need to file a Proof of Claim are individual noteholders in respect of Claims relating solely to the debt evidenced by their notes and persons whose Claims form the subject matter of the Ontario Class Action or the Quebec Class Action. Please consult the Claims Procedure Order for additional details.

CLAIMS AND D&O CLAIMS WHICH ARE NOT RECEIVED BY THE APPLICABLE CLAIMS BAR DATE WILL BE BARRED AND EXTINGUISHED FOREVER.

DATED at Toronto this • day of •, 2012.

SCHEDULE "D"
PROOF OF CLAIM AGAINST
SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

1. Original Claimant Identification (the "Claimant")

Legal Name of Claimant _____	Name of Contact _____
Address _____	Title _____
_____	Phone # _____
_____	Fax # _____
City _____ Prov / State _____	e-mail _____
Postal/Zip code _____	

2. Assignee, if claim has been assigned

Full Legal Name of Assignee _____	Name of Contact _____
Address _____	Phone # _____
_____	Fax # _____
City _____ Prov / State _____	e-mail _____
Postal/Zip code _____	

3a. Amount of Claim

The Applicant or Director or Officer was and still is indebted to the Claimant as follows:

Currency	Original Currency Amount	Unsecured Prefiling Claim	Restructuring Claim	Secured Claim
_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3b. Claim against Subsidiaries

If you have or intend to make a claim against one or more Subsidiaries which is based in whole or in part on facts, underlying transactions, causes of action or events relating to a claim made against the Applicant above, check the box below, list the Subsidiaries against whom you assert your claim, and provide particulars of your claim against such Subsidiaries.

I/we have a claim against one or more Subsidiary
 Name(s) of Subsidiaries

	Currency	Original Currency Amount	Amount of Claim
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

4. Documentation

Provide all particulars of the Claim and supporting documentation, including amount, and description of transaction(s) or agreement(s), or legal breach(es) giving rise to the Claim.

5. Certification

I hereby certify that:

1. I am the Claimant, or authorized representative of the Claimant.
2. I have knowledge of all the circumstances connected with this Claim.
3. Complete documentation in support of this claim is attached.

Name _____

Title _____

Dated at _____

this ____ day of _____ 2012

Signature _____

Witness _____

6. Filing of Claim

This Proof of Claim **must be received by the Monitor by no later than 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on June 20, 2012**, by registered mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.
Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West
Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Telephone: (416) 649-8094
E-mail: sfc@fticonsulting.com

-2-
SCHEDULE "D-2"

**PROOF OF CLAIM AGAINST
DIRECTORS OR OFFICERS OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

This form is to be used only by Claimants asserting a claim against any director and/or officers of Sino-Forest Corporation, and NOT for claims against Sino-Forest Corporation itself. For claims against Sino-Forest Corporation, please use the form titled "Proof of Claim Against Sino-Forest Corporation", which is available on the Monitor's website at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc>.

1. Original Claimant Identification (the "Claimant")

Legal Name of Claimant _____	Name of Contact _____
Address _____	Title _____
_____	Phone # _____
_____	Fax # _____
City _____ Prov / State _____	e-mail _____
Postal/Zip code _____	

2. Assignee, if D&O Claim has been assigned

Full Legal Name of Assignee _____	Name of Contact _____
Address _____	Phone # _____
_____	Fax # _____
City _____ Prov / State _____	e-mail _____
Postal/Zip code _____	

3. Amount of D&O Claim

The Director or Officer was and still is indebted to the Claimant as follows:

I/we have a claim against a Director(s) and/or Officer(s)

Name(s) of Director(s) and/or Officer(s)	Currency	Original Currency Amount	Amount of Claim
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

4. Documentation

Provide all particulars of the D&O Claim and supporting documentation, including amount, and description of transaction(s) or agreement(s), or legal breach(es) giving rise to the D&O Claim.

5. Certification

I hereby certify that:

1. I am the Claimant, or authorized representative of the Claimant.

-3-

2. I have knowledge of all the circumstances connected with this D&O Claim.
3. Complete documentation in support of this D&O Claim is attached.

Name _____

Title _____

Dated at _____

this ____ day of _____ 2012

Signature _____

Witness _____

6. Filing of D&O Claim

This Proof of Claim must be received by the Monitor by no later than 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on June 20, 2012, by registered mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.
Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West
Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Telephone: (416) 649-8094
E-mail: sfc@fticonsulting.com

An electronic version of this form is available at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc>

SCHEDULE "E"**GUIDE TO COMPLETING THE PROOF OF CLAIM FOR CLAIMS AGAINST SINO-FOREST-CORPORATION**

This Guide has been prepared to assist Claimants in filling out the Proof of Claim with respect to Sino-Forest Corporation (the "Applicant"). If you have any additional questions regarding completion of the Proof of Claim, please consult the Monitor's website at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc> or contact the Monitor, whose contact information is shown below.

Additional copies of the Proof of Claim may be found at the Monitor's website address noted above.

Please note that this is a guide only, and that in the event of any inconsistency between the terms of this guide and the terms of the Claims Procedure Order made on May 8, 2012 (the "Claims Procedure Order"), the terms of the Claims Procedure Order will govern.

SECTION 1 - ORIGINAL CLAIMANT

4. A separate Proof of Claim must be filed by each legal entity or person asserting a claim against the Applicant.
5. The Claimant shall include any and all Claims it asserts against the Applicant in a single Proof of Claim.
6. The full legal name of the Claimant must be provided.
7. If the Claimant operates under a different name, or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule in the supporting documentation.
8. If the Claim has been assigned or transferred to another party, Section 2 must also be completed.
9. Unless the Claim is assigned or transferred, all future correspondence, notices, etc. regarding the Claim will be directed to the address and contact indicated in this section.
10. Certain Claimants are exempted from the requirement to file a Proof of Claim. Among those claimants who do not need to file a Proof of Claim are individual noteholders in respect of Claims relating solely to the debt evidenced by their notes. Please consult the Claims Procedure Order for details with respect to these and other exemptions.

SECTION 2 - ASSIGNEE

11. If the Claimant has assigned or otherwise transferred its Claim, then Section 2 must be completed.
12. The full legal name of the Assignee must be provided.

13. If the Assignee operates under a different name, or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule in the supporting documentation.

14. If the Monitor in consultation with the Applicant is satisfied that an assignment or transfer has occurred, all future correspondence, notices, etc. regarding the Claim will be directed to the Assignee at the address and contact indicated in this section.

SECTION 3A - AMOUNT OF CLAIM OF CLAIMANT AGAINST DEBTOR

15. Indicate the amount the Applicant was and still is indebted to the Claimant.

Currency, Original Currency Amount

16. The amount of the Claim must be provided in the currency in which it arose.

17. Indicate the appropriate currency in the Currency column.

18. If the Claim is denominated in multiple currencies, use a separate line to indicate the Claim amount in each such currency. If there are insufficient lines to record these amounts, attach a separate schedule indicating the required information.

19. Claims denominated in a currency other than Canadian dollars will be converted into Canadian dollars in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order.

Unsecured Prefiling Claim

20. Check this box ONLY if the Claim recorded on that line is an unsecured prefiling claim.

Restructuring Claim

21. Check this box ONLY if the amount of the Claim against the Applicant arose out of the restructuring, termination, repudiation or disclaimer of a lease, contract, or other agreement or obligation on or after March 30, 2012.

Secured Claim

Check this box ONLY if the Claim recorded on that line is a secured claim.

SECTION 3B - CLAIM AGAINST SUBSIDIARIES

22. Check this box ONLY if you have or intend to make a claim against one or more Subsidiaries which is based in whole or in part on facts, underlying transactions, causes of action or events relating to a claim made against the Applicant above, and list the Subsidiaries against whom you assert your claim.

SECTION 4 - DOCUMENTATION

23. Attach to the claim form all particulars of the Claim and supporting documentation, including amount, description of transaction(s) or agreement(s) or breach(es) giving rise to the Claim.

SECTION 5 - CERTIFICATION

24. The person signing the Proof of Claim should:

- (a) be the Claimant, or authorized representative of the Claimant.
- (b) have knowledge of all the circumstances connected with this Claim.
- (c) have a witness to its certification.

25. By signing and submitting the Proof of Claim, the Claimant is asserting the claim against the Applicant.

SECTION 6 - FILING OF CLAIM

26. This Proof of Claim must be received by the Monitor by no later than 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on June 20, 2012. Proofs of Claim should be sent by prepaid ordinary mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission to the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.
Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West
Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Telephone: (416) 649-8094
E-mail: sfc@fticonsulting.com

Failure to file your Proof of Claim so that it is received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m., on the applicable claims bar date will result in your claim being barred and you will be prevented from making or enforcing a Claim against the Applicant. In addition, you shall not be entitled to further notice in and shall not be entitled to participate as a creditor in these proceedings.

SCHEDULE "E-2"**GUIDE TO COMPLETING THE PROOF OF CLAIM FOR CLAIMS AGAINST DIRECTORS OR OFFICERS OF SINO-FOREST-CORPORATION**

This Guide has been prepared to assist Claimants in filling out the D&O Proof of Claim against any Directors or Officers of Sino-Forest Corporation (the "Applicant"). If you have any additional questions regarding completion of the Proof of Claim, please consult the Monitor's website at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc> or contact the Monitor, whose contact information is shown below.

The D&O Proof of Claim is to be used only by Claimants asserting a claim against a director and/or officer of Sino-Forest Corporation, and NOT for claims against Sino-Forest Corporation itself. For claims against Sino-Forest Corporation, please use the form titled "Proof of Claim Against Sino-Forest Corporation", which is available on the Monitor's website at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc>.

Additional copies of the D&O Proof of Claim may be found at the Monitor's website address noted above.

Please note that this is a guide only, and that in the event of any inconsistency between the terms of this guide and the terms of the Claims Procedure Order made on May 8, 2012 (the "Claims Procedure Order"), the terms of the Claims Procedure Order will govern.

SECTION 1 - ORIGINAL CLAIMANT

27. A separate D&O Proof of Claim must be filed by each legal entity or person asserting a claim against any Directors or Officers of the Applicant.
28. The Claimant shall include any and all D&O Claims it asserts in a single D&O Proof of Claim.
29. The full legal name of the Claimant must be provided.
30. If the Claimant operates under a different name, or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule in the supporting documentation.
31. If the D&O Claim has been assigned or transferred to another party, Section 2 must also be completed.
32. Unless the D&O Claim is assigned or transferred, all future correspondence, notices, etc. regarding the D&O Claim will be directed to the address and contact indicated in this section.

SECTION 2 - ASSIGNEE

33. If the Claimant has assigned or otherwise transferred its D&O Claim, then Section 2 must be completed.

34. The full legal name of the Assignee must be provided.
35. If the Assignee operates under a different name, or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule in the supporting documentation.
36. If the Monitor in consultation with the Applicant is satisfied that an assignment or transfer has occurred, all future correspondence, notices, etc. regarding the D&O Claim will be directed to the Assignee at the address and contact indicated in this section.

SECTION 3 - AMOUNT OF CLAIM OF CLAIMANT AGAINST DIRECTOR OR OFFICER

37. Indicate the amount the Director or Officer is claimed to be indebted to the Claimant and provide all other request details.

Currency, Original Currency Amount

38. The amount of the D&O Claim must be provided in the currency in which it arose.
39. Indicate the appropriate currency in the Currency column.
40. If the D&O Claim is denominated in multiple currencies, use a separate line to indicate the Claim amount in each such currency. If there are insufficient lines to record these amounts, attach a separate schedule indicating the required information.
41. D&O Claims denominated in a currency other than Canadian dollars will be converted into Canadian dollars in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order.

SECTION 4 - DOCUMENTATION

42. Attach to the claim form all particulars of the D&O Claim and supporting documentation, including amount, description of transaction(s) or agreement(s) or breach(es) giving rise to the D&O Claim.

SECTION 5 - CERTIFICATION

43. The person signing the D&O Proof of Claim should:
- (a) be the Claimant, or authorized representative of the Claimant.
 - (b) have knowledge of all the circumstances connected with this D&O Claim.
 - (c) have a witness to its certification.
44. By signing and submitting the D&O Proof of Claim, the Claimant is asserting the claim against the Directors and Officers identified therein.

SECTION 6 - FILING OF CLAIM

45. The D&O Proof of Claim must be received by the Monitor by no later than 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on June 20, 2012. D&O Proofs of Claim should be sent by prepaid ordinary mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission to the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.
Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West
Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8
Attention: Jodi Porepa
Telephone: (416) 649-8094
E-mail: sfc@fticonsulting.com

Failure to file your D&O Proof of Claim so that it is received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m., on the applicable claims bar date will result in your claim being barred and you will be prevented from making or enforcing a D&O Claim against the any directors or officers of the Applicant. In addition, you shall not be entitled to further notice in and shall not be entitled to participate as a D&O claimant in these proceedings.

SCHEDULE "F"

**D&O INDEMNITY PROOF OF CLAIM
SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

1. Director and /or Officer Particulars (the "Indemnitee")

Legal Name of Indemnitee _____

Address _____ Phone # _____

_____ Fax # _____

City _____ Prov / State _____ e-mail _____

Postal/Zip code _____

2. Indemnification Claim

Position(s) Held _____

Dates Position(s) Held: From _____ to _____

Reference Number of Proof of Claim with respect to which this D&O Indemnity Claim is made _____

Particulars of and basis for D&O Indemnity Claim _____

(Provide all particulars of the D&O Indemnity Claim, including all supporting documentation)

3 Filing of Claim

This D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim and supporting documentation are to be returned to the Monitor within ten Business Days of the date of deemed receipt by the Director or Officer of the Proof of Claim by registered mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.
Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West
Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Telephone: (416) 649-8094
E-mail: sfc@fticonsulting.com

Failure to file your D&O Indemnity Proof of Claim in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order will result in your D&O Indemnity Claim being barred and forever extinguished and you will be prohibited from making or enforcing such D&O Indemnity Claim against the Applicant.

Dated at _____, this _____ day of _____, 2012.

Per: _____
Name

Signature: _____ (Former Director and/or Officer)

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE
MATTER OF A PLAN OR COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceedings commenced in Toronto


ORDER

BENNETT JONES LLP
One First Canadian Place
Suite 3400, P.O. Box 130
Toronto, Ontario
M5X 1A4

Robert W. Staley (LSUC #27115J)
Kevin Zych (LSUC #33129T)
Derek J. Bell (LSUC #43420J)
Jonathan Bell (LSUC #55457P)
Tel: 416-863-1200
Fax: 416-863-1716

Lawyers for the Applicant

THIS IS EXHIBIT "G" TO
THE AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
SWORN AUGUST 14, 2012



A Commissioner, etc.

Tai Kam Cheung
Deacons
Solicitor, Hong Kong SAR



**Sino-Forest Proceeds to Implement Restructuring Transaction
Contemplated by the Restructuring Support Agreement and
Terminates Sale Solicitation Process**

TORONTO, CANADA – July 10, 2012 – Sino-Forest Corporation (“Sino-Forest” or the “Company”) announced today that it is proceeding to implement the previously announced restructuring transaction pursuant to which its creditors will acquire substantially all of the assets of the Company (the “Restructuring Transaction”) in accordance with the terms described in the Restructuring Support Agreement (the “Support Agreement”) entered into by certain noteholders (the “Ad Hoc Committee”) and the Company on March 30, 2012. Pursuant to the Restructuring Transaction, Sino-Forest will transfer substantially all of its assets, other than certain excluded assets, to a newly formed entity owned and controlled by its creditors in full and final settlement of all claims against the Company.

As announced on June 8, 2012, holders of more than 72% of the aggregate principal amount of the Company’s outstanding notes (with more than 66.67% of the principal amount of each of the four series of Notes) have agreed to be parties to the Support Agreement. Pursuant to certain revised deadlines under the Support Agreement, Sino-Forest is required to file a plan under the *Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act* (“CCAA”) in respect of the Restructuring Transaction on or before August 7, 2012.

In accordance with the sale process procedures approved by the Ontario Superior Court of Justice on March 30, 2012 (the “SPP”), Sino-Forest’s financial advisor, Houlihan Lokey, had been soliciting offers to purchase substantially all of Sino-Forest’s assets. Following consultation with the court-appointed Monitor, FTI Consulting, the Company’s financial advisor and the Ad Hoc Committee and its advisors, the Company determined that none of the bids submitted pursuant to the SPP constituted Qualified Bids as defined in the SPP and the sale solicitation process has been terminated in accordance with the SPP.

Additional details regarding the Restructuring Transaction are contained in the Support Agreement, a copy of which is available at www.sedar.com and on the Monitor’s website at <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc>.

Inquiries

All inquiries regarding the Company’s proceedings under the CCAA should be directed to the Monitor, FTI Consulting, via email at: sfc@fticonsulting.com, or telephone: (416) 649-8094. Information about the CCAA proceedings, including copies of all court orders and the Monitor’s reports, are available at the Monitor’s website <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc>.

<p>FOR OTHER INQUIRIES PLEASE CONTACT: BRUNSWICK GROUP LIMITED Tel: + 1 646 625 7452</p>

FOR MEDIA INQUIRIES PLEASE CONTACT:
 BRUNSWICK GROUP LIMITED
 Email: sinoforest@brunswickgroup.com

New York
 Stan Neve
 Tel: +1 212 333 3810

Hong Kong
 Tim Payne
 Cindy Leggett-Flynn
 Tel: +852 3512 5000

This news release contains forward-looking information within the meaning of applicable securities laws, including statements relating to the Company's expectations with respect to the implementation of the Restructuring Transaction and the expected terms of, and consideration to be received pursuant to, the Restructuring Transaction. The forward looking statements expressed or implied by this news release are subject to important risks and uncertainties. When used in this news release, the words "is proceeding", "will" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain such words. Forward-looking statements are based on estimates and assumptions made by the Company in light of its experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments, as well as other factors that the Company believes are appropriate in the circumstances. The results or events predicted in these statements may differ materially from actual results or events and are not guarantees of future performance of Sino-Forest. Factors which could cause results or events to differ from current expectations include, among other things: actions taken against the Company by governmental agencies and securities and other regulators; actions taken by the Company's noteholders, lenders, creditors, shareholders, and other stakeholders to enforce their rights; the Company's ability to negotiate and finalize definitive documentation regarding the Restructuring Transaction on the terms contemplated, if at all; the Company's ability to complete the Restructuring Transaction in the timeframe contemplated, if at all, which is subject to the satisfaction or waiver of numerous conditions, some of which are beyond the control of the Company, including conditions in respect of the approval of the Court, the Company's creditors and other stakeholders and securities and other regulatory authorities; the outcome of examinations and proceedings currently underway by law enforcement and securities regulatory authorities; the outcome of class action or other proceedings which have been or may in future be initiated against the Company; the accuracy and outcome of the results of tree asset testing undertaken by the Company; the Company's reliance on key employees; the Company's ability to acquire rights to additional standing timber; the Company's ability to meet its expected plantation yields; the cyclical nature of the forest products industry and price fluctuation in and the demand and supply of logs; the Company's reliance on the relationship with local plantation land owners and/or plantation land use rights holders, authorized intermediaries, key customers, suppliers and third party service providers; the Company's ability to operate its production facilities on a profitable basis; changes in currency exchange rates and interest rates; the evaluation of the Company's provision for income and related taxes; economic, political and social conditions and government policy in China, the Republic of Suriname and New Zealand; and other factors not currently viewed as material that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forwarding-looking statements. Sino-Forest Corporation disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

THIS IS EXHIBIT "H" TO
THE AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
SWORN AUGUST 14, 2012


A Commissioner, etc.

Tai Kam Cheung
Deacons
Solicitor, Hong Kong SAR

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

THE HONOURABLE MR.)	FRIDAY, THE 27 th
)	
JUSTICE MORAWETZ)	DAY OF JULY, 2012



**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c.C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

ORDER

THIS MOTION made by the Applicant, Sino-Forest Corporation ("**SFC**") regarding the status of shareholder claims and related indemnity claims was heard this day, at the courthouse at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario,

ON READING the Motion Record of the Applicant, the Responding Motion Record of Ernst & Young LLP, the Book of Previously Filed Materials and Court Orders, and the Responding Motion Record of BDO Limited and the facts of the parties, and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Moving Party, Sino-Forest Corporation, the Monitor, the Ad Hoc Committee of Noteholders, Ernst & Young, BDO, and certain underwriters named as defendants in the Ontario Class Action:

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that further service of the Notice of Motion and Motion Record on any party not already served is hereby dispensed with, such that this motion is properly returnable today.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the claims against SFC resulting from the ownership, purchase or sale of an equity interest in SFC, including, without


limitation, the claims by or on behalf of current or former shareholders asserted in the proceedings listed in Schedule "A", (collectively, the "Shareholder Claims") are "equity claims" as defined in section 2 of the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "CCAA"), being claims in respect of monetary losses resulting from the ownership, purchase or sale of an equity interest.

3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any indemnification claims against SFC related to or arising from the Shareholder Claims, including, without limitation, by or on behalf of any of the other defendants to the proceedings listed in Schedule "A", (the "Related Indemnity Claims") are "equity claims" under the CCAA, being claims for contribution or indemnity in respect of claims that are equity claims.
4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that nothing in paragraph 3 determines whether this Order extends to the aspect of any Related Indemnity Claims that corresponds to defence costs in connection with the defence of any Shareholder Claims.
5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the order is without prejudice to SFC's right to apply for a similar order with respect to (i) any claims that are in respect of Securities other than shares and (ii) any indemnification claims against SFC related thereto.

ENTERED AT / ENREGISTRÉ À TORONTO
ON / BOOK NO.:
LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO.:

AUG 03 2012

PER/PAR:



Schedule "A"

1. *Trustees of the Labourers' Pension Fund of Central and Eastern Canada et al. v. Sino-Forest Corporation et al.* (Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Court File No. CV-11-431153-00CP)
2. *Guining Ltu v. Sino-Forest Corporation et al.* (Quebec Superior Court, Court File No: 200-06-000132-111)
3. *Allan Haigh v. Sino-Forest Corporation et al.* (Saskatchewan Court of Queen's Bench, Court File No. 2288 of 2011)
4. *David Leopard et al. v. Allen T.Y. Chan et al.* (District Court of the Southern District of New York, Court File No. 650258/2012)

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE
MATTER OF A PLAN OR COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceedings commenced in Toronto

**ORDER
(Regarding the Status of Shareholder
Claims and Related Indemnity Claims
under the CCAA)**

BENNETT JONES LLP
One First Canadian Place
Suite 3400, P.O. Box 130
Toronto, Ontario
M5X 1A4

Robert W. Staley (LSUC #27115J)
Kevin Zych (LSUC #33129T)
Derek J. Bell (LSUC #43420J)
Raj Sahni (LSUC #42942U)
Jonathan Bell (LSUC #55457P)
Tel: 416-863-1200
Fax: 416-863-1716
Lawyers for the Applicant

CITATION: Sino-Forest Corporation (Re), 2012 ONSC 4377
COURT FILE NO.: CV-12-9667-00CL
DATE: 20120727

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE – ONTARIO

(COMMERCIAL LIST)

RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION, Applicant

BEFORE: MORAWETZ J.

COUNSEL: Robert W. Stalcy and Jonathan Bell, for the Applicant

Jennifer Stam, for the Monitor

Kenneth Dekker, for BDO Limited

Peter Griffin and Peter Osborne, for Ernst & Young LLP

Benjamin Zarnett, Robert Chadwick and Brendan O'Neill, for the Ad Hoc Committee of Noteholders

James Grout, for the Ontario Securities Commission

Emily Cole and Joseph Marin, for Allen Chan

Simon Bieber, for David Horsley

David Bish, John Fabello and Adam Slavens, for the Underwriters Named in the Class Action

Max Starnino and Kirk Baert, for the Ontario Plaintiffs

Larry Lowenstein, for the Board of Directors

HEARD: June 26, 2012

ENDORSEMENT

- Page 2 -

Overview

[1] Sino-Forest Corporation (“SFC” or the “Applicant”) seeks an order directing that claims against SFC, which result from the ownership, purchase or sale of an equity interest in SFC, are “equity claims” as defined in section 2 of the *Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act* (“CCAA”) including, without limitation: (i) the claims by or on behalf of current or former shareholders asserted in the proceedings listed in Schedule “A” (collectively, the “Shareholder Claims”); and (ii) any indemnification claims against SFC related to or arising from the Shareholder Claims, including, without limitation, those by or on behalf of any of the other defendants to the proceedings listed in Schedule “A” (the “Related Indemnity Claims”).

[2] SFC takes the position that the Shareholder Claims are “equity claims” as defined in the CCAA as they are claims in respect of a monetary loss resulting from the ownership, purchase or sale of an equity interest in SFC and, therefore, come within the definition. SFC also takes the position that the Related Indemnity Claims are “equity claims” as defined in the CCAA as they are claims for contribution or indemnity in respect of a claim that is an equity claim and, therefore, also come within the definition.

[3] On March 30, 2012, the court granted the Initial Order providing for the CCAA stay against SFC and certain of its subsidiaries. FTI Consulting Canada Inc. was appointed as Monitor.

[4] On the same day, the Sales Process Order was granted, approving Sales Process procedures and authorizing and directing SFC, the Monitor and Houlihan Lokey to carry out the Sales Process.

[5] On May 14, 2012, the court issued a Claims Procedure Order, which established June 20, 2012 as the Claims Bar Date.

[6] The stay of proceedings has since been extended to September 28, 2012.

[7] Since the outset of the proceedings, SFC has taken the position that it is important for these proceedings to be completed as soon as possible in order to, among other things, (i) enable the business operated in the Peoples Republic of China (“PRC”) to be separated from SFC and put under new ownership; (ii) enable the restructured business to participate in the Q4 sales season in the PRC market; and (iii) maintain the confidence of stakeholders in the PRC (including local and national governmental bodies, PRC lenders and other stakeholders) that the business in the PRC can be successfully separated from SFC and operate in the ordinary course in the near future.

[8] SFC has negotiated a Support Agreement with the Ad Hoc Committee of Noteholders and intends to file a plan of compromise or arrangement (the “Plan”) under the CCAA by no later than August 27, 2012, based on the deadline set out in the Support Agreement and what they submit is the commercial reality that SFC must complete its restructuring as soon as possible.

- Page 3 -

[9] Noteholders holding in excess of \$1.296 billion, or approximately 72% of the approximately \$1.8 billion of SFC's noteholders' debt, have executed written support agreements to support the SFC CCAA Plan as of March 30, 2012.

Shareholder Claims Asserted Against SFC

(i) Ontario

[10] By Fresh as Amended Statement of Claim dated April 26, 2012 (the "Ontario Statement of Claim"), the Trustees of the Labourers' Pension Fund of Central and Eastern Canada and other plaintiffs asserted various claims in a class proceeding (the "Ontario Class Proceedings") against SFC, certain of its current and former officers and directors, Ernst & Young LLP ("E&Y"), BDO Limited ("BDO"), Poyry (Beijing) Consulting Company Limited ("Poyry") and SFC's underwriters (collectively, the "Underwriters").

[11] Section 1(m) of the Ontario Statement of Claim defines "class" and "class members" as:

All persons and entities, wherever they may reside who acquired Sino's Securities during the Class Period by distribution in Canada or on the Toronto Stock Exchange or other secondary market in Canada, which securities include those acquired over the counter, and all persons and entities who acquired Sino's Securities during the Class Period who are resident of Canada or were resident of Canada at the time of acquisition and who acquired Sino's Securities outside of Canada, except the Excluded Persons.

[12] The term "Securities" is defined as "Sino's common shares, notes and other securities, as defined in the OSA". The term "Class Period" is defined as the period from and including March 19, 2007 up to and including June 2, 2011.

[13] The Ontario Class Proceedings seek damages in the amount of approximately \$9.2 billion against SFC and the other defendants.

[14] The thrust of the complaint in the Ontario Class Proceedings is that the class members are alleged to have purchased securities at "inflated prices during the Class Period" and that absent the alleged misconduct, sales of such securities "would have occurred at prices that reflected the true value" of the securities. It is further alleged that "the price of Sino's Securities was directly affected during the Class Period by the issuance of the Impugned Documents".

(ii) Quebec

[15] By action filed in Quebec on June 9, 2011, Guining Liu commenced an action (the "Quebec Class Proceedings") against SFC, certain of its current and former officers and directors, E&Y and Poyry. The Quebec Class Proceedings do not name BDO or the Underwriters as defendants. The Quebec Class Proceedings also do not specify the quantum of damages sought, but rather reference "damages in an amount equal to the losses that it and the other members of the group suffered as a result of purchasing or acquiring securities of Sino at inflated prices during the Class Period".

- Page 4 -

[16] The complaints in the Quebec Class Proceedings centre on the effect of alleged misrepresentations on the share price. The duty allegedly owed to the class members is said to be based in “law and other provisions of the *Securities Act*”, to ensure the prompt dissemination of truthful, complete and accurate statements regarding SFC’s business and affairs and to correct any previously-issued materially inaccurate statements.

(iii) Saskatchewan

[17] By Statement of Claim dated December 1, 2011 (the “Saskatchewan Statement of Claim”), Mr. Allan Haigh commenced an action (the “Saskatchewan Class Proceedings”) against SFC, Allen Chan and David Horsley.

[18] The Saskatchewan Statement of Claim does not specify the quantum of damages sought, but instead states in more general terms that the plaintiff seeks “aggravated and compensatory damages against the defendants in an amount to be determined at trial”.

[19] The Saskatchewan Class Proceedings focus on the effect of the alleged wrongful acts upon the trading price of SFC’s securities:

The price of Sino’s securities was directly affected during the Class Period by the issuance of the Impugned Documents. The defendants were aware at all material times that the effect of Sino’s disclosure documents upon the price of its Sino’s [sic] securities.

(iv) New York

[20] By Verified Class Action Complaint dated January 27, 2012, (the “New York Complaint”), Mr. David Leopard and IMF Finance SA commenced a class proceeding against SFC, Mr. Allen Chan, Mr. David Horsley, Mr. Kai Kit Poon, a subset of the Underwriters, E&Y, and Ernst & Young Global Limited (the “New York Class Proceedings”).

[21] SFC contends that the New York Class Proceedings focus on the effect of the alleged wrongful acts upon the trading price of SFC’s securities.

[22] The plaintiffs in the various class actions have named parties other than SFC as defendants, notably, the Underwriters and the auditors, E&Y, and BDO, as summarized in the table below. The positions of those parties are detailed later in these reasons.

	Ontario	Quebec	Saskatchewan	New York
E&Y LLP	X	X	-	X
E&Y Global	-	-	-	X
BDO	X	-	-	-

- Page 5 -

Poyry	X	X	-	-
Underwriters	11	-	-	2

Legal Framework

[23] Even before the 2009 amendments to the CCAA dealing with equity claims, courts recognized that there is a fundamental difference between shareholder equity claims as they relate to an insolvent entity versus creditor claims. Essentially, shareholders cannot reasonably expect to maintain a financial interest in an insolvent company where creditor claims are not being paid in full. Simply put, shareholders have no economic interest in an insolvent enterprise: *Blue Range Resource Corp. (Re)*, (2004) 4 W.W.R. 738 (Alta. Q.B.) [*Blue Range Resources*]; *Stelco Inc. (Re)*, (2006) CanLII 1773 (Ont. S.C.J.) [*Stelco*]; *Royal Bank of Canada v. Central Capital Corp.* (1996), 27 O.R. (3d) 494 (C.A.).

[24] The basis for the differentiation flows from the fundamentally different nature of debt and equity investments. Shareholders have unlimited upside potential when purchasing shares. Creditors have no corresponding upside potential: *Nelson Financial Group Limited (Re)*, 2010 ONSC 6229 [*Nelson Financial*].

[25] As a result, courts subordinated equity claims and denied such claims a vote in plans of arrangement: *Blue Range Resource*, *supra*; *Stelco*, *supra*; *EarthFirst Canada Inc. (Re)* (2009), 56 C.B.R. (5th) 102 (Alta. Q.B.) [*EarthFirst Canada*]; and *Nelson Financial*, *supra*.

[26] In 2009, significant amendments were made to the CCAA. Specific amendments were made with the intention of clarifying that equity claims are subordinated to other claims.

[27] The 2009 amendments define an “equity claim” and an “equity interest”. Section 2 of the CCAA includes the following definitions:

“Equity Claim” means a claim that is in respect of an equity interest, including a claim for, among others, (...)

(d) a monetary loss resulting from the ownership, purchase or sale of an equity interest or from the rescission, or, in Quebec, the annulment, of a purchase or sale of an equity interest, or

(e) contribution or indemnity in respect of a claim referred to in any of paragraphs (a) to (d);

“Equity Interest” means

(a) in the case of a company other than an income trust, a share in the company – or a warrant or option or another right to acquire a share in the company – other than one that is derived from a convertible debt,

- Page 6 -

[28] Section 6(8) of the CCAA prohibits a distribution to equity claimants prior to payment in full of all non-equity claims.

[29] Section 22(1) of the CCAA provides that equity claimants are prohibited from voting on a plan unless the court orders otherwise.

Position of Ernst & Young

[30] E&Y opposes the relief sought, at least as against E&Y, since the E&Y proof of claim evidence demonstrates in its view that E&Y's claim:

- (a) is not an equity claim;
- (b) does not derive from or depend upon an equity claim (in whole or in part);
- (c) represents discreet and independent causes of action as against SFC and its directors and officers arising from E&Y's direct contractual relationship with such parties (or certain of such parties) and/or the tortious conduct of SFC and/or its directors and officers for which they are in law responsible to E&Y; and
- (d) can succeed independently of whether or not the claims of the plaintiffs in the class actions succeed.

[31] In its factum, counsel to E&Y acknowledges that during the periods relevant to the Class Action Proceedings, E&Y was retained as SFC's auditor and acted as such from 2007 until it resigned on April 5, 2012.

[32] On June 2, 2011, Muddy Waters LLC ("Muddy Waters") issued a report which purported to reveal fraud at SFC. In the wake of that report, SFC's share price plummeted and Muddy Waters profited from its short position.

[33] E&Y was served with a multitude of class action claims in numerous jurisdictions.

[34] The plaintiffs in the Ontario Class Proceedings claim damages in the aggregate, as against all defendants, of \$9.2 billion on behalf of resident and non-resident shareholders and noteholders. The causes of action alleged are both statutory, under the *Securities Act (Ontario)* and at common law, in negligence and negligent misrepresentation.

[35] In its factum, counsel to E&Y acknowledges that the central claim in the class actions is that SFC made a series of misrepresentations in respect of its timber assets. The claims against E&Y and the other third party defendants are that they failed to detect these misrepresentations and note in particular that E&Y's audit did not comply with Canadian generally accepted accounting standards. Similar claims are advanced in Quebec and the U.S.

[36] Counsel to E&Y notes that on May 14, 2012 the court granted a Claims Procedure Order which, among other things, requires proofs of claim to be filed no later than June 20, 2012. E&Y takes issue with the fact that this motion was then brought notwithstanding that proofs of claim and D&O proofs of claim had not yet been filed.

- Page 7 -

[37] E&Y has filed with the Monitor, in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, a proof of claim against SFC and a proof of claim against the directors and officers of SFC.

[38] E&Y takes the position that it has contractual claims of indemnification against SFC and its subsidiaries and has statutory and common law claims of contribution and/or indemnity against SFC and its subsidiaries for all relevant years. E&Y contends that it has stand-alone claims for breach of contract and negligent and/or fraudulent misrepresentation against the company and its directors and officers.

[39] Counsel submits that E&Y's claims against Sino-Forest and the SFC subsidiaries are:

- (a) creditor claims;
- (b) derived from E&Y retainers by and/or on behalf of Sino-Forest and the SFC subsidiaries and E&Y's relationship with such parties, all of which are wholly independent and conceptually different from the claims advanced by the class action plaintiffs;
- (c) claims that include the cost of defending and responding to various proceedings, both pre- and post-filing; and
- (d) not equity claims in the sense contemplated by the CCAA. E&Y's submission is that equity holders of Sino-Forest have not advanced, and could not advance, any claims against SFC's subsidiaries.

[40] Counsel further contends that E&Y's claim is distinct from any and all potential and actual claims by the plaintiffs in the class actions against Sino-Forest and that E&Y's claim for contribution and/or indemnity is not based on the claims against Sino-Forest advanced in the class actions but rather only in part on those claims, as any success of the plaintiffs in the class actions against E&Y would not necessarily lead to success against Sino-Forest, and vice versa. Counsel contends that E&Y has a distinct claim against Sino-Forest independent of that of the plaintiffs in the class actions. The success of E&Y's claims against Sino-Forest and the SFC subsidiaries, and the success of the claims advanced by the class action plaintiffs, are not co-dependent. Consequently, counsel contends that E&Y's claim is that of an unsecured creditor.

[41] From a policy standpoint, counsel to E&Y contends that the nature of the relationship between a shareholder, who may be in a position to assert an equity claim (in addition to other claims) is fundamentally different from the relationship existing between a corporation and its auditors.

Position of BDO Limited

[42] BDO was auditor of Sino-Forest Corporation between 2005 and 2007, when it was replaced by E&Y.

[43] BDO has a filed a proof of claim against Sino-Forest pursuant to the Claims Procedure Order.

- Page 8 -

[44] BDO's claim against Sino-Forest is primarily for breach of contract.

[45] BDO takes the position that its indemnity claims, similar to those advanced by E&Y and the Underwriters, are not equity claims within the meaning of s. 2 of the CCAA.

[46] BDO adopts the submissions of E&Y which, for the purposes of this endorsement, are not repeated.

Position of the Underwriters

[47] The Underwriters take the position that the court should not decide the equity claims motion at this time because it is premature or, alternatively, if the court decides the equity claims motion, the equity claims order should not be granted because the Related Indemnity Claims are not "equity claims" as defined in s. 2 of the CCAA.

[48] The Underwriters are among the defendants named in some of the class actions. In connection with the offerings, certain Underwriters entered into agreements with Sino-Forest and certain of its subsidiaries providing that Sino-Forest and, with respect to certain offerings, the Sino-Forest subsidiary companies, agree to indemnify and hold harmless the Underwriters in connection with an array of matters that could arise from the offerings.

[49] The Underwriters raise the following issues:

- (i) Should this court decide the equity claims motion at this time?
- (ii) If this court decides the equity claims motion at this time, should the equity claims order be granted?

[50] On the first issue, counsel to the Underwriters takes the position that the issue is not yet ripe for determination.

[51] Counsel submits that, by seeking the equity claims order at this time, Sino-Forest is attempting to pre-empt the Claims Procedure Order, which already provides a process for the determination of claims. Until such time as the claims procedure in respect of the Related Indemnity Claims is completed, and those claims are determined pursuant to that process, counsel contends the subject of the equity claims motion raises a merely hypothetical question as the court is being asked to determine the proper interpretation of s. 2 of the CCAA before it has the benefit of an actual claim in dispute before it.

[52] Counsel further contends that by asking the court to render judgment on the proper interpretation of s. 2 of the CCAA in the hypothetical, Sino-Forest has put the court in a position where its judgment will not be made in the context of particular facts or with a full and complete evidentiary record.

[53] Even if the court determines that it can decide this motion at this time, the Underwriters submit that the relief requested should not be granted.

Position of the Applicant

[54] The Applicant submits that the amendments to the CCAA relating to equity claims closely parallel existing U.S. law on the subject and that Canadian courts have looked to U.S. courts for guidance on the issue of equity claims as the subordination of equity claims has long been codified there: see e.g. *Blue Range Resources, supra*, and *Nelson Financial, supra*.

[55] The Applicant takes the position that based on the plain language of the CCAA, the Shareholder Claims are "equity claims" as defined in s. 2 as they are claims in respect of a "monetary loss resulting from the ownership, purchase or sale of an equity interest".

[56] The Applicant also submits the following:

- (a) the Ontario, Quebec, Saskatchewan and New York Class Actions (collectively, the "Class Actions") all advance claims on behalf of shareholders.
- (b) the Class Actions also allege wrongful conduct that affected the trading price of the shares, in that the alleged misrepresentation "artificially inflated" the share price; and
- (c) the Class Actions seek damages relating to the trading price of SFC shares and, as such, allege a "monetary loss" that resulted from the ownership, purchase or sale of shares, as defined in s. 2 of the CCAA.

[57] Counsel further submits that, as the Shareholder Claims are "equity claims", they are expressly subordinated to creditor claims and are prohibited from voting on the plan of arrangement.

[58] Counsel to the Applicant also submits that the definition of "equity claims" in s. 2 of the CCAA expressly includes indemnity claims that relate to other equity claims. As such, the Related Indemnity Claims are equity claims within the meaning of s. 2.

[59] Counsel further submits that there is no distinction in the CCAA between the source of any claim for contribution or indemnity; whether by statute, common law, contractual or otherwise. Further, and to the contrary, counsel submits that the legal characterization of a contribution or indemnity claim depends solely on the characterization of the primary claim upon which contribution or indemnity is sought.

[60] Counsel points out that in *Return on Innovation Capital v. Gandi Innovations Limited*, 2011 ONSC 5018, leave to appeal denied, 2012 ONCA 10 [*Return on Innovation*] this court characterized the contractual indemnification claims of directors and officers in respect of an equity claim as "equity claims".

[61] Counsel also submits that guidance on the treatment of underwriter and auditor indemnification claims can be obtained from the U.S. experience. In the U.S., courts have held that the indemnification claims of underwriters for liability or defence costs constitute equity claims that are subordinated to the claims of general creditors. Counsel submits that insofar as

- Page 10 -

the primary source of liability is characterized as an equity claim, so too is any claim for contribution and indemnity based on that equity claim.

[62] In this case, counsel contends, the Related Indemnity Claims are clearly claims for "contribution and indemnity" based on the Shareholder Claims.

Position of the Ad Hoc Noteholders

[63] Counsel to the Ad Hoc Noteholders submits that the Shareholder Claims are "equity claims" as they are claims in respect of an equity interest and are claims for "a monetary loss resulting from the ownership, purchase or sale of an equity interest" per subsection (d) of the definition of "equity claims" in the CCAA.

[64] Counsel further submits that the Related Indemnity Claims are also "equity claims" as they fall within the "clear and unambiguous" language used in the definition of "equity claim" in the CCAA. Subsection (e) of the definition refers expressly and without qualification to claims for "contribution or indemnity" in respect of claims such as the Shareholder Claims.

[65] Counsel further submits that had the legislature intended to qualify the reference to "contribution or indemnity" in order to exempt the claims of certain parties, it could have done so, but it did not.

[66] Counsel also submits that, if the plain language of subsection (e) is not upheld, shareholders of SFC could potentially create claims to receive indirectly what they could not receive directly (*i.e.*, payment in respect of equity claims through the Related Indemnity Claims) – a result that could not have been intended by the legislature as it would be inconsistent with the purposes of the CCAA.

[67] Counsel to the Ad Hoc Notcholders also submits that, before the CCAA amendments in 2009 (the "CCAA Amendments"), courts subordinated claims on the basis of:

- (a) the general expectations of creditors and shareholders with respect to priority and assumption of risks; and
- (b) the equitable principles and considerations set out in certain U.S. cases: see e.g. *Blue Range Resources, supra*.

[68] Counsel further submits that, before the CCAA Amendments took effect, courts had expanded the types of claims characterized as equity claims; first to claims for damages of defrauded shareholders and then to contractual indemnity claims of shareholders: see *Blue Range Resources, supra* and *EarthFirst Canada, supra*.

[69] Counsel for the Ad Hoc Noteholders also submits that indemnity claims of underwriters have been treated as equity claims in the United States, pursuant to section 510(b) of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. This submission is detailed at paragraphs 20-25 of their factum which reads as follows:

- Page 11 -

20. The desire to more closely align the Canadian approach to equity claims with the U.S. approach was among the considerations that gave rise to the codification of the treatment of equity claims. Canadian courts have also looked to the U.S. law for guidance on the issue of equity claims where codification of the subordination of equity claims has been long-standing.

Janis Sarra at p. 209, Ad Hoc Committee's Book of Authorities, Tab 10.

Report of the Standing Senate Committee on Banking, Trade and Commerce, "Debtors and Creditors Sharing the Burden: A Review of the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act* and the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*" (2003) at 158, [...]

Blue Range [Resources] at paras. 41-57 [...]

21. Pursuant to § 510(b) of the *U.S. Bankruptcy Code*, all creditors must be paid in full before shareholders are entitled to receive any distribution. § 510(b) of the *U.S. Bankruptcy Code* and the relevant portion of § 502, which is referenced in § 510(b), provide as follows:

§ 510. Subordination

(b) For the purpose of distribution under this title, a claim arising from rescission of a purchase or sale of a security of the debtor or of an affiliate of the debtor, for damages arising from the purchase or sale of such a security, or for reimbursement or contribution allowed under 502 on account of such a claim, shall be subordinated to all claims or interests that are senior to or equal the claim or interest represented by such security, except that if such security is common stock, such claim has the same priority as common stock.

§ 502. Allowance of claims or interests

(e) (1) Notwithstanding subsections (a), (b) and (c) of this section and paragraph (2) of this subsection, the court shall disallow any claim for reimbursement or contribution of an entity that is liable with the debtor on or has secured the claim of a creditor, to the extent that

...

(B) such claim for reimbursement or contribution is contingent as of the time of allowance or disallowance of such claim for reimbursement or contribution; or

...

(2) A claim for reimbursement or contribution of such an entity that becomes fixed after the commencement of the case shall be determined,

- Page 12 -

and shall be allowed under subsection (a), (b), or (c) of this section, or disallowed under subsection (d) of this section, the same as if such claim had become fixed before the date of the filing of the petition.

22. U.S. appellate courts have interpreted the statutory language in § 510(b) broadly to subordinate the claims of shareholders that have a nexus or causal relationship to the purchase or sale of securities, including damages arising from alleged illegality in the sale or purchase of securities or from corporate misconduct whether predicated on pre or post-issuance conduct.

Re Telegroup Inc. (2002), 281 F. 3d 133 (3rd Cir. U.S. Court of Appeals) [...]

American Broadcasting Systems Inc. v. Nugent, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, Case Number 98-17133 (24 January 2001) [...]

23. Further, U.S. courts have held that indemnification claims of underwriters against the corporation for liability or defence costs when shareholders or former shareholders have sued underwriters constitute equity claims in the insolvency of the corporation that are subordinated to the claims of general creditors based on: (a) the plain language of § 510(b), which references claims for "reimbursement or contribution" and (b) risk allocation as between general creditors and those parties that play a role in the purchase and sale of securities that give rise to the shareholder claims (i.e., directors, officers and underwriters).

In re Mid-American Waste Sys., 228 B.R. 816, 1999 Bankr. LEXIS 27 (Bankr. D. Del. 1999) [*Mid-American*] [...]

In re Jacom Computer Servs., 280 B.R. 570, 2002 Bankr. LEXIS 758 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002) [...]

24. In *Mid-American*, the Court stated the following with respect to the "plain language" of § 510(b), its origins and the inclusion of "reimbursement or contribution" claims in that section:

... I find that the plain language of § 510(b), its legislative history, and applicable case law clearly show that § 510(b) intends to subordinate the indemnification claims of officers, directors, and underwriters for both liability and expenses incurred in connection with the pursuit of claims for rescission or damages by purchasers or sellers of the debtor's securities. The meaning of amended § 510(b), specifically the language "for reimbursement or contribution . . . on account of [a claim arising from rescission or damages arising from the purchase or sale of a security]," can be discerned by a plain reading of its language.

... it is readily apparent that the rationale for section 510(b) is not limited to preventing shareholder claimants from improving their position vis-a-

- Page 13 -

vis general creditors; *Congress also made the decision to subordinate based on risk allocation. Consequently, when Congress amended § 510(b) to add reimbursement and contribution claims, it was not radically departing from an equityholder claimant treatment provision, as NatWest suggests; it simply added to the subordination treatment new classes of persons and entities involved with the securities transactions giving rise to the rescission and damage claims.* The 1984 amendment to § 510(b) is a logical extension of one of the rationales for the original section — *because Congress intended the holders of securities law claims to be subordinated, why not also subordinate claims of other parties (e.g., officers and directors and underwriters) who play a role in the purchase and sale transactions which give rise to the securities law claims?* As I view it, in 1984 Congress made a legislative judgment that claims emanating from tainted securities law transactions should not have the same priority as the claims of general creditors of the estate. [emphasis added]

[...]

25. Further, the U.S. courts have held that the degree of culpability of the respective parties is a non-issue in the disallowance of claims for indemnification of underwriters; the equities are meant to benefit the debtor's direct creditors, not secondarily liable creditors with contingent claims.

In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, 148 B.R. 982, 1992 Bankr. LEXIS 2023 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992) [...]

[70] Counsel submits that there is no principled basis for treating indemnification claims of auditors differently than those of underwriters.

Analysis

Is it Premature to Determine the Issue?

[71] The class action litigation was commenced prior to the CCAA Proceedings. It is clear that the claims of shareholders as set out in the class action claims against SFC are "equity claims" within the meaning of the CCAA.

[72] In my view, this issue is not premature for determination, as is submitted by the Underwriters.

[73] The Class Action Proceedings preceded the CCAA Proceedings. It has been clear since the outset of the CCAA Proceedings that this issue — namely, whether the claims of E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters as against SFC, would be considered "equity claims" — would have to be determined.

- Page 14 -

[74] It has also been clear from the outset of the CCAA Proceedings, that a Sales Process would be undertaken and the expected proceeds arising from the Sales Process would generate proceeds insufficient to satisfy the claims of creditors.

[75] The Claims Procedure is in place but, it seems to me that the issue that has been placed before the court on this motion can be determined independently of the Claims Procedure. I do not accept that any party can be said to be prejudiced if this threshold issue is determined at this time. The threshold issue does not depend upon a determination of quantification of any claim. Rather, its effect will be to establish whether the claims of E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters will be subordinated pursuant to the provisions of the CCAA. This is independent from a determination as to the validity of any claim and the quantification thereof.

Should the Equity Claims Order be Granted?

[76] I am in agreement with the submission of counsel for the Ad Hoc Noteholders to the effect that the characterization of claims for indemnity turns on the characterization of the underlying primary claims.

[77] In my view, the claims advanced in the Shareholder Claims are clearly equity claims. The Shareholder Claims underlie the Related Indemnity Claims.

[78] In my view, the CCAA Amendments have codified the treatment of claims addressed in pre-amendment cases and have further broadened the scope of equity claims.

[79] The plain language in the definition of "equity claim" does not focus on the identity of the claimant. Rather, it focuses on the nature of the claim. In this case, it seems clear that the Shareholder Claims led to the Related Indemnity Claims. Put another way, the inescapable conclusion is that the Related Indemnity Claims are being used to recover an equity investment.

[80] The plain language of the CCAA dictates the outcome, namely, that the Shareholder Claims and the Related Indemnity Claims constitute "equity claims" within the meaning of the CCAA. This conclusion is consistent with the trend towards an expansive interpretation of the definition of "equity claims" to achieve the purpose of the CCAA.

[81] In *Return on Innovation*, Newbould J. characterized the contractual indemnification claims of directors and officers as "equity claims". The Court of Appeal denied leave to appeal. The analysis in *Return on Innovation* leads to the conclusion that the Related Indemnity Claims are also equity claims under the CCAA.

[82] It would be totally inconsistent to arrive at a conclusion that would enable either the auditors or the Underwriters, through a claim for indemnification, to be treated as creditors when the underlying actions of the shareholders cannot achieve the same status. To hold otherwise would indeed provide an indirect remedy where a direct remedy is not available.

[83] Further, on the issue of whether the claims of E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters fall within the definition of equity claims, there are, in my view, two aspects of these claims and it is necessary to keep them conceptually separate.

- Page 15 -

[84] The first and most significant aspect of the claims of E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters constitutes an "equity claim" within the meaning of the CCAA. Simply put, but for the Class Action Proceedings, it is inconceivable that claims of this magnitude would have been launched by E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters as against SFC. The class action plaintiffs have launched their actions against SFC, the auditors and the Underwriters. In turn, E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters have launched actions against SFC and its subsidiaries. The claims of the shareholders are clearly "equity claims" and a plain reading of s. 2(1)(e) of the CCAA leads to the same conclusion with respect to the claims of E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters. To hold otherwise, would, as stated above, lead to a result that is inconsistent with the principles of the CCAA. It would potentially put the shareholders in a position to achieve creditor status through their claim against E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters even though a direct claim against SFC would rank as an "equity claim".

[85] I also recognize that the legal construction of the claims of the auditors and the Underwriters as against SFC is different than the claims of the shareholders against SFC. However, that distinction is not, in my view, reflected in the language of the CCAA which makes no distinction based on the status of the party but rather focuses on the substance of the claim.

[86] Critical to my analysis of this issue is the statutory language and the fact that the CCAA Amendments came into force after the cases relied upon by the Underwriters and the auditors.

[87] It has been argued that the amendments did nothing more than codify pre-existing common law. In many respects, I accept this submission. However, I am unable to accept this submission when considering s. 2(1) of the CCAA, which provides clear and specific language directing that "equity claim" means a claim that is in respect of an equity interest, including a claim for, among other things, "(e) contribution or indemnity in respect of a claim referred to in any of paragraphs (a) to (d)".

[88] Given that a shareholder claim falls within s. 2(1)(d), the plain words of subsections (d) and (e) lead to the conclusions that I have set out above.

[89] I fail to see how the very clear words of subsection (e) can be seen to be a codification of existing law. To arrive at the conclusion put forth by E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters would require me to ignore the specific words that Parliament has recently enacted.

[90] I cannot agree with the position put forth by the Underwriters or by the auditors on this point. The plain wording of the statute has persuaded me that it does not matter whether an indemnity claim is seeking no more than allocation of fault and contribution at common law, or whether there is a free-standing contribution and indemnity claim based on contracts.

[91] However, that is not to say that the full amount of the claim by the auditors and Underwriters can be characterized, at this time, as an "equity claim".

[92] The second aspect to the claims of the auditors and underwriters can be illustrated by the following hypothetical: if the claim of the shareholders does not succeed against the class action defendants, E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters will not be liable to the class action plaintiffs. However, these parties may be in a position to demonstrate that they do have a claim against

- Page 16 -

SFC for the costs of defending those actions, which claim does not arise as a result of "contribution or indemnity in respect of an equity claim".

[93] It could very well be that each of E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters have expended significant amounts in defending the claims brought by the class action plaintiffs which, in turn, could give rise to contractual claims as against SFC. If there is no successful equity claim brought by the class action plaintiffs, it is arguable that any claim of E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters may legitimately be characterized as a claim for contribution or indemnity but not necessarily in respect of an equity claim. If so, there is no principled basis for subordinating this portion of the claim. At this point in time, the quantification of such a claim cannot be determined. This must be determined in accordance with the Claims Procedure.

[94] However, it must be recognized that, by far the most significant part of the claim, is an "equity claim".

[95] In arriving at this determination, I have taken into account the arguments set forth by E&Y, BDO and the Underwriters. My conclusions recognize the separate aspects of the Related Indemnity Claims as submitted by counsel to the Underwriters at paragraph 40 of their factum which reads:

...it must be recognized that there are, in fact, at least two different kinds of Related Indemnity Claims:


- (a) indemnity claims against SFC in respect of Shareholder Claims against the auditors and the Underwriters; and
- (b) indemnity claims against SFC in respect of the defence costs of the auditors and the Underwriters in connection with defending themselves against Shareholder Claims.

Disposition

[96] In the result, an order shall issue that the claims against SFC resulting from the ownership, purchase or sale of equity interests in SFC, including, without limitation, the claims by or on behalf of current or former shareholders asserted in the proceedings listed in Schedule "A" are "equity claims" as defined in s. 2 of the CCAA, being claims in respect of monetary losses resulting from the ownership, purchase or sale of an equity interest. It is noted that counsel for the class action plaintiffs did not contest this issue.

[97] In addition, an order shall also issue that any indemnification claim against SFC related to or arising from the Shareholders Claims, including, without limitation, by or on behalf of any of the other defendants to the proceedings listed in Schedule "A" are "equity claims" under the CCAA, being claims for contribution or indemnity in respect of a claim that is an equity claim. However, I feel it is premature to determine whether this order extends to the aspect of the Related Indemnity Claims that corresponds to the defence costs of the Underwriters and the auditors in connection with defending themselves against the Shareholder Claims.

[98] A direction shall also issue that these orders are made without prejudice to SFC's rights to apply for a similar order with respect to (i) any claims in the statement of claim that are in respect of securities other than shares and (ii) any indemnification claims against SFC related thereto.



MORAWETZ J.

Date: July 27, 2012

SCHEDULE "A" – SHAREHOLDER CLAIMS

1. *Trustees of the Labourers' Pension Fund of Central and Eastern Canada et al. v. Sino-Forest Corporation et al.* (Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Court File No. CV-11-431153-00CP)
2. *Guining Liu v. Sino-Forest Corporation et al.* (Quebec Superior Court, Court File No.: 200-06-000132-111)
3. *Allan Haigh v. Sino-Forest Corporation et al.* (Saskatchewan Court of Queen's Bench, Court File No. 2288 of 2011)
4. *David Leopard et al. v. Allen T.Y. Chan et al.* (District court of the Southern District of New York, Court File No. 650258/2012)

THIS IS EXHIBIT "I" TO THE
AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
SWORN AUGUST 14, 2012


A Commissioner etc.

Tai Kam Cheung
Deacons
Solicitor, Hong Kong SAR

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST

THE HONOURABLE MR.)	WEDNESDAY, THE 25 th
)	
JUSTICE MORAWETZ)	DAY OF JULY, 2012



IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE AND
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

ORDER
(Mediation)

THIS MOTION, made by FTI Consulting Canada Inc. in its capacity as monitor (the “**Monitor**”) of Sino-Forest Corporation (the “**Applicant**”) for a consent order concerning mediation and related relief was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the Monitor’s Notice of Motion dated July 13, 2012 and the Fifth Report of the Monitor dated July 13, 2012 (the “**Fifth Report**”), the Responding Motion Record of the Applicants and the Responding Motion Record of Pöyry Beijing (as defined below), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicant, the Monitor, the ad hoc committee of Noteholders (the “**Ad Hoc Noteholders**”), the ad hoc group of purchasers of the Applicant’s securities (the “**Plaintiffs**”) and the other defendants in the Ontario Class Action and the Quebec Class Action (the “**Third Party Defendants**”) and those other parties present, no one appearing for any of the other parties served with the Monitor’s Motion Record, although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service of Alma Cano sworn July 13, 2012, filed.

SERVICE AND INTERPRETATION

1. THIS COURT ORDERS that the time for service of the Notice of Motion and the Motion Record, including the Fifth Report, is hereby abridged and validated such that this Motion is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.
2. THIS COURT ORDERS that capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meaning given to them in the Fifth Report.

MEDIATION

3. THIS COURT ORDERS that the parties eligible to participate in the Mediation pursuant to paragraph 5 of this Order are the Applicant, the Plaintiffs, the Third Party Defendants (which shall be read to exclude Pöyry (Beijing) Consulting Company Limited (“**Pöyry Beijing**”)), the Monitor, the Ad Hoc Noteholders and any insurers providing coverage in respect of the Applicant and the Third Party Defendants (collectively, the “**Mediation Parties**”).
4. THIS COURT ORDERS that the subject matter of the Mediation shall be the resolution of the claims of the Plaintiffs against the Applicant and the Third Party Defendants as set out in the statements of claim in the Ontario Class Action and the Quebec Class Action and any and all related claims (the “**Subject Claims**”), provided that for the purpose of the Mediation, the Plaintiffs shall not seek contribution from any of the Mediation Parties with respect to amounts that could have been sought by the Plaintiffs from Pöyry Beijing had the Plaintiffs not reached a settlement with Pöyry Beijing (the “**Pöyry Settlement**”) and provided that the Plaintiffs shall provide to the Mediation Parties, within 10 days of the date of this Order or such further time as this Court may direct, a written summary of evidence proffered by Pöyry Beijing pursuant to the Pöyry Settlement, which summary shall be treated in the same manner as material in the Data Room (as defined below) pursuant to this Order.
5. THIS COURT ORDERS that, where practicable, the Mediation Parties shall participate in the Mediation in person and with representatives present with full authority to settle the Subject Claims (including any insurer providing coverage), provided that, where not practicable, the Mediation Parties may participate in the Mediation through counsel or other representatives, subject to those counsel or other representatives having access to representatives with full

authority and undertaking to promptly pursue instructions with respect to any proposed agreements that arise from the Mediation.

6. THIS COURT ORDERS that parties in addition to the Mediation Parties shall only have standing to participate in the Mediation on consent of the Applicant and the Monitor, acting reasonably, or by further Order of this Court.

DATA ROOM

7. THIS COURT ORDERS that in connection with the Mediation, as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than August 3, 2012, the Applicant shall provide access to the Mediation Parties to the existing data room maintained by Merrill (the "**Data Room**"), provided however that prior to access to the Data Room, all participants (other than the Applicant, the incumbent directors of the Applicant and the Monitor) shall have entered into a confidentiality agreement with the Applicant on terms reasonably acceptable to the Applicant and the Monitor.

8. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Mediation Parties who enter into a confidentiality agreement as contemplated by paragraph 7 of this order shall comply with the terms of such confidentiality agreement.

9. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant, its subsidiaries and affiliates, and their directors, officers, employees, agents and advisors, shall incur no liability in connection with causing, effecting or acquiescing in the establishment of the Data Room or disclosure in respect of such materials and the information contained therein in accordance with this Order. The materials in the Data Room shall be made available without any representation as to the truth of their contents or their completeness, and persons relying on those materials shall do so at their own risk. The disclosure of such materials and the information contained therein in accordance with this Order is not and shall not be public disclosure in any respect. Nothing in this paragraph affects any rights or causes of action that any person may have in relation to the prior disclosure of any of the contents of the Data Room, insofar as such rights or causes of action are independent from and not related to the provision of materials and information in accordance with this Order.

MEDIATION SCHEDULE

10. THIS COURT ORDER THAT, the schedule for the Mediation shall be as follows:
- (a) the Mediation shall be conducted on September 4th and 5th, and if a third day is required, on September 10th, 2012 (the “**Mediation Dates**”);
 - (b) additional Mediation dates shall only be added, and any adjournments of any mediation dates shall only be accepted, with the prior written consent of all Mediation Parties;
 - (c) the Mediation shall be conducted at a location to be determined by the Mediator (as defined below); and
 - (d) the Applicant, the Plaintiffs and the Third Party Defendants shall deliver their respective written position statements to each other and to the other Mediation Parties on or before August 27, 2012.

APPOINTMENT OF THE MEDIATOR

11. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Honourable Justice Newbould shall be appointed mediator (the “**Mediator**”).

12. THIS COURT ORDERS that, prior to the commencement of the Mediation, the Mediator shall have the right to communicate with this Court and the Monitor from time to time as deemed necessary or advisable by the Mediator in their sole discretion.

TERMINATION OF THE MEDIATION

13. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Mediation process shall be terminated under any of the following circumstances:

- (a) by declaration by the Mediator that a settlement has been reached;
- (b) by declaration by the Mediator that further efforts at mediation are no longer considered worthwhile;

- (c) for any other reason determined by the Mediator;
- (d) mutual agreement by the Mediation Parties; or
- (e) further Order of this Court,

provided that, the Mediation shall in any event terminate on September 10, 2012, unless extended with the prior written consent of all Mediation Parties.

NO IMPACT ON OTHER PROCEEDINGS

14. THIS COURT ORDERS that all offers, promises, conduct statements, whether written or oral, made in the course of the Mediation are inadmissible in any arbitration or court proceeding. No person shall subpoena or require the Mediator to testify, produce records, notes or work product in any other existing or future proceedings, and no video or audio recording will be made of the Mediation. Evidence that is otherwise admissible or discoverable shall not be rendered inadmissible or non-discoverable as a result of its use in the Mediation. In the event that the Mediation Parties (or any group of them) do reach a settlement, the terms of that settlement will be admissible in any court or other proceeding required to enforce it, unless the Mediation Parties agree otherwise. Information disclosed to the Mediator by any Mediation Party at a private caucus during the Mediation shall remain confidential unless such Mediation Party authorizes disclosure.

15. THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing in this Order nor the participation of any party in the Mediation shall provide such party with rights within these proceedings than such party may otherwise have.

16. THIS COURT ORDERS that, subject to any applicable stay of proceedings, nothing in this Order shall prevent the Applicant, the Monitor or any other party of standing from otherwise pursuing the resolution of claims under the Claims Procedure Order granted by this Court on May 14, 2012, or any other matter in these CCAA proceedings, including without limitation, the filing and advancement of the Meetings Order and a Plan.

CONFIDENTIALITY

17. THIS COURT ORDERS that any mediation briefs or other documents filed by the Mediation Parties shall be used only in the context of the Mediation and for no other purpose and shall be kept confidential by all such parties irrespective of whether such Mediation Parties sign a confidentiality agreement.

18. THIS COURT ORDERS that any mediation briefs or other documents filed by the Mediation Parties that contain information obtained from the Data Room may not be shared with or otherwise disclosed to any person or entity that has not signed a confidentiality agreement, other than the Applicant, the incumbent directors of the Applicant, the Monitor and Mediator.


MISCELLANEOUS

19. THIS COURT ORDERS that the terms of this Order may only be varied by further Order of this Court, which may be sought on an ex parte basis on consent of the Mediation Parties.

REGISTERED AT / ENREGISTRÉ À TORONTO
DE / BOOK NO:
LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO.:
TOR_LAW\7922234\10

JUL 30 2012

PER/PAR:



IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

(PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT TORONTO)

ORDER
(Mediation)

GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
1 First Canadian Place
100 King Street West, Suite 1600
TORONTO, Ontario
M5X 1G5

Derrick Tay / Clifton Prophet / Jennifer Stam
LSUC Nos.: 21152A / 34345K / 46735J

Telephone: (416) 862-7525
Facsimile: (416) 862-7661

Lawyers for FTI Consulting Canada Inc.,
in its capacity as Monitor of the Applicant

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE
MATTER OF A PLAN OR COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceedings commenced in Toronto

**AFFIDAVIT OF W. JUDSON MARTIN
(Sworn August 14, 2012)**

BENNETT JONES LLP
One First Canadian Place
Suite 3400, P.O. Box 130
Toronto, Ontario
M5X 1A4

Robert W. Staley (LSUC #27115J)
Kevin J. Zych (LSUC #33129T)
Raj S. Sahni (LSUC# 42942U)
Derek J. Bell (LSUC #43420J)
Jonathan Bell (LSUC #55457P)
Tel: 416-863-1200
Fax: 416-863-1716

Lawyers for the Applicant

Tab 3

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST

THE HONOURABLE MR.)	TUESDAY, THE 28 TH
)	
JUSTICE MORAWETZ)	DAY OF AUGUST, 2012

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

PLAN FILING AND MEETING ORDER

THIS MOTION, made by Sino-Forest Corporation (the “**Applicant**” or “**SFC**”) for an order, *inter alia*, (a) accepting the filing of the Plan, (b) authorizing the classification of creditors for purposes of voting on the Plan, (c) authorizing and directing the Applicant to call, hold and conduct a meeting of Affected Creditors to consider and vote on a resolution to approve the Plan, (d) authorizing and directing the mailing and distribution of the Meeting Materials, (e) approving the procedures to be followed with respect to the meeting of Affected Creditors, (f) setting a date for the hearing of the Applicant’s motion for Court approval of the Plan and (g) amending the Claims Procedure Order to call for monetary Claims of the Ontario Securities Commission, was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the Applicant’s Notice of Motion, the affidavit of W. Judson Martin sworn on August 14, 2012, and the ● Report of FTI Consulting Canada Inc. (the “**Monitor**”) dated ●, 2012 (the “**Monitor’s ● Report**”), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicant, the Applicant’s directors, the Monitor, the *ad hoc* committee of Noteholders, and those other parties present, no one appearing for the other parties served with the Applicant’s Motion Record, although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service, filed:

SERVICE

1. THIS COURT ORDERS that the time for service of the Notice of Motion, the Applicant's Motion Record and the Monitor's ● Report is hereby abridged and validated such that this Motion is properly returnable today and service upon any interested party other than those parties served is hereby dispensed with.

MONITOR'S ROLE

2. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, in addition to its prescribed rights and obligations under (i) the CCAA, (ii) the Initial Order, (iii) the Order of this Court dated April 20, 2012 expanding the powers of the Monitor and (iv) the Claims Procedure Order, is hereby directed and empowered to take such other actions and fulfill such other roles as are authorized by this Meeting Order.

3. THIS COURT ORDERS that: (i) in carrying out the terms of this Meeting Order, the Monitor shall have all the protections given to it by the CCAA, the Initial Order, the Order of this Court dated April 20, 2012 expanding the powers of the Monitor, or as an officer of the Court, including the stay of proceedings in its favour; (ii) the Monitor shall incur no liability or obligation as a result of carrying out the provisions of this Meeting Order, save and except for any gross negligence or wilful misconduct on its part; (iii) the Monitor shall be entitled to rely on the books and records of the Applicant and any information provided by the Applicant without independent investigation; and (iv) the Monitor shall not be liable for any claims or damages resulting from any errors or omissions in such books, records or information.

4. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor and the Applicant, with the consent of the Monitor, are hereby authorized to retain such agents as they deem to be advisable to assist them in connection with calling and conducting the Meeting, including with respect to the distribution of Meeting Materials, the identification of the applicable Ordinary Affected Creditors and Noteholders, and the solicitation of proxies from Persons entitled to vote at the Meeting.

DEFINITIONS

5. THIS COURT ORDERS that any capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Plan.

6. THIS COURT ORDERS that for the purposes of this Meeting Order, in addition to the terms defined elsewhere in this Meeting Order or in the Plan, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

- (a) **“Affected Creditor”** means a Person with an Affected Creditor Claim, but only with respect to and to the extent of such Affected Creditor Claim;
- (b) **“Affected Creditor Claim”** means any Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim or Noteholder Claim;
- (c) **“Beneficial Noteholder”** means a beneficial owner of any Notes as at the Voting Record Date (or, if applicable, an investment advisor, manager or representative with voting discretion over the Notes owned by such beneficial owners), regardless of whether such beneficial owner is a Registered Noteholder or an Unregistered Noteholder;
- (d) **“DTC”** means The Depository Trust Company, or any successor thereof;
- (e) **“Equity Claim”** means a Claim that meets the definition of “equity claim” in section 2(1) of the CCAA and, for greater certainty, includes any of the following:
 - (i) any claim against the Applicant resulting from the ownership, purchase or sale of an equity interest in the Applicant, including the claims by or on behalf of current or former shareholders asserted in the Class Actions;
 - (ii) any indemnification claim against the Applicant related to or arising from the claims described in sub-paragraph 6(e)(i) hereof, including any such indemnification claims against the Applicant by or on behalf of any and all of the Third Party Defendants (other than for Defence Costs, unless any

such claims for Defence Costs have been determined to be Equity Claims subsequent to the date of the Equity Claims Order); and

- (iii) any other claim that has been determined to be an Equity Claim pursuant to an Order of the Court;
- (f) **“Equity Claimant”** means any Person having an Equity Claim, but only with respect to and to the extent of such Equity Claim;
- (g) **“Equity Claims Order”** means the Order of this Court dated July 27, 2012, in respect of Shareholder Claims and Related Indemnity Claims against SFC, as such terms are defined therein;
- (h) **“Information Circular”** means the information circular in respect of the Plan and the Meeting substantially in the form filed by the Applicant prior to the date hereof, as the same may be amended, supplemented or restated from time to time;
- (i) **“Instructions to Ordinary Affected Creditors”** means the instructions substantially in the form attached as Schedule “C” hereto;
- (j) **“Instructions to Participant Holders”** means the instructions substantially in the form attached as Schedule “B” hereto;
- (k) **“Instructions to Registered Noteholders”** means the instructions substantially in the form attached as Schedule “D” hereto;
- (l) **“Instructions to Unregistered Noteholders”** means the instructions substantially in the form attached as Schedule “E” hereto;
- (m) **“Mailing Date”** means the date to be selected by the Monitor (in consultation with the Applicant and counsel to the Initial Consenting Noteholders) on which the Monitor shall make the mailings contemplated by paragraphs 18 and 20 of this Meeting Order, which date shall be within twenty (20) days of the date of this Meeting Order (unless extended with the consent of the Applicant and counsel to the Initial Consenting Noteholders);

- (n) “**Meeting**” means the meeting of Affected Creditors, and any extension or adjournment thereof, that is called and conducted in accordance with this Meeting Order for the purpose of considering and voting on the Plan;
- (o) “**Meeting Date**” means the date and time for the Meeting to be selected by the Monitor (in consultation with the Applicant and counsel to the Initial Consenting Noteholders), which date shall be within thirty (30) days of the Mailing Date (unless extended with the consent of the Applicant and counsel to the Initial Consenting Noteholders);
- (p) “**Meeting Materials**” means the Noteholder Meeting Materials and the Ordinary Affected Creditor Meeting Materials;
- (q) “**Meeting Order**” means this Order, as it may be amended by any further Order of the Court;
- (r) “**Noteholder Claim**” means any Claim by a Beneficial Noteholder (or a Trustee or other representative on such Beneficial Noteholder’s behalf) in respect of or in relation to Notes, including all principal, Accrued Interest and any amounts payable pursuant to the Notes or the Note Indentures;
- (s) “**Noteholder**” means, as at the Voting Record Date, any Registered Noteholder, Unregistered Noteholder, Participant Holder or Beneficial Noteholder, as the context requires, in such capacity;
- (t) “**Noteholder Meeting Materials**” means copies of:
 - (i) the Notice to Affected Creditors;
 - (ii) the Plan;
 - (iii) the Information Circular;
 - (iv) the Meeting Order;
 - (v) a blank form of the Noteholders’ Proxy;
 - (vi) the Instructions to Registered Noteholders; and

- (vii) the Instructions to Unregistered Noteholders;
- (u) **“Noteholders’ Proxy”** means a proxy substantially in the form of Schedule “F”, to be submitted to the Monitor by any Beneficial Noteholder that wishes to vote by proxy at the Meeting;
- (v) **“Notes”** means, collectively, the 2013 Notes, the 2014 Notes, the 2016 Notes and the 2017 Notes;
- (w) **“Notice to Affected Creditors”** means the notice to Affected Creditors substantially in the form attached as Schedule “A” hereto;
- (x) **“Ordinary Affected Creditor”** means a Person with an Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim;
- (y) **“Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim”** means a Claim that is not: an Unaffected Claim; a Noteholder Claim; an Equity Claim; a Subsidiary Intercompany Claim; a Noteholder Class Action Claim; or a Class Action Indemnity Claim (other than a Class Action Indemnity Claim by any of the Third Party Defendants in respect of the Indemnified Noteholder Class Action Claims);
- (z) **“Ordinary Affected Creditor Meeting Materials”** means copies of:
 - (i) the Notice to Affected Creditors;
 - (ii) the Plan;
 - (iii) the Information Circular;
 - (iv) the Meeting Order;
 - (v) a blank form of the Ordinary Affected Creditors’ Proxy; and
 - (vi) the Instructions to Ordinary Affected Creditors;
- (aa) **“Ordinary Affected Creditors’ Proxy”** means a proxy substantially in the form attached as Schedule “G” hereto, to be submitted to the Monitor by any Ordinary Affected Creditor who wishes to vote by proxy at the Meeting;

- (bb) **“Participant Holder”** means a Person whose name appears on any of the Participant Holders Lists as at the Voting Record Date but who is not a Beneficial Noteholder;
- (cc) **“Participant Holders Lists”** means the lists of DTC participant holders of Notes as at the Voting Record Date to be provided to the Monitor by DTC or any similar depository or trust company with respect to each series of Notes in accordance with paragraph 23 of this Meeting Order;
- (dd) **“Plan”** means the plan of compromise and reorganization proposed by the Applicant as described in the Martin Affidavit and attached as Exhibit “A” to the Martin Affidavit, as such plan of compromise and reorganization may be amended from time to time in accordance with its terms;
- (ee) **“Plan Supplement”** means the supplement(s) to the Plan, which shall contain draft copies of the Litigation Trust Agreement, relevant documents concerning Newco (including the terms of the Newco Shares and the Newco Notes) and such other documents as the Applicant and the Monitor may consider appropriate or necessary for purposes of the Meeting and voting on the Plan;
- (ff) **“Proof of Claim”** means the “Proof of Claim” referred to in the Claims Procedure Order, substantially in the form attached to the Claims Procedure Order;
- (gg) **“Registered Noteholder”** means a Noteholder who is the legal owner or holder of one or more Notes and whose name appears on any Registered Noteholder List;
- (hh) **“Registered Noteholder List”** means each list of Registered Noteholders as at the Voting Record Date provided by the Trustees to the Monitor in accordance with paragraph 21 of this Meeting Order;
- (ii) **“Required Majority”** means a majority in number of Affected Creditors with Voting Claims, and two-thirds in value of the Voting Claims held by such

Affected Creditors, in each case who vote (in person or by proxy) on the Plan at the Meeting;

- (jj) “**Sanction Hearing Date**” means the date to be selected by the Monitor for the Sanction Hearing (in consultation with the Applicant and counsel to the Initial Consenting Noteholders), which date shall be within three (3) Business Days of the Meeting Date (or such other date on or after the Meeting Date as may be set by the Monitor or the Court);
- (kk) “**Shareholder Claims**” has the meaning ascribed thereto in the endorsement of this Court dated July 27, 2012 in these proceedings;
- (ll) “**Unregistered Noteholder**” means a Noteholder whose name does not appear on any Registered Noteholder List;
- (mm) “**Unresolved Claim**” means an Affected Creditor Claim in respect of which a Proof of Claim has been filed in a proper and timely manner in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order but that, as at any applicable time, has not been (i) determined to be a Voting Claim or (ii) finally disallowed;
- (nn) “**Voting Claim**” means an Affected Creditor Claim to the extent that such Affected Creditor Claim has been accepted by the Monitor solely for purpose of voting on the Plan (which acceptance for the purpose of voting shall have no effect on whether such Claim is a Proven Claim for purposes of the Plan), in each case in accordance with the provisions of the Claims Procedure Order, this Meeting Order or any other Order, as applicable;
- (oo) “**Voting Record Date**” means the date of this Meeting Order; and
- (pp) “**Website**” means the website maintained by the Monitor in respect of the CCAA proceedings pursuant to the Initial Order at the following web address: <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc/>.

7. THIS COURT ORDERS that all references to time herein shall mean local time in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, and any reference to an event occurring on a Business Day shall mean prior to 5:00 P.M. on such Business Day unless otherwise indicated herein.

8. THIS COURT ORDERS that all references to the word “including” shall mean “including without limitation”.

9. THIS COURT ORDERS that, unless the context otherwise requires, words importing the singular shall include the plural and *vice versa*, and words importing any gender shall include all genders.

THE PLAN

10. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Plan is hereby accepted for filing, and the Applicant is hereby authorized and directed to call and hold a meeting of Affected Creditors to vote on the Plan in the manner set forth herein.

11. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant may, at any time and from time to time prior to or at the Meeting, amend, restate, modify and/or supplement the Plan, subject to the terms of the Plan, provided that: (i) the Monitor, the Applicant or the Chair shall communicate the details of any such amendments, restatements, modifications and/or supplements to Affected Creditors present at the Meeting prior to any vote being taken at the Meeting; (ii) the Applicant shall forthwith provide notice to the service list of any such amendments, restatements, modifications and/or supplements and shall file a copy thereof with this Court forthwith and in any event prior to the Sanction Hearing; and (iii) the Monitor shall post an electronic copy of any such amendments, restatements, modifications and/or supplements on the Website forthwith and in any event prior to the Sanction Hearing.

12. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall serve and file the Plan Supplement, and the Monitor shall post the Plan Supplement on the Website, no later than seven (7) days prior to the Meeting. Thereafter, the Applicant may, at any time and from time to time prior to or at the Meeting, amend, restate, modify and/or supplement the Plan Supplement, subject to the terms of the Plan, provided that: (i) the Monitor, the Applicant or the Chair shall communicate the details of any such amendments, restatements, modifications and/or supplements to Affected Creditors

present at the Meeting prior to any vote being taken at the Meeting; (ii) the Applicant shall forthwith provide notice to the service list of any such amendments, restatements, modifications and/or supplements and shall file a copy thereof with this Court forthwith and in any event prior to the Sanction Hearing; and (iii) the Monitor shall post an electronic copy of any such amendments, restatements, modifications and/or supplements on the Website forthwith and in any event prior to the Sanction Hearing.

FORMS OF DOCUMENTS

13. THIS COURT ORDERS that the forms of Information Circular, Notice to Affected Creditors, Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy, Noteholders' Proxy, Instructions to Ordinary Affected Creditors, Instructions to Registered Noteholders, Instructions to Unregistered Noteholders and Instructions to Participant Holders are hereby approved. The Applicant, with the consent of the Monitor, may (x) make any changes to such materials as are necessary or desirable to conform the content thereof to the terms of the Plan or this Meeting Order, and (y) at any time and from time to time prior to or at the Meeting, amend, restate, modify and/or supplement any of such materials, subject to the terms of the Plan, provided that: (i) the Monitor, the Applicant or the Chair shall communicate the details of any such amendments, restatements, modifications and/or supplements to Affected Creditors present at the Meeting prior to any vote being taken at the Meeting; (ii) the Applicant shall forthwith provide notice to the service list of any such amendments, restatements, modifications and/or supplements and shall file a copy thereof with this Court forthwith and in any event prior to the Sanction Hearing; and (iii) the Monitor shall post an electronic copy of any such amendments, restatements, modifications and/or supplements on the Website forthwith and in any event prior to the Sanction Hearing.

CLASSIFICATION OF CREDITORS

14. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Affected Creditors shall constitute a single class, the "**Affected Creditors Class**", for the purposes of considering and voting on the Plan.

15. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Equity Claimants shall constitute a single class, separate from the Affected Creditors Class, but shall not, and shall have no right to, attend the Meeting or vote on the Plan.

16. THIS COURT ORDERS that, for greater certainty, (i) the class action plaintiffs in respect of their Shareholder Claims and the Third Party Defendants in respect of their Related Indemnity Claims (as such terms are defined in the Equity Claims Order), which shall consist of the entirety of the Third Party Defendants' Claims (other than Class Action Indemnity Claims in respect of Indemnified Noteholder Class Action Claims and any Defence Costs Claims that have not been determined to be Equity Claims), shall constitute "Equity Claimants" for purposes of this Meeting Order, (ii) that the terms of the Equity Claims Order and this Meeting Order constitute the determination of such Claims as "Equity Claims" for purposes of the Claims Procedure Order, and (iii) that the Monitor shall not be required to send Notices of Revision or Disallowance in respect of such Claims.

NOTICE TO ORDINARY AFFECTED CREDITORS

17. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall, no later than three (3) Business Days following the date of this Meeting Order, post an electronic copy of the Notice to Affected Creditors, the Plan and the Information Circular on the Website.

18. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall, on the Mailing Date, deliver the Ordinary Affected Creditor Meeting Materials by courier, personal delivery or email to each Ordinary Affected Creditor with a Voting Claim and/or an Unresolved Claim at the address set out in such Ordinary Affected Creditor's Proof of Claim (or in any other written notice that has been received by the Monitor in advance of such date regarding a change of address for an Ordinary Affected Creditor).

NOTICE TO NOTEHOLDERS

19. THIS COURT ORDERS that, no later than three (3) Business Days following the date of this Meeting Order, the Monitor shall post an electronic copy of the Notice to Affected Creditors, the Plan and the Information Circular on the Website.

20. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall, on the Mailing Date, deliver the Noteholder Meeting Materials by courier, personal delivery or email to the Trustees and DTC.

21. THIS COURT ORDERS that, no later than five (5) days following the date of this Meeting Order, each of the Trustees shall provide to the Applicant and the Monitor a Registered Noteholder List for each series of Notes in respect of which such Trustee acts as trustee, each of which Registered Noteholder Lists shall list the Registered Noteholders of the applicable series of Notes as at the Voting Record Date and their respective addresses, telephone numbers, fax numbers and email addresses, to the extent available.

22. THIS COURT ORDERS that, forthwith upon receipt by the Monitor of the Registered Noteholder Lists, the Monitor shall promptly send the Noteholder Meeting Materials to each Person listed on the Registered Noteholder List.

23. THIS COURT ORDERS that: (i) no later than five (5) days following the date of this Meeting Order, DTC shall provide to the Applicant and the Monitor a Participant Holders List in respect of the Notes; and (ii) forthwith upon receipt from the Monitor of the Noteholder Meeting Materials, any other Registered Noteholder (if any) who holds Notes on behalf of one or more Participant Holders shall provide to the Applicant and the Monitor a Participant Holders List in respect of the Notes. In each case the Participant Holder List so provided shall list the Participant Holders as at the Voting Record Date and their respective addresses and telephone numbers, fax numbers and email addresses, to the extent available.

24. THIS COURT ORDERS that, upon receipt by the Monitor of the Participant Holders Lists, the Monitor shall contact each Participant Holder listed thereon to determine the number of copies of the Noteholder Meeting Materials such Participant Holder requires in order to provide one copy of the Noteholder Meeting Materials to each of its customers or principals who are Unregistered Noteholders as at the Voting Record Date, and each Participant Holder shall provide the Monitor with a response as to the number of copies of the Noteholder Meeting Materials required within two (2) Business Days of being so contacted by the Monitor.

25. THIS COURT ORDERS that, as soon as reasonably possible following the Monitor's receipt from any Participant Holder of the information referred to in paragraph 24, the Monitor shall deliver by courier, personal delivery or email to such Participant Holder a copy of the Instructions to Participant Holders together with that number of copies of the Noteholder

Meeting Materials required by such Participant Holder for distribution to the Unregistered Noteholders that are its customers or principals.

26. THIS COURT ORDERS that, within five (5) days of any Participant Holder's receipt of the Noteholder Meeting Materials from the Monitor pursuant to paragraph 25, such Participant Holder shall: (i) complete and sign the applicable section of the Noteholders' Proxy relating to Participant Holders for each Unregistered Noteholder that has an account (directly or through an agent or custodian) with such Participant Holder; and (ii) deliver by courier or personal delivery to each such Unregistered Noteholder the Noteholders' Proxy as so completed and signed together with one copy of the Noteholder Meeting Materials. Each Participant Holder shall take any other action reasonably required to enable any Unregistered Noteholder that has an account (directly or through an agent or custodian) with such Participant Holder to provide a Noteholders' Proxy to the Monitor with respect to the Notes owned by or held for the benefit of such Unregistered Noteholder.

27. THIS COURT ORDERS that where: (i) a Participant Holder or its agent has a standard practice for distribution of meeting materials to Unregistered Noteholders and for the gathering of information and proxies or voting instructions from Unregistered Noteholders; (ii) the Participant Holder has discussed such standard practice in advance with the Applicant, the Monitor and counsel to the Initial Consenting Noteholders; and (iii) such standard practice is acceptable to the Applicant, the Monitor and counsel to the Initial Consenting Noteholders, such Participant Holder or its agent may, in lieu of following the procedure set out in paragraph 26 above, follow such standard practice provided that all applicable proxies or voting instructions are received by the Monitor no later than 5:00 P.M. on the third Business Day before the Meeting.

NOTICE, SERVICE AND DELIVERY

28. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor's fulfillment of the notice, delivery and Website posting requirements set out in this Meeting Order shall constitute good and sufficient notice, service and delivery thereof on all Persons who may be entitled to receive notice, service or delivery thereof or who may wish to be present or vote (in person or by proxy) at the Meeting,

and that no other form of notice, service or delivery need be given or made on such Persons and no other document or material need be served on such Persons.

CONDUCT OF MEETING AND DELIVERY OF PROXIES

29. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant is hereby authorized and directed to call the Meeting and to hold and conduct the Meeting on the Meeting Date at the offices of Bennett Jones LLP, 3400 One First Canadian Place, Toronto, Ontario, for the purpose of seeking approval of the Plan by the Affected Creditors with Voting Claims at the Meeting in the manner set forth herein. In the event that the Meeting Date is extended after the Mailing Date, the Monitor shall post notice of the extension of the Meeting Date on the Website and provide notice of the extension of the Meeting Date to the service list.

30. THIS COURT ORDERS that Greg Watson or another representative of the Monitor, designated by the Monitor, shall preside as the chair of the Meeting (the “**Chair**”) and, subject to this Meeting Order or any further Order of the Court, shall decide all matters relating to the conduct of the Meeting.

31. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor may appoint scrutineers for the supervision and tabulation of the attendance at, quorum at and votes cast at the Meeting (the “**Scrutineers**”). A person designated by the Monitor shall act as secretary of the Meeting (the “**Secretary**”).

32. THIS COURT ORDERS that the quorum required at the Meeting shall be one Affected Creditor with a Voting Claim present at the Meeting (in person or by proxy).

33. THIS COURT ORDERS that if the requisite quorum is not present at the Meeting, or if the Meeting is postponed by the vote of a majority in value of Voting Claims of the Affected Creditors present at the Meeting (in person or by proxy), then the Meeting shall be adjourned by the Chair to a later date, time and place as designated by the Chair. The Chair shall be entitled to adjourn and further adjourn the Meeting at the Meeting or at any adjourned Meeting. Any adjournment or adjournments described in this paragraph 33 shall be for a period of not more than thirty (30) days in total unless otherwise agreed to by the Applicant, the Monitor and counsel to the Initial Consenting Noteholders. In the event of any adjournment described in this paragraph 33, no Person shall be required to deliver any notice of the adjournment of the

Meeting or adjourned Meeting, provided that the Monitor shall: (i) announce the adjournment at the Meeting or adjourned Meeting, as applicable; (ii) post notice of the adjournment at the originally designated time and location of the Meeting or adjourned Meeting, as applicable; (iii) forthwith post notice of the adjournment on the Website; and (iv) provide notice of the adjournment to the service list forthwith. Any Ordinary Affected Creditor Proxies and Noteholder Proxies validly delivered in connection with the Meeting shall be accepted as proxies in respect of any adjourned Meeting.

34. THIS COURT ORDERS that the only Persons entitled to attend and speak at the Meeting are: (i) the Affected Creditors entitled to vote at the Meeting (or, if applicable, any Person holding a valid Ordinary Creditors' Proxy or Noteholders' Proxy on behalf of one or more such Affected Creditors) and any such Affected Creditor's or valid proxyholder's legal counsel and financial advisors; (ii) the Chair, the Scrutineers and the Secretary; (iii) one or more representatives of the Monitor and the Monitor's legal counsel; (iv) one or more representatives of the current board of directors and/or senior management of Applicant, as selected by the Applicant, and the Applicant's legal counsel and financial advisors; (v) counsel to the Directors and Officers; (vi) one or more representatives of the Initial Consenting Noteholders and the Initial Consenting Noteholders' legal counsel and financial advisors; and (vii) the Trustees and their respective legal counsel. Any other person may be admitted to the Meeting on invitation of the Chair.

35. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor may, with the consent of the Applicant, waive in writing the time limits imposed on Affected Creditors as set out in this Meeting Order (including the schedules hereto), generally or in individual circumstances, if the Monitor deems it advisable to do so.

ASSIGNMENT OF AFFECTED CLAIMS PRIOR TO THE MEETING

36. THIS COURT ORDERS that, subject to any restrictions contained in Applicable Laws, an Ordinary Affected Creditor may transfer or assign the whole of its Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim prior to the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof), provided that neither the Applicant nor the Monitor shall be obliged to deal with any transferee or assignee thereof as an Ordinary Affected Creditor in respect of such Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim, including allowing such

transferee or assignee to attend or vote at the Meeting, unless and until actual notice of the transfer or assignment, together with satisfactory evidence of such transfer or assignment, has been received and acknowledged by the Applicant and the Monitor, which receipt and acknowledgment must have occurred on or before 5 p.m. (Toronto time) on the date that is seven (7) days prior to the date of the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof), failing which the original transferor shall have all applicable rights as the “Ordinary Affected Creditor” with respect to such Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim as if no transfer of the Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim had occurred. If such receipt and acknowledgment by the Applicant and the Monitor have occurred on or before 5 p.m. (Toronto time) on the date that is seven (7) days prior to the date of the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof): (i) the transferor of the applicable Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim shall no longer constitute an Ordinary Affected Creditor in respect of such Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim; and (ii) the transferee or assignee of the applicable Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim shall, for all purposes in accordance with this Meeting Order, constitute an Ordinary Affected Creditor in respect of such Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim and shall be bound by any and all notices previously given to the transferor or assignor in respect thereof and shall be bound by any Ordinary Creditors’ Proxy duly submitted to the Monitor in accordance with this Meeting Order. For greater certainty, the Applicant and the Monitor shall not recognize partial transfers or assignments of Ordinary Affected Creditor Claims.

37. THIS COURT ORDERS that only those Beneficial Noteholders that have beneficial ownership of one or more Notes as at the Voting Record Date shall be entitled to vote at the Meeting (whether in person or by proxy). Nothing in this Meeting Order restricts the Beneficial Noteholders from transferring or assigning such Notes prior to or after the Voting Record Date, provided that if such transfer or assignment occurs after the Voting Record Date, only the original Beneficial Noteholder of such Notes as at the Voting Record Date (and not any transferee) shall be treated as a Beneficial Noteholder for purposes of this Meeting Order and the Meeting.

VOTING PROCEDURE

38. THIS COURT ORDERS that at the Meeting, the Chair shall direct a vote, by written ballot, on a resolution to approve the Plan and any amendments thereto.

39. THIS COURT ORDERS that, subject to paragraph 49, the only Persons entitled to vote at the Meeting (whether in person or by proxy) are: (i) Beneficial Noteholders with Voting Claims that have beneficial ownership of one or more Notes as at the Voting Record Date (or any such Beneficial Noteholder's validly appointed holder of its Noteholders' Proxy); and (ii) Ordinary Affected Creditors with Voting Claims as at the Voting Record Date (which, for greater certainty, includes any transferee of an Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim that is a Voting Claim, provided that such transferee has been recognized as an Ordinary Affected Creditor in respect of such transferred Ordinary Affected Creditor Claim in accordance with paragraph 36) (or any such Ordinary Affected Creditor's validly appointed holder of its Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy).

40. THIS COURT ORDERS that each Ordinary Affected Creditor with a Voting Claim shall be entitled to one vote as a member of the Affected Creditors Class, which vote shall have a value equal to the dollar value of such Ordinary Affected Creditor's Voting Claim.

41. THIS COURT ORDERS that each Beneficial Noteholder with a Voting Claim shall be entitled to one vote as a member of the Affected Creditors' Class, which vote shall have a value equal to the principal and Accrued Interest owing under the Notes owned by such Beneficial Noteholder as at the Voting Record Date. For greater certainty, with respect to voting by Beneficial Noteholders, only the Beneficial Noteholders, and not Registered Noteholders or Participant Holders (unless any such Registered Noteholder or Participant Noteholder is itself a Beneficial Noteholder), shall be entitled to vote on the Plan as provided for in this Meeting Order.

42. THIS COURT ORDERS that for the purpose of calculating the two-thirds majority in value of Voting Claims, the aggregate amount of Voting Claims held by all Affected Creditors that vote in favour of the Plan (in person or by proxy) shall be divided by the aggregate amount of all Voting Claims held by all Affected Creditors that vote on the Plan (in person or by proxy). For the purpose of calculating a majority in number of Affected Creditors voting on the Plan, (i) each Ordinary Affected Creditor that votes on the Plan (in person or by proxy) shall only be counted once, without duplication; and (ii) each individual Beneficial Noteholder that votes on the Plan (in person or by proxy) shall only be counted once, without duplication, even if that

Beneficial Noteholder holds Notes through more than one Registered Noteholder or Participant Holder.

43. THIS COURT ORDERS that, for purposes of tabulating the votes cast on any matter that may come before the Meeting, the Chair shall be entitled to rely on any vote cast by a holder of an Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy and/or a Noteholders' Proxy that has been duly submitted to the Monitor in the manner set forth in this Meeting Order.

44. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Ordinary Affected Creditor or Beneficial Noteholder that is entitled to vote at the Meeting and that wishes to vote at the Meeting in person must: (i) duly complete and sign an Ordinary Creditors' Proxy or a Noteholders' Proxy, as applicable; (ii) identify itself in the Ordinary Creditors' Proxy or a Noteholders' Proxy, as applicable, as the Person with the power to attend and vote at the Meeting on behalf of such Ordinary Affected Creditor or Beneficial Noteholder, as the case may be; and (iii) deliver such Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy or Noteholders' Proxy, as the case may be, to the Monitor so that it is received on or before 5:00 p.m. on the third Business Day before the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof), and such delivery must be made in accordance with the instructions accompanying such Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy or Noteholders' Proxy.

45. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Ordinary Affected Creditor or Beneficial Noteholder that is entitled to vote at the Meeting and that wishes to appoint a nominee to vote on its behalf at the Meeting must: (i) duly complete and sign an Ordinary Creditors' Proxy or a Noteholders' Proxy, as applicable; (ii) identify its desired nominee in the Ordinary Creditors' Proxy or a Noteholders' Proxy, as applicable, as the Person with the power to attend and vote at the Meeting on behalf of such Ordinary Affected Creditor or Beneficial Noteholder, as the case may be; and (iii) deliver such Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy or Noteholders' Proxy, as the case may be, to the Monitor so that it is received on or before 5:00 p.m. on the third Business Day before the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof), and such delivery must be made in accordance with the instructions accompanying such Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy or Noteholders' Proxy.

46. THIS COURT ORDERS that, in order to be effective, any Noteholders' Proxy must clearly state the name and contain the signature of the applicable Participant Holder, the applicable account number or numbers of the account or accounts maintained by the applicable

Beneficial Noteholder with such Participant Holder, and the principal amount of Notes (excluding any pre-or post-filing interest) that such Beneficial Noteholder holds in each such account or accounts. Where a Beneficial Noteholder holds Notes through more than one Participant Holder, its Noteholders' Proxy is required to be executed by only one of those Participant Holders, provided that the Beneficial Noteholder shall provide the information required in its Noteholders' Proxy with respect to its Notes held with all Participant Holders to allow the Monitor to verify the aggregate amount of Notes held by such Beneficial Noteholder for the purposes of voting on the Plan.

47. THIS COURT ORDERS that notwithstanding anything in paragraphs 44, 45 or 46 or any minor error or omission in any Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy or Noteholders' Proxy that is submitted to the Monitor, the Chair shall have the discretion to accept for voting purposes any Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy or Noteholders' Proxy submitted to the Monitor in accordance with the Meeting Order.

48. THIS COURT ORDERS that if there is any dispute as to the principal amount or number of Notes held by any Beneficial Noteholder, the Monitor will request the Participant Holder, if any, who maintains book entry records or other records evidencing such Beneficial Noteholder's ownership of Notes, to confirm with the Monitor the information provided by such Beneficial Noteholder. If any such dispute is not resolved by such Beneficial Noteholder and the Monitor by the date of the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof), the Monitor shall tabulate the vote for or against the Plan in respect of the disputed principal amount of such Beneficial Noteholder's Notes separately. If: (i) any such dispute remains unresolved as of the date of the Sanction Hearing; and (ii) the approval or non-approval of the Plan would be affected by the votes cast in respect of such disputed principal amount of Notes, then such result shall be reported to the Court at the Sanction Hearing and, if necessary, the Monitor may make a request to the Court for directions.

VOTING OF UNRESOLVED CLAIMS

49. THIS COURT ORDERS that notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein or in the Plan, each Affected Creditor with an Unresolved Claim as at the Voting Record Date shall be entitled to attend the Meeting and shall be entitled to one vote at the Meeting in respect of such

Unresolved Claim. Any vote cast in respect of an Unresolved Claim shall be dealt with in accordance with paragraph 50, unless and until (and then only to the extent that) such Unresolved Claim is ultimately determined to be: (i) a Voting Claim, in which case such vote shall have the dollar value attributable to such Voting Claim; or (ii) disallowed, in which case such vote shall not be counted for any purpose.

50. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall keep a separate record of votes cast by Affected Creditors with Unresolved Claims and shall report to the Court with respect thereto at the Sanction Hearing. If approval or non-approval of the Plan by Affected Creditors would be altered by the votes cast in respect of Unresolved Claims: (i) such result shall be reported to the Court as soon as reasonably practicable after the Meeting; (ii) if a deferral of the Sanction Hearing is deemed to be necessary or advisable by the Monitor (in consultation with the Applicant and counsel to the Initial Consenting Noteholders), the Monitor shall request an appropriate deferral of the Sanction Hearing; and (iii) the Monitor may make a request to the Court for directions.

51. THIS COURT ORDERS that each of the Third Party Defendants shall be entitled to one vote as a member of the Affected Creditors Class in respect of any Class Action Indemnity Claim that it has properly filed in respect of the Indemnified Noteholder Class Action Claims, provided that the aggregate value of all such Class Action Indemnity Claims shall, for voting purposes, be deemed to be equal to the amount of the Indemnified Noteholder Class Action Limit. The Monitor shall keep a separate record of votes cast by the Third Party Defendants in respect of such Class Action Indemnity Claims, and the Monitor shall report to the Court with respect thereto at the Sanction Hearing, including as to whether or not a vote in favour of the Plan or against the Plan in the amount of the Indemnified Noteholder Class Action Limit would have had any effect on the approval of the Plan by the Required Majority.

52. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant and the Monitor shall have the right to seek the assistance of the Court at any time in valuing any Unresolved Claim if required to ascertain the result of any vote on the Plan.

53. THIS COURT ORDERS that, pursuant to the Order of this Court dated July 27, 2012 in these proceedings, any Claims that have been properly filed by any of the Third Party

Defendants against the Applicant in respect of defence costs incurred or to be incurred by the Third Party Defendants in connection with defending themselves against the Shareholder Claims (“**Defence Costs Claims**”) shall be treated as Unresolved Claims for purposes of this Meeting Order and voting at the Meeting.

PERSONS NOT ENTITLED TO VOTE

54. THIS COURT ORDERS that, for greater certainty, the following Persons, in such capacity, shall have no right to, and shall not, vote at the Meeting: Unaffected Creditors; Noteholder Class Action Claimants; Equity Claimants; any Person with a D&O Claim, any Person with a D&O Indemnity Claim (other than a D&O Indemnity Claim in respect of Defence Costs Claims or in respect of the Indemnified Noteholder Class Action Claims); any Person with a Subsidiary Intercompany Claim; and any other Person asserting Claims against the Applicant whose Claims do not constitute Affected Creditor Claims on the Voting Record Date.

CLAIMS OF THE ONTARIO SECURITIES COMMISSION

55. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Claims Procedure Order is hereby amended such that sub-paragraph 2(ii)(v) is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

“(v) any investigations or non-monetary rights, claims or remedies of the Ontario Securities Commission;”.

In accordance with the foregoing, all rights or claims of the Ontario Securities Commission against the Applicant or the Directors or Officers of SFC that have or could give rise to a monetary administrative or other monetary penalty or claim (“**OSC Monetary Claims**”) shall be treated as “Claims” or “D&O Claims”, as applicable, under the Claims Procedure Order. With respect to the OSC Monetary Claims only, the “Claims Bar Date” referred to in the Claims Procedure Order shall be deemed to be September 21, 2012. Subject to this paragraph, the Claims Procedure Order and all provisions thereof shall apply unamended.

56. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall, no later than three (3) Business Days following the receipt of a Proof of Claim from the Ontario Securities Commission, deliver the

Ordinary Affected Creditor Meeting Materials by courier, personal delivery or email to the Ontario Securities Commission at the address set out in any such Proof of Claim.

RESTRUCTURING CLAIMS

57. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall, no later than three (3) Business Days following the receipt of a Proof of Claim from any Person asserting a Restructuring Claim, deliver the Ordinary Affected Creditor Meeting Materials by courier, personal delivery or email to such Person at the address set out in any such Proof of Claim.

APPROVAL OF THE PLAN

58. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Plan must receive an affirmative vote of the Required Majority in order to be approved by the Affected Creditors.

59. THIS COURT ORDERS that the result of any vote at the Meeting shall be binding on all Affected Creditors, regardless of whether such Affected Creditor was present at or voted at the Meeting.

PLAN SANCTION

60. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall report to the Court the results of any votes taken at the Meeting as soon as reasonably practicable after the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof). If the Plan is approved by the Required Majority, the Applicant may apply to the Court at 10:00 A.M. on the Sanction Hearing Date for the Sanction Order (the "**Sanction Hearing**").

61. THIS COURT ORDERS that service of this Meeting Order by the Monitor or the Applicant to the parties on the service list shall constitute good and sufficient service of notice of the Sanction Hearing on all Persons entitled to receive such service and no other form of notice or service need be made and no other materials need be served in respect of the Sanction Hearing, except that any party shall also serve the service list with any additional materials that it intends to use in support of the Sanction Hearing.

62. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Person who wishes to oppose the Sanction Hearing shall serve on the Applicant, the Monitor and the service list a notice setting out the basis for such opposition and a copy of the materials to be used to oppose the Sanction Hearing at least seven (7) days before the date set for the Sanction Hearing.

MISCELLANEOUS

63. THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing in this Meeting Order (including the acceptance or determination of any Claim, or any part thereof, as a Voting Claim in accordance with this Meeting Order) has the effect of determining Proven Claims for purposes of the Plan.

64. THIS COURT ORDERS that, for the purposes of this Meeting Order (including the calculation of the Required Majority), all Affected Creditor Claims shall be deemed to be denominated in Canadian dollars and any Affected Creditor Claims denominated in a foreign currency shall be deemed to be converted to Canadian dollars at the Bank of Canada noon exchange rate in effect at the Filing Date.

65. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant or the Monitor may from time to time apply to this Court for advice and directions in the discharge of their powers and duties hereunder.

SCHEDULE "A"

NOTICE TO AFFECTED CREDITORS OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a plan of compromise and reorganization (as amended from time to time, the "**Plan**") has been filed with the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) (the "**Court**") in respect of Sino-Forest Corporation (the "**Applicant**") pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "**CCAA**").

A copy of the Plan is set out as a schedule to the information circular dated ● (the "**Circular**") for the Meeting (as defined below).

NOTICE IS ALSO HEREBY GIVEN that a meeting of Affected Creditors (the "**Meeting**") will be held at 10:00 a.m. on ●, 2012 (or such other date as may be set and announced in accordance with the Meeting Order) at the offices of Bennett Jones LLP, 3400 One First Canadian Place, Toronto, Ontario, for the purpose of considering and, if thought advisable, passing, with or without variation, a resolution to approve the Plan (the full text of which resolution is set out as a schedule to the Circular) and to transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof). The Meeting is being held pursuant to the Order of the Court made on ● (the "**Meeting Order**"). A copy of the Meeting Order is set out as a schedule to the Circular. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this notice have the meaning ascribed to them in the Meeting Order.

The Plan must receive an affirmative vote of the Required Majority in order to be approved by the Affected Creditors. The Required Majority is a majority in number of Affected Creditors with Voting Claims, and two-thirds in value of the Voting Claims held by such Affected Creditors, in each case who vote (in person or by proxy) on the Plan at the Meeting. The Plan must also be sanctioned by a final order of the Court (the "**Sanction Order**") pursuant to the CCAA. Notice is also hereby given that, if the Plan is approved by the Required Majority at the Meeting, the Sanction Order will be sought in an application before the Court at 10:00 a.m. on ●, 2012 (or such other date after the Meeting as may be set by the Court), to seek approval of the Plan. If the Plan is approved by the Requisite Majority and sanctioned by the Court, then, subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to implementation of the Plan, all Persons

referred to in the Plan (including the Affected Creditors) will receive the treatment set out in the Plan.

AMENDMENTS TO THE PLAN

The Applicant may, at any time and from time to time prior to or at the Meeting, amend, restate, modify and/or supplement the Plan, subject to the terms of the Plan, provided that: (i) the Monitor, the Applicant or the Chair shall communicate the details of any such amendment, restatement and/or supplement to all Affected Creditors present at the Meeting prior to any vote being taken at the Meeting; (ii) the Applicant shall provide notice to the service list of any such amendment, restatement and/or supplement and shall file a copy thereof with this Court forthwith and in any event prior to the Sanction Hearing; and (iii) the Monitor shall post an electronic copy of any such amendment, restatement and/or supplement on the Website forthwith and in any event prior to the Sanction Hearing.

COMPLETION OF PROXIES

Any Affected Creditor who is entitled to vote at the Meeting and that wishes to vote at the Meeting must complete, sign and return the applicable form of proxy enclosed in the Circular in the return envelope provided or by fax at the fax number below or by email in PDF format at the email address below. In order to be effective, a proxy must be deposited with the Monitor, at the address, fax or email below, at any time prior to 5:00 p.m. on the third Business Day before the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof).

The Monitor's contact information for the purpose of filing forms of proxy and for obtaining any additional information or materials related to the Meeting is:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West, Suite 2010
P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Email: sfc@fticonsulting.com
Fax: (416) 649-8101

This notice is given by the Monitor pursuant to the Meeting Order.

You can also view copies of documents relating to this process on the following website
<http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc/>.

Dated at Toronto, Ontario this ● day of ●, 2012.

SCHEDULE “B”

INSTRUCTIONS TO PARTICIPANT HOLDERS

URGENT – IMMEDIATE ACTION REQUIRED

●, 2012

TO: PARTICIPANT HOLDERS OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION’S:

- (i) **US\$345,000,000 5.00% CONVERTIBLE SENIOR NOTES DUE 2013 (Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAB7/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AB8);**
- (ii) **US\$399,517,000 10.25% GUARANTEED SENIOR NOTES DUE 2014 (Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAC5/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AC6);**
- (iii) **US\$460,000,000 4.25% CONVERTIBLE SENIOR NOTES DUE 2016 (Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAD3/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AD4); and**
- (iv) **US\$600,000,000 6.25% GUARANTEED SENIOR NOTES DUE 2017 Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAF8/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AF9),**

(collectively, the “Notes”)

Re: Meeting of Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation to vote on the Plan of Compromise and Reorganization pursuant to the *Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act* (the “Plan”)

According to the records of The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) or the applicable note indenture trustee, you are the holder or custodian (the “Participant Holder”) on behalf of an unregistered holder of one or more of the Notes (an “Unregistered Noteholder”). You (or your agent) are required by paragraph 26 of the enclosed Court Order (the “Meeting Order”) to complete and sign the applicable part of an enclosed Noteholders’ Proxy (the box on page 2) for each Unregistered Noteholder for whom you act as Participant Holder and to mail it directly to each such applicable Unregistered Noteholder **within five (5) days**.

We enclose Noteholder Meeting Materials to be forwarded by you or your agent (together with an appropriately completed and signed Noteholders' Proxy) to each of the Unregistered Noteholders recorded in your account records or book entry records. We enclose one additional copy of these materials for your use. **THE MATERIALS ARE TIME SENSITIVE AND MUST BE FORWARDED TO EACH OF THE UNREGISTERED NOTEHOLDERS TOGETHER WITH THE NOTEHOLDERS' PROXY COMPLETED BY YOU FOR THAT UNREGISTERED NOTEHOLDER WITHOUT DELAY.**

THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF ALL NOTEHOLDER CLAIMS HAS BEEN FILED BY THE NOTE INDENTURE TRUSTEES. THEREFORE YOU DO NOT HAVE TO PROVIDE A PROOF OF CLAIM.

The Noteholders' Proxy is to be completed and signed by you or your agent and by the Unregistered Noteholder and is to be provided by the Unregistered Noteholder directly to Sino-Forest's Monitor, FTI Consulting Canada Inc., in the enclosed envelope or by facsimile transmission or email.

PLEASE INSTRUCT UNREGISTERED NOTEHOLDERS TO DELIVER THEIR PROXIES DIRECTLY TO FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS TO UNREGISTERED NOTEHOLDERS. PROXIES MUST BE RECEIVED BY FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC. PRIOR TO THE DEADLINE OF 5:00 P.M. ON THE THIRD BUSINESS DAY BEFORE THE MEETING (OR ANY ADJOURNMENT THEREOF).

Before sending the Noteholders' Proxy and the other materials to an Unregistered Noteholder, please:

1. insert in the Noteholders' Proxy in the appropriate spaces (in the box on page 2) the name of the applicable Unregistered Noteholder, your organization's name as Participant Holder, the applicable account number and the principal amount of the Notes held in such account; and
2. sign the Noteholders' Proxy as Participant Holder where indicated.

We request that you provide any assistance that an Unregistered Noteholder may require in completing its Noteholders' Proxy. You are required by the Meeting Order to complete and forward such Noteholders' Proxies and the other materials to the applicable Unregistered Noteholders as specified in these instructions.

If you have a standard practice for distribution of meeting materials to Unregistered Noteholders and for the gathering of information and proxies or voting instructions from Unregistered Noteholders that differs from the process described above, **please contact the Monitor immediately** to determine whether you are able to use such standard practice as an alternative to the process described above.

If you have any questions regarding your obligations or the process, or require additional copies of any materials, please contact the Monitor at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., the Court-appointed
Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West, Suite 2010
P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Email: sfc@fticonsulting.com
Fax: (416) 649-8101

You can also view copies of documents relating to this process on the following website <http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/sfc/>.

SCHEDULE "C"

INSTRUCTIONS TO ORDINARY AFFECTED CREDITORS

URGENT – IMMEDIATE ACTION REQUIRED

●, 2012

TO: ORDINARY AFFECTED CREDITORS OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

Re: Meeting of Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation to vote on the Plan of Compromise and Reorganization pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "Plan")

We enclose in this package the following documents for your review and consideration:

1. Notice to Affected Creditors;
2. the Plan proposed in respect of Sino-Forest Corporation;
3. an Information Circular in respect of Sino-Forest Corporation and the Plan;
4. copy of the Meeting Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice dated ● (the "**Meeting Order**"); and
5. blank form of Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy, completion instructions and a return envelope.

The purpose of these materials is to enable you to consider the Plan and vote to accept or reject the resolution to approve the Plan at the Meeting of Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation to be held at 10:00 a.m. on ●, 2012 (or such other date as may be set and announced in accordance with the Meeting Order) at the offices of Bennett Jones LLP, 3400 One First Canadian Place, Toronto, Ontario (the "**Meeting**").

PROXIES

Ordinary Affected Creditors who wish to vote at the Meeting must complete the enclosed Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy and provide it to the Monitor, using the enclosed envelope, or by sending it to the Monitor by facsimile transmission at the fax number noted below or by email (in PDF format) at the email address below, so that it is received by the Monitor no later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the third Business Day before the Meeting (or any adjournment thereof). Any Ordinary Affected Creditor must provide the Ordinary Affected Creditors' Proxy to the Monitor by this deadline to vote at the Meeting of Affected Creditors.

FURTHER INFORMATION

If you have any questions regarding the process or any of the enclosed forms, please contact FTI Consulting Canada Inc. at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., the Court-appointed
Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West, Suite 2010
P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Email: sfc@fticonsulting.com
Fax: (416) 649-8101

You can also view copies of documents relating to this process on the following website
<http://cfcanda.fticonsulting.com/sfc/>.

SCHEDULE "D"

INSTRUCTIONS TO REGISTERED NOTEHOLDERS

URGENT – IMMEDIATE ACTION REQUIRED

●, 2012

TO: REGISTERED HOLDERS OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION'S:

- (i) **US\$345,000,000 5.00% CONVERTIBLE SENIOR NOTES DUE 2013 (Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAB7/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AB8);**
- (ii) **US\$399,517,000 10.25% GUARANTEED SENIOR NOTES DUE 2014 (Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAC5/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AC6);**
- (iii) **US\$460,000,000 4.25% CONVERTIBLE SENIOR NOTES DUE 2016 (Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAD3/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AD4); and**
- (iv) **US\$600,000,000 6.25% GUARANTEED SENIOR NOTES DUE 2017 Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAF8/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AF9),**

(collectively, the "Notes")

Re: Meeting of Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation to vote on the Plan of Compromise and Reorganization pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "Plan")

We enclose in this package the following documents for your review and consideration:

1. Notice to Affected Creditors;
2. the Plan proposed in respect of Sino-Forest Corporation;
3. an Information Circular with respect to Sino-Forest Corporation and the Plan;

4. copy of the Meeting Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice dated ● (the “**Meeting Order**”); and
5. blank form of Noteholders’ Proxy, completion instructions and return envelope.

The purpose of these materials is to provide you with the documents required for dissemination to Beneficial Noteholders to enable Beneficial Noteholders to consider the Plan and to cast their vote to accept or reject the resolution to approve the Plan at the meeting of the Affected Creditors to be held at 10:00 a.m. on ●, 2012 (or such other date as may be set and announced in accordance with the Meeting Order) at the offices of Bennett Jones LLP, 3400 One First Canadian Place, Toronto, Ontario (the “**Meeting**”).

IF YOU HOLD NOTES FOR ANOTHER PERSON PROXIES ARE TO BE FILED ONLY BY BENEFICIAL NOTEHOLDERS. IF YOU ARE A TRUST COMPANY, DEPOSITORY, A BROKER, A BOOK ENTRY SYSTEM, AN AGENT, A CUSTODIAN OR ANY OTHER ENTITY WHICH HOLDS NOTES FOR ANOTHER PERSON, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY CONTACT FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC. (THE “**MONITOR**”) AT THE ADDRESS BELOW TO SO ADVISE IT. THE MONITOR WILL THEN SEND YOU THE MATERIALS SET OUT IN SCHEDULE “B” OF THE MEETING ORDER WHICH HAVE BEEN PREPARED TO ADDRESS YOUR SITUATION.

CLAIM

THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF ALL NOTEHOLDER CLAIMS HAS BEEN FILED BY THE NOTE INDENTURE TRUSTEES. THEREFORE YOU DO NOT HAVE TO PROVIDE A PROOF OF CLAIM.

IF YOU ARE A BENEFICIAL NOTEHOLDER

If you are a Beneficial Noteholder (*i.e.*, you own Notes beneficially yourself and do not hold such Notes for the benefit of another person) and you wish to vote at the Meeting, you must complete the enclosed Noteholders’ Proxy and provide it to the Monitor using the enclosed envelope, or by sending it to the Monitor by facsimile transmission at the fax number noted

below or by email (in PDF format) at the email address below, so that it is received by the Monitor no later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the third Business Day before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof. Beneficial Noteholder must provide the Noteholders' Proxy to the Monitor by this deadline in order to vote at the Meeting of Affected Creditors.

FURTHER INFORMATION

If you have any questions regarding the process or any of the enclosed forms, please contact FTI Consulting Canada Inc. at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., the Court-appointed
Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West, Suite 2010
P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Email: sfc@fticonsulting.com
Fax: (416) 649-8101

You can also view copies of documents relating to this process on the following website
<http://cfcanda.fticonsulting.com/sfc/>.

SCHEDULE "E"

INSTRUCTIONS TO UNREGISTERED NOTEHOLDERS

URGENT – IMMEDIATE ACTION REQUIRED

●, 2012

TO: UNREGISTERED HOLDERS OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION'S:

- (i) US\$345,000,000 5.00% CONVERTIBLE SENIOR NOTES DUE 2013 (Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAB7/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AB8);
- (ii) US\$399,517,000 10.25% GUARANTEED SENIOR NOTES DUE 2014 (Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAC5/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AC6);
- (iii) US\$460,000,000 4.25% CONVERTIBLE SENIOR NOTES DUE 2016 (Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAD3/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AD4); and
- (iv) US\$600,000,000 6.25% GUARANTEED SENIOR NOTES DUE 2017 Rule 144A CUSIP No. 82934HAF8/Regulation S CUSIP No. C83912AF9),

(collectively, the "Notes")

Re: Meeting of Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation to vote on the Plan of Compromise and Reorganization pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "Plan")

You are considered an Unregistered Noteholder if your Notes are shown by the books and records of the applicable indenture trustee to be held by your broker, DTC or another similar holder (a "**Participant Holder**") on your behalf. If your Notes are held by a Participant Holder, these instructions apply to you.

We enclose in this package the following documents for your review and consideration:

1. Notice to Affected Creditors;

2. the Plan proposed in respect of Sino-Forest Corporation;
3. an Information Circular with respect to Sino-Forest and the Plan;
4. copy of the Meeting Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice dated ● (the “**Meeting Order**”), 2012; and
5. blank form of Noteholders’ Proxy, completion instructions and return envelope.

The purpose of these materials is to provide you with the documents required to enable you to consider the Plan and to cast your vote to accept or reject the resolution to approve the Plan at the meeting of the Affected Creditors to be held at 10:00 a.m. on ●, 2012 (or such other date as may be set and announced in accordance with the Meeting Order) at the offices of Bennett Jones LLP, 3400 One First Canadian Place, Toronto, Ontario (the “**Meeting**”).

CLAIM

THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF ALL THE NOTEHOLDER CLAIMS HAS BEEN FILED BY THE NOTE INDENTURE TRUSTEES. THEREFORE, YOU DO NOT HAVE TO PROVIDE A PROOF OF CLAIM. **HOWEVER IF YOU WISH TO VOTE ON THE PLAN, YOU MUST COMPLETE THE ENCLOSED NOTEHOLDERS’ PROXY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS SET OUT THEREIN AND RETURN IT TO THE MONITOR PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. (TORONTO TIME) ON THE THIRD BUSINESS DAY BEFORE THE MEETING OR ANY ADJOURNMENT THEREOF.**

PROXY

The box on page 2 of your proxy should have been completed and signed by your Participant Holder to indicate the principal amount of Notes held by the Participant Holder on your behalf as at the Voting Record Date of ●. If it has not been completed and signed, please contact your Participant Holder immediately to arrange for it to be completed and signed. You must complete your portion of the enclosed Noteholders’ Proxy (including paragraph 1 of the proxy) and provide it to FTI Consulting Canada Inc. (the “**Monitor**”), using the enclosed envelope, or by sending to the Monitor by facsimile transmission at the fax number noted below or by email (in PDF format) at the email address below, so that it is received by the Monitor no later than 5:00

p.m. (Toronto time) on the third Business Day before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof. You must provide the completed proxy to the Monitor by this deadline if you wish to cast your vote at the Meeting of Affected Creditors.

YOU SHOULD NOT SEND THE PROXY TO YOUR PARTICIPANT HOLDER. YOUR PROXY SHOULD BE SENT DIRECTLY TO FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC. IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED OR BY FACSIMILE OR EMAIL.

If you have any questions regarding your obligations or the process, or require additional copies of any materials please contact the Monitor at the following address:

The Monitor
FTI Consulting Canada Inc., the Court-appointed
Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation
TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West, Suite 2010
P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Email: sfc@fticonsulting.com
Fax: (416) 649-8101

You can also view copies of documents relating to this process on the following website
<http://cfcanda.fticonsulting.com/sfc/>.

SCHEDULE "F"

NOTEHOLDERS' PROXY

For Use by Beneficial Owners of Sino-Forest Corporation's Notes

MEETING OF AFFECTED CREDITORS OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

to be held pursuant to an Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the "Meeting Order")
in connection with the Plan of Compromise and Reorganization (the "Plan")
under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (Canada) in respect of
Sino-Forest Corporation ("Sino-Forest")
on ● , 2012 at 10:00 a.m.

(or such other date as may be set and announced in accordance with the Meeting Order)

at:

Bennett Jones LLP, 3400 One First Canadian Place
Toronto, Ontario

and at any adjournment thereof.

Before completing this Proxy, please read carefully the instructions accompanying this Proxy for information respecting the proper completion and return of this Proxy.

THIS PROXY MUST BE COMPLETED AND SIGNED BY THE PARTICIPANT HOLDER AND THE UNREGISTERED NOTEHOLDER AND MUST BE PROVIDED TO THE MONITOR, FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC., PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. TORONTO TIME ON THE THIRD BUSINESS DAY BEFORE THE MEETING (OR ANY ADJOURNMENT THEREOF).

TO BE COMPLETED AND SIGNED BY THE PARTICIPANT HOLDER PRIOR TO SENDING THIS PROXY TO THE BENEFICIAL OWNER OF NOTES

Name of Unregistered Noteholder

(Client or Principal for whom Notes are held):

Name of Participant Holder for this Unregistered

Noteholder's Notes:

Account Number:

Principal Amount of Notes

Held for this Unregistered Noteholder by series: _____

Participant Holder Signature:

(Print Name of Contact at Participant Holder)

Phone Number of Participant Holder:

By:

(Signature of authorized signing officer of
Participant Holder)

Email Address of Participant Holder:

REMAINDER OF PROXY TO BE COMPLETED BY BENEFICIAL OWNER

THE UNDERSIGNED UNREGISTERED NOTEHOLDER hereby revokes all proxies previously given and nominates, constitutes and appoints _____ or, if no person is named, Robert J. Chadwick of Goodmans LLP (or his designee), as nominee of the Unregistered Noteholder, with power of substitution, to attend on behalf of and act for the Unregistered Noteholder at the Meeting of Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation to be held in connection with the Plan and at any and all adjournments thereof, and to vote the Unregistered Noteholder's claims in respect of the Notes beneficially owned by it as follows:

A. (mark one only)

VOTE FOR approval of the Plan; or

VOTE AGAINST approval of the Plan;

- and -

B. vote at the nominee's discretion and otherwise act for and on behalf of the undersigned Unregistered Noteholder with respect to any amendments or variations to the Plan and to any other matters that may come before the Meeting of the Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation or any adjournment thereof.

If you do not indicate your vote in part "A" above and Robert J. Chadwick of Goodmans LLP (or his designee) is your nominee, he will vote this proxy FOR approval of the Plan.

Please provide below: (i) the Name of each Participant Holder through which the Unregistered Noteholder holds Notes; (ii) the Unregistered Noteholder's account number with each such Participant Noteholder; and (iii) the principal amount of all Notes held on behalf of the Unregistered Noteholder by each Participant Holder.

The Unregistered Noteholder hereby authorizes FTI Consulting Canada Inc. to contact any Participant Holder named above to confirm that the information set out above conforms to the information contained in the records of the Participant Holder.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2012.

	(Print Name of Unregistered Noteholder)
	(Signature of Unregistered Noteholder or, if the Unregistered Noteholder is a corporation, signature of an authorized signing officer of the corporation and such officer's title)
	Phone Number of Unregistered Noteholder

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF PROXY

1. Each Unregistered Noteholder has the right to appoint a person (who need not be a Noteholder) to attend, act and vote for and on the Unregistered Noteholder's behalf and such right may be exercised by inserting in the space in paragraph 1 the name of the person to be appointed. An individual Unregistered Noteholder wishing to attend and vote in person at the Meeting of Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation should insert the Unregistered Noteholder's own name in the space provided. **If no name has been inserted in the space provided, the Unregistered Noteholder will be deemed to have appointed Robert J. Chadwick of Goodmans LLP (or his designee) as the Unregistered Noteholder's proxyholder.**
2. **If Robert J. Chadwick of Goodmans LLP (or his designee) is appointed or deemed to be appointed as proxyholder and the Unregistered Noteholder fails to indicate on this Proxy a vote for or against the approval of the Plan, this Proxy will be voted FOR approval of the Plan.**
3. The Unregistered Noteholder should insert the principal amount of each series of Notes owned by the Unregistered Noteholder, specifying in each case the applicable Participant Holder and the series of Notes, in the space provided on page 4.
4. If this Proxy is not dated in the space provided, it will be deemed to bear the date on which it is received by the Monitor.
5. This Proxy must be signed by the Beneficial Owner of the applicable Notes or by his or her attorney duly authorized in writing or, if the Unregistered Noteholder is a corporation, by a duly authorized officer or attorney of the corporation specifying the title of such officer or attorney.
6. The Participant Holder must complete and sign the applicable portion of the Proxy (in the box on page 2) PRIOR to sending the Proxy to the Beneficial Owner.

7. Valid proxies bearing or deemed to bear a later date will revoke this Proxy. If more than one valid proxy for the same Unregistered Noteholder and bearing or deemed to bear the same date are received with conflicting instructions, such proxies will be treated as disputed proxies and will not be counted.

8. This Proxy must be received by the Monitor by no later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the third Business Day before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof, at the address set out below:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., the Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation

TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West, Suite 2010
P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Email: sfc@fticonsulting.com
Fax: (416) 649-8101

SCHEDULE "G"

ORDINARY AFFECTED CREDITORS' PROXY

For Use by Ordinary Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation

MEETING OF AFFECTED CREDITORS OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

to be held pursuant to an Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the "Meeting Order")
in connection with the Plan of Compromise and Reorganization (the "Plan")
under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (Canada) in respect of
Sino-Forest Corporation ("Sino-Forest")

on ●, 2012 at 10:00 a.m.

(or such other date as may be set and announced in accordance with the Meeting Order)

at:

Bennett Jones LLP, 3400 One First Canadian Place
Toronto, Ontario

and at any adjournment thereof.

Before completing this Proxy, please read carefully the instructions accompanying this Proxy for information respecting the proper completion and return of this Proxy.

IN ORDER TO VOTE ON THE PLAN, THIS PROXY MUST BE COMPLETED AND SIGNED BY THE ORDINARY AFFECTED CREDITOR AND PROVIDED TO THE MONITOR, FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC., PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. TORONTO TIME ON THE THIRD BUSINESS DAY BEFORE THE MEETING OR ANY ADJOURNMENT THEREOF.

THE UNDERSIGNED ORDINARY AFFECTED CREDITOR hereby revokes all proxies previously given and nominates, constitutes and appoints _____ or, if no person is named, **[insert representative of the Monitor]** (or his/her designee), as nominee of the Ordinary Affected Creditor, with power of substitution, to attend on behalf of and act for the Ordinary Affected Creditor at the Meeting of Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation to be held in connection with the Plan and at any and all adjournments thereof, and to vote the Ordinary Affected Creditor's Claim as follows:

A. (mark one only)

VOTE FOR approval of the Plan; or

VOTE AGAINST approval of the Plan;

- and -

B. vote at the nominee's discretion and otherwise act for and on behalf of the undersigned Ordinary Affected Creditor with respect to any amendments or variations to the Plan and to any other matters that may come before the Meeting of the Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation or any adjournment thereof.

If you do not indicate your vote in part "A" above and **[insert representative of the Monitor]** or his/her designee is your nominee, and he/she will vote this proxy FOR approval of the Plan.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2012.

	(Print Name of Ordinary Affected Creditor)
	(Signature of Ordinary Affected Creditor or, if the Voting Affected Creditor is a corporation, signature of an authorized signing officer of the corporation and such officer's name and title)
	Phone Number of Ordinary Affected Creditor

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF PROXY

1. Each Ordinary Affected Creditor has the right to appoint a person (who need not be a Ordinary Affected Creditor) to attend, act and vote for and on the Ordinary Affected Creditor's behalf and such right may be exercised by inserting in the space provided the name of the person to be appointed. An individual Ordinary Affected Creditor wishing to attend and vote in person at the Meeting of Affected Creditors of Sino-Forest Corporation should insert the Ordinary Affected Creditor's own name in the space provided. **If no name has been inserted in the space provided, the Ordinary Affected Creditor will be deemed to have appointed [insert representative of Monitor] (or his/her designee) as the Ordinary Affected Creditor's proxyholder.**
2. **If [insert representative of Monitor] (or his/her designee) is appointed or deemed to be appointed as proxyholder and the Ordinary Affected Creditor fails to indicate on this Proxy a vote for or against the approval of the Plan, this Proxy will be voted FOR approval of the Plan.**
3. If this Proxy is not dated in the space provided, it will be deemed to bear the date on which it is received by the Monitor.
4. This Proxy must be signed by the Ordinary Affected Creditor or by the Ordinary Affected Creditor's attorney duly authorized in writing or, if the Ordinary Affected Creditor is a corporation, by a duly authorized officer or attorney of the corporation specifying the title of such officer or attorney.
5. Valid proxies bearing or deemed to bear a later date will revoke this Proxy. If more than one valid proxy for the same Ordinary Affected Creditor and bearing or deemed to bear the same date are received with conflicting instructions, such proxies will be treated as disputed proxies and will not be counted.
6. This Proxy must be received by the Monitor by no later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the third Business Day before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof, at the address set out below:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., the Court-appointed Monitor of Sino-Forest Corporation

TD Waterhouse Tower
79 Wellington Street West, Suite 2010
P.O. Box 104
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Jodi Porepa
Email: sfc@fticonsulting.com
Fax: (416) 649-8101

\6103439

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE
MATTER OF A PLAN OR COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceedings commenced in Toronto

**PLAN FILING AND
MEETING ORDER**

BENNETT JONES LLP
One First Canadian Place
Suite 3400, P.O. Box 130
Toronto, Ontario
M5X 1A4

Robert W. Staley (LSUC #27115J)
Kevin Zych (LSUC #33129T)
Derek J. Bell (LSUC #43420J)
Raj Sahni (LSUC #42942U)
Jonathan Bell (LSUC #55457P)
Tel: 416-863-1200
Fax: 416-863-1716

Lawyers for the Applicant

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE
MATTER OF A PLAN OR COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceedings commenced in Toronto

**MOTION RECORD
(Motion Approving Plan Filing and
Meeting Order Returnable
August 28, 2012)**

BENNETT JONES LLP
One First Canadian Place
Suite 3400, P.O. Box 130
Toronto, Ontario
M5X 1A4

Robert W. Staley (LSUC #27115J)
Kevin Zych (LSUC #33129T)
Derek J. Bell (LSUC #43420J)
Raj Sahni (LSUC #42942U)
Jonathan Bell (LSUC #55457P)
Tel: 416-863-1200
Fax: 416-863-1716

Lawyers for the Applicant